Nassau County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Kristi Simpkins	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	simpkinskr@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9987
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Kristi Simpkins	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	simpkinskr@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9987
Secondary ELA	Natasha Drake	Director of Secondary Education	drakena@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
Reading Endorsement	Tia Brown	Director of Professional Development	brownti@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
Reading Curriculum	Kristi Simpkins	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	simpkinskr@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9987
Professional Development	Tia Brown	Director of Professional Development	brownti@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
Assessment	Pam McBee	Coordinator of Student Services	mcbeepa@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
Data Element	Kari Burgess-Watkins	Director of Instructional Technology	burgesska@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
Summer Reading Camp	Kristi Simpkins	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	simpkinskr@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9900
3 rd Grade Promotion	Kristi Simpkins	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	simpkinskr@nassau.k12.fl.us	904-491-9987

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

This plan was collaboratively developed by our District Literacy Leadership team. Our Literacy team includes district staff from Curriculum, ESE/Student Services, as well as principals, assistant principals and reading coaches. Once the plan has been approved, the plan will be shared digitally with all district and school-based administrators and reading coaches. Administrators will share the plan with teachers during faculty meetings. The plan will be posted on our district website.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C.

District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C. K-5

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	 Verbal response and retell Verbal response and retell 	 (K-5) Next Step Guided Reading Assessment-Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring 	 One on One Face to Face One on One Face to Face 	QuarterlyOngoing
Phonological awareness	 Identify, segment, blending, manipulating syllables and sounds 	 (K-2) Lexia Core 5- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring STAR Early Literacy/ STAR- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring i-Ready- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring 	 Technology Technology One on One Face to Face Technology 	 Ongoing Three times a year Ongoing Three times a year
Phonics	 Knowledge of letter sound correspondence in reading and spelling, pattern recognition of syllable types, simple spelling Knowledge of letter sound correspondence in reading and spelling, pattern recognition of syllable types, simple spelling Knowledge of letter sound correspondence in reading and spelling, pattern recognition of syllable types 	 (K-2) Lexia Core 5- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring STAR Early Literacy/ STAR- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring i-Ready- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring 	 Technology Technology One on One Face to Face Technology 	 Ongoing Three times a year Ongoing Three times a year

Fluency	 Knowledge of letter sound correspondence in reading and spelling, pattern recognition of syllable types Analysis of sentence structure, times silent reading Oral Reading Fluency Rate, Accuracy Rate Estimated Oral Reading Fluency Rate Oral Reading Fluency Rate, Accuracy Rate Oral Reading Fluency Rate, Accuracy Rate Oral Reading Fluency Rate, Accuracy Rate Oral Reading Fluency Rate 	 (K-2) Lexia Core 5- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring (K-5) Next Step Guided Reading Assessment-Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring STAR Early Literacy/ STAR- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring i-Ready- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring 	 Technology One on One Face to Face Technology One on One Face to Face Technology 	 Ongoing Quarterly Three times a year Ongoing Three times a year
Vocabulary	 Word learning strategy, vocabulary exposure, awareness of word relationships/associations Vocabulary development Vocabulary development Word learning strategy, vocabulary exposure, awareness of word relationships/associations Vocabulary development 	 (K-2) Lexia Core 5- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring (K-5) Next Step Guided Reading Assessment-Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring STAR Early Literacy/ STAR- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring i-Ready- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring 	 Technology One on One Face to Face Technology One on One Face to Face Technology 	 Ongoing Quarterly Three times a year Ongoing Three times a year
Comprehension	 concrete and abstract level understanding, application of higher order thinking Individual reading level/Lexile, target skill area of need instructional reading level, zone of proximal development, grade equivalent, percentile rank to national norm Individual reading level, close reading, comprehension strategies 	 (K-2) Lexia Core 5- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring (K-5) Next Step Guided Reading Assessment-Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring STAR Early Literacy/ STAR- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring Fountas and Pinnell (LLI)- Progress Monitoring i-Ready- Diagnostic, Progress Monitoring 	 Technology One on One Face to Face Technology One on One Face to Face Technology 	 Ongoing Quarterly Three times a year Ongoing Three times a year

Individual reading		
level/Lexile, target skill area		
of need		

6-12

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?	
6-8 Lexia	 Word study- letter patterns, sounds and meaning, spelling patterns, syllables grammar- parts of speech, parts of sentences, capitalization and punctuation, comprehension- building comprehension skill knowledge (informational and literacy) 	(6-8) Lexia Power UP- diagnostic, progress monitoring	Technology	Ongoing	
Benchmark Assessment System (LLI)	Oral reading fluency rate and accuracy, vocabulary development, and comprehension	LLI- diagnostic, progress monitoring tool	One to One - face to face	Quarterly	
STAR	Oral reading fluency, lexile level, percent and comparison to national norm, comprehension	Diagnostic, progress monitoring tool	Technology	3 times a year (beginning, middle, and end of year)	
9-12 IXL	 Grammar- parts of speech, part of sentences, capitalization and punctuation, Comprehension-building comprehension skill knowledge (informational and literacy) Vocabulary Development - prefixes, suffixes, Greek and Latin roots, homophones, 	Diagnostic, progress monitoring tool	Technology	Ongoing	

	 analogies, and context clues SMARTscore determines mastery/proficiency by standard 	Diagnostia prograss monitoring	Tashnalagu	Quartark
USA Test Prep	 Comprehension- building comprehension skill knowledge (informational and literacy 	Diagnostic, progress monitoring, summative	Technology	Quarterly
FLDOE Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool	 Sample ISR to determine mastery/proficiency by skill/standard Information text Language Standards Speaking and Listening Standards Reading standards for literature Comprehension – building comprehension 	Diagnostic, progress monitoring, summative	Technology	Two times a year

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

Data Analysis and Decision-making							
How often is the	What problem-solving	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated if it is	Who at the district level is			
data being	steps are in place for	taking to see building and	determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is	responsible for providing plan			
reviewed and by	making decisions	classroom level data and to	not being implemented in an explicit	implementation oversight,			
whom?	based on the data?	share findings with	manner, based on data to meet the needs	support and follow-up?			
		individual schools?	of students?				

The data is	Student progress	Site-based administrators	Concerns are discussed at School Action	The Executive Director of
reviewed three	monitoring data will	will collaborate with the	Plan visits and at monthly principal	Curriculum and Instruction and
times a year by	be collected three	Executive Director of	meetings and school walk-throughs.	the Director of Secondary
district and site	times a year. Site	Curriculum and Instruction		Education are responsible for
based	based administrative	and the Director of		providing plan implementation
administration	and leadership teams	Secondary Education to		oversight, support and follow up.
	meet to review and	analyze school data by		
	identify students with	grade band and individual		
	targeted needs.	classroom teacher. The		
	If an area of need is	district provides		
	identified, monitoring	opportunities for site-based		
	will be increased,	administrators to		
	resources reviewed,	collaborate to identify		
	and the relevant	county-wide data trends.		
	support will be			
	provided.			

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the	How is the	How often is the data being	How is the data being shared	How often is the data
	practice is informed	purpose	collected?	and by whom?	being reviewed and by
	by a specific purpose?	communicated?			whom?
Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators	Site based administrators, Reading Coaches, Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Director of Secondary Education	Faculty meetings, monthly principal meetings, principal walkthroughs	Weekly	The information is shared in faculty meetings, principal meetings, and grade/department team meetings by the site based administrators and reading coaches.	The data is reviewed monthly by site based administration, reading coaches, Directory of Secondary Education, and the Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction.
Data chats	Site based administrators, Reading Coaches, Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Director of Secondary Education	Weekly staff meetings, monthly principal meetings, principal walkthroughs	Monthly	The data is shared in faculty meetings, principal meetings, grade/department team meetings, individual teacher meetings by the site based administrators and the reading coaches.	The data is reviewed quarterly by site based administrators, reading coaches, Directory of Secondary Education, and the Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction.
Reading Leadership	Site based administrators,	Leadership team meetings,	Quarterly	The data is shared in faculty meetings, principal meetings,	The data is reviewed quarterly by site based

Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C.	Reading Coaches, ELA Department Chairs, Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Director of Secondary Education	department team meetings, grade level meetings, principal meetings,		grade/department team meetings, individual teacher meetings by the site based administrators and the reading coaches.	administrators, reading coaches, Directory of Secondary Education, and the Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction.
Monitoring of plan implementation	Site administrators, Reading Coaches, Department/Grade level Chairs	Leadership Team meetings	Quarterly	The data is shared in Department/ Grade level team meetings by the site based administrators.	The data is reviewed quarterly by site based administrators, reading coaches, Directory of Secondary Education, Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction, and Department/Grade level chairs.
Other: (Specify)					
		Implen	nentation and Progress-monito	oring	
•	lving steps are in place ons based on data?	How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the plan is not being implemented in a systematic and explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?		How will district leadership provide plan implementation oversight, support and follow-up?	
Student progress monitoring data will be collected three times a year. Site based administrative and leadership teams meet to review all students within the lowest quartile and identify targeted needs. If an area of need is identified, monitoring will be increased, resources reviewed, and the relevant support will be provided.		Concerns are discussed at School Action Plan visits and at monthly principal meetings and school walk-throughs.		Action Plan meetings scheduled are scheduled three times a year at each school site. Individual support plans are created based on school needs.	

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	Monthly principal meetings; email correspondence	Sign in sheets, agendas	Quarterly face-to-face and/or email correspondence	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education Director of Professional Development	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Staff Development Director of Secondary Education
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth	Monthly principal meetings; email correspondence	Data chats, classroom walkthroughs/obse rvations, sign in sheets, agendas	Quarterly face-to-face and/or email correspondence	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Professional Development	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Staff Development Director of Secondary Education
Identification of mentor teachers	Initial principal meeting; email correspondence	Data chats, conferences, meeting dates, teacher evaluations, leadership meetings	At the beginning of the school year face-to-face and/or email correspondence	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Monthly principal meetings; email correspondence	Classroom walkthroughs/obse rvations, data chats, use of reading coaches	Monthly face-to-face and/or email correspondence	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	Monthly principal meetings; email correspondence	Calendar of scheduled events, agendas	Monthly face-to-face and/or email correspondence	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Secondary Education

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	Monthly principal	Classroom	face-to-face and/or email	Executive Director	monthly
an evidence-based sequence of	meetings; email	walkthroughs/observa	correspondence	of Curriculum and	
reading instruction	correspondence	tions, school and class		Instruction	
		schedules, lesson			
		plans			
Small group differentiated	Monthly principal	Classroom	face-to-face and/or email	Executive Director	monthly
instruction in order to meet	meetings; email	walkthroughs/observa	correspondence	of Curriculum and	
individual student needs	correspondence	tions, school and class		Instruction	
		schedules, lesson			
		plans			

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

These students are identified and monitored by not only the classroom teacher but the school reading coach. Reading coach and classroom teacher collaborate regularly to analyze student progress monitoring data and share best practices for individual student needs. Students are able to participate in tutoring programs before, during, and after school.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	549700.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	0.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	0.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	0.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	6190.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	0.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	0.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	0.00
District expenditures on professional development	75000.00
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	0.00
District expenditures on summer reading camps	0.00
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	0.00
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	0.00
Sum of Expenditures	630890.00
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	630890.00

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

 i-Ready (Ready Florida LAFS) promising evidence
 https://www2.curriculumassociates.com/aboutus/Press-Release-Curriculum-Associates-Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirements.aspx
 i-Ready Tools for Instruction promising evidence
 https://www.curriculumassociates.com/products/i-ready/meets-essa-evidence-basedrequirements
 STAR (Reading Renaissance) strong evidence
 https://doc.renlearn.com/KMNet/R61323.pdf
 Saxon Phonics strong evidence https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutionscomparison-chart
 Leveled Readers(Journeys Basal Curriculum Resource) strong evidence
 https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart

Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes \Box No \Box X If yes, which grade levels? _____

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.: Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student

We analyzed 2018-2019 school grades. We have 11 "A" rated schools and 2 "B" rated schools. All schools are high performing. We have placed reading coaches in all of our elementary and middle schools.

performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

Face-to-face meetings, email correspondences, checking of reading coach schedule, reminders/check-ins throughout the year

Executive Director of Curriculum Instruction, Site Based Administrators

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes X I No I If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document. If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - $\circ\ensuremath{\mathsf{providing}}\xspace$ differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these requirements being communicated to principals?	How are coaches recording their time and tasks?	Who at the district level is monitoring this?	How often is the data being reviewed?	What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on the data?
Principals meetings	Monthly Schedules	Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction	Quarterly	Continuous data analysis to identify areas of need of students within the lowest quartile

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.</u>

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Lo	Grade Level(s): K-12					
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: K-438 and above (FLKRS) 1st-8th-Percentile Rank is 20 and above (STAR) 9th-12th- Students scoring a level 3 or above on FLDOE Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool; CCR Lexile Proficiency of 1050L and above (FSA & USA TEST PREP)					
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
TIER 1	 Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities 					
	Core Curriculum					
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.					
	K-5 Journeys strong evidence https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart i-Ready promising evidence https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart i-Ready promising evidence https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart Saxon Phonics strong evidence https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart 6-8 Collections HMH Reading Series demonstrates a rationale https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart LU program strong evidence https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D i-Ready curriculum https://www.curriculumassociates.com/products/i-ready/meets-essa-evidence-based-requirements K-8 Lexia strong evidence https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D i-Ready curriculum https://www.curriculumassociates.com/products/i-ready/meets-essa-evidence-based-requirements K-8 Lexia strong evidence https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D STAR, Accelerated reader Strong evidence https://doc.renlearn.com/KMNet/R61323.pdf 9-12 Collections HMH Literary Series demonstrates a rationale https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart USA Test Prep Moderate evidence https://www.usatestprep.com/blog/usatestprep-efficacy/					
	Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria that Performance Criteria to that would prom indicates Tier 1 is sufficient addition of Tier 2 interventions					

K-STAR EARLY LITERACY 3X per year 1st-8th grades-STAR 3X per year 9th-12th grades-18-19 FSA ELA test scores, USA Test Prep/district level Diagnostic 3X per year; FLDOE Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool 2x per year	K-438 and above 1st-8th-Percentile Rank is 20 and above 9th-12th- Students scoring a level 3 or above; CCR Lexile Proficiency of 1050L and above	K-8-Continue with enhanced instruction that follows a developmental reading continuum including instruction with hig level comprehension, vocabulary, word study, and fluency at the word and/or connected text level. 9-12-Students scoring below 336 on 18-19 FSA ELA or below 1050 Lexile	
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? K-12 Diagnostic and progress monitoring data is regularly reviewed three times a year by district admin, school admin, grade level bands and individual classroom teachers.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? School and county-wide mentor/mentee program, professional development opportunities, administrative walk-throughs & modeling, Building Reading Expertise county-wide program, reviewing progress monitoring data		
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? County wide common assessments are used to monitor all grade bands K-12. Common assessments address target reading standards taught from core curriculum.	effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculu	modeling, reviewing progress monitoring	

Teacher videos, technology based with Chrome book check-outs for those needing technology, instructional packets sent through US Postal service, teacher phone calls and/or visits, classroom scheduled virtual meeting times

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Kindergarten – STAR Early Literacy – Scaled Score 400-437 1 st -8 th – STAR 11 th -19 th percentile rank 9 th – 12 th – Students scoring a level 2 on the FLDOE Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool; Lexile 1049-849
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 intervention s	 Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)

TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring				
& Duration	Assessi Frequ	ment & Jency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions
Core Curriculum(s), Lexia Core, Differentiated data driven small group instruction, Accelerated Reader	Lite	R Early racy r year	Scaled Score of 400-437	Scaled Score remaining in the 400-437 range	Scaled Score dropping to 399 or below
Core curriculum, Lexia Power Up, LLI Differentiated data driven small group instruction, Accelerated Reader		STAR r year	11-19 percentile rank	11-19 percentile rank	0-10 percentile rank
Core curriculum, IXL differentiated data driven small group instruction, Newsela Informational curriculum	(9-12) USA Test DA 3X per year; Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool 2x per year		Lexile of 1050 or above	Lexile of 1049 or below	Lexile 849 or below
Number of times a week intervention 5 day		5 days	Number of min session	nutes per intervention	K-8 20 9-12 45

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

School and county-wide mentor/mentee program, professional development opportunities, administrative walk-throughs & modeling, Building Reading Expertise county-wide program, reviewing progress monitoring data

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Lexia Core 5 (strong evidence)

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D Accelerated Reader (strong evidence) https://doc.renlearn.com/KMNet/R61323.pdf LLI (strong evidence) https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading:heid_evidence_rating=/0504/020//050

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Struggling students are provided smaller groups setting and additional one to one instructional services. Parents are provided resources to support distance learning.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: K – STAR Early Literacy – 399 or below 1 st – 8 th – STAR 10 th percentile or below 9 th -12 th – Students scoring a level 1 on FLDOE Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool; Lexile 849 or below						
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER	2 inter	ventions	, and TIER 3 intensive inte	erventions		
TIER 1 instru ction, TIER 2 interv entio ns, and	Immediate, intensive intervention: • extended time • targeted instruction based on student need • small group or one-on-one instruction • accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) • more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions • additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies &						
TIER 3 Intens ive Interv	Duration	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	9 Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions		
entio ns	Core Curriculum(s), Lexia Core, Differentiated data driven 1:3 group instruction, Accelerated Reader	K-STAR Early Literacy 3X per year 1-8-STAR 3X per year 9-12-USA Test DA 3X per year; Adaptive Progress Monitoring Tool 2x per year		Student achieves scaled score of 400 or higher	Student remains with scaled score of 399 or below		
	Core Curriculum(s), Lexia Core, LLI, Differentiated data driven 1:3 group instruction, Accelerated Reader			Student achieves score above 10 percentile	Students remains with score at or below 10 percentile		
	Core curriculum, IXL differentiated data driven 1:3 group instruction, Newsela Informational curriculum			Student achieves Lexile of 850 - 1049 based on the CCR proficiency bands	Student remains with a Lexile of 849 or below		
	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.						
	Number of times a week intervention provided		5 days	Number of minutes per intervention session	30 (K-8) 45 minutes (9-12)		
	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? School and county-wide mentor/mentee program, professional development opportunities, administrative walk- throughs & modeling, Building Reading Expertise county-wide program, reviewing progress monitoring data						
	throughs a modeling, building heading L			ie program, reviewing progress			

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Lexia Core 5 (strong evidence)

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D Accelerated Reader (strong evidence) <u>https://doc.renlearn.com/KMNet/R61323.pdf</u> LLI (strong evidence) <u>https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading?field_evidence_rating=%5B4%2C7%5D</u>

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Struggling students are provided smaller groups setting and additional one to one instructional services. Parents are provided resources to support distance learning.