Jefferson County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Courtney Oliver	Assistant Principal	cloliver@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555 Ext 203
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Courtney Oliver	Assistant Principal	cloliver@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555 Ext 203
Secondary ELA	Andre Gainey	Vice Principal	againey@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-355
Reading Endorsement	Courtney Oliver	Assistant Principal	cloliver@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555
Reading Curriculum	Nicole Roddenberry	Literacy Coach	nroddenberry@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555
Professional Development	Shirrie Barany	ESE Director and Student Support Programs	sbarany@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555
Assessment	Courtney Oliver	Assessment Coordinator	<u>cloliver@somersetjefferson.org</u>	850-997-3555
Data Element	Courtney Oliver	MIS	cloliver@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555
Summer Reading Camp	Nicole Roddenberry	Literacy Coach	nroddenberry@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555
3 rd Grade Promotion	Courtney Oliver	Assistant Principal	cloliver@somersetjefferson.org	850-997-3555

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

Literacy team meetings include participants representing stakeholders invested in improving the student achievement outcomes. The following departments are represented on the Literacy team: ESE, ESOL, Curriculum, Staff Development, Lead teachers, and the plan will be presented to the School Advisory Committee. Once approved the Reading Plan is shared with the School Advisory Committee (SAC) team. It is published for parents and families to view on the school website. Additionally, the Reading Plan is included in the Problem-Solving Team (PST) MTSS/RtI Procedural Guide and discussed during pre-planning week through professional development opportunities. The literacy team references and communicates the Reading plan during data chats and staff meetings.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

Component of	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
Reading		(e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress	collected?	being collected?
		monitoring/formative, summative)		
Oral language	Students ability to communicate	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA	iReady 3 times a year,
Orununyuuye	expressively and receptively			SRA monthly
Dhanalagical	Students ability to distinguish	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA	iReady 3 times a year,
Phonological	sounds and phonemes of spoken			SRA monthly
awareness	words			
Dhanica	Students ability to accurately	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA	iReady 3 times a year,
Phonics	decode written words			SRA monthly
Fluency	Students ability to read with	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA	iReady 3 times a year,
Fluency	prosody.			SRA monthly
	Students understanding of grade	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA / Wonders	iReady 3 times a year,
Vocabulary	level word knowledge and word			SRA monthly,
	strategies			Wonders bi-weekly
	Understanding of grade level	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring	iReady / SRA / Wonders	iReady 3 times a year,
Comprehension	informational and literary text			SRA monthly,
				Wonders bi-weekly

6-12

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
IReady	Reading skills, Writing skills, Speaking and Listening skills, and Grammar skills	Diagnostic/ Progress Monitoring	Digitally, iReady	3 times a year
IReady Ready & Toolbox	Reading standard mastery	Progress Monitoring	Paper based & digital via Ready Florida	Bi-Monthly
Coach Digital Assessments	Reading standard mastery	Progress Monitoring	Ladders to Success	Bi-Monthly
Study Sync	Reading skills, Writing skills, Speaking and Listening skills, and Grammar skills	Formative assessments	Study Sync Unit Assessments	Monthly after end of each unit

		Data Analysis and Deci	sion-making	
How often is the	What problem-solving steps are	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated	Who at the district level is
data being	in place for making decisions	taking to see building	if it is determined that the K-12	responsible for providing plan
reviewed and by whom?	based on the data?	and classroom level data and to share findings with individual schools?	Reading Plan is not being implemented in an explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?	implementation oversight, support and follow-up?
The data is	Educational System Review (ESR)		Concerns are communicated	Principal, Cory Oliver
reviewed monthly By Reading Leadership Team and Teachers.	 steps 1. Refine academic goals based on the current student achievement levels. 2. Identify high probability barriers. Collect data to develop baseline for learning objective, instructional rigor, engagement, and content. 3. Monitoring expectations, modeling expectations, and coaching. 4. Progress monitoring 		during Leadership meetings and teacher data-chats. Professional Development opportunities are designed to address any instructional design challenges, instructional delivery challenges, and implementation of classroom engagement strategies.	Vice Principal, Cory Oliver Vice Principal, Andre Gainey Assistant Principal, Courtney Oliver Literacy Coach, Nicole Roddenberry ESE Director, Shirrie Barany
	 academic goals. 5. Team analysis and adjustments made according to data trends. 			

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the practice is informed by a specific purpose?	How is the purpose communicated?	How often is the data being collected?	How is the data being shared and by whom?	How often is the data being reviewed and by whom?
Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators	Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry	Pre-Planning Professional Development training is provided to all instructional staff regarding the professional expectations. Grade Level and Department meetings are scheduled monthly to reinforce professional expectations.	Monthly walkthrough data is collected.	During teacher data-chats administrators share summary data to grade level and departments to facilitate analysis and the problem- solving process.	The data is reviewed monthly by the Literacy team: Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry The school Leadership Team reviews the data monthly.
Data chats	Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry	Pre-Planning Professional Development training is provided to all instructional staff regarding the professional expectations. Grade Level and Department meetings are scheduled monthly to reinforce	Monthly walkthrough data is collected.	The data is shared once a month during the Leadership Meetings that occur each Monday. During teacher data-chats administrators share summary data to grade level and departments to facilitate analysis and the problem-solving process.	The data is reviewed monthly by the Literacy team: Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry

		professional expectations.			
		Instructional Staff attend professional			
		development prior			
		to the opening of schools.			
Reading Leadership	Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre	The Reading Leadership Team	The Reading Leadership Team meets once a month	The data is shared once a month during the Leadership	The data is reviewed monthly by the Literacy
Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C.	Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney	meets during June to review current	during the scheduled Leadership meetings that	Meetings that occur each Monday. During teacher	team:
	Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry	student achievement data and conduct the Educational System Review.	occur every Monday.	data-chats administrators share summary data to grade level and departments to facilitate analysis and the problem-solving process.	Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry
Monitoring of	Principal Cory Oliver,	Pre-Planning	The Reading Leadership	The data is shared once a	The data is reviewed
plan	Vice Principal Andre	Professional	Team meets once a month	month during the Leadership	monthly by the Literacy
implementation	Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney	Development training is	during the scheduled Leadership meetings that	Meetings that occur each Monday. During teacher	team:
	Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry	provided to all instructional staff regarding the professional expectations. Grade Level and Department meetings are scheduled monthly to reinforce professional expectations.	occur every Monday.	data-chats administrators share summary data to grade level and departments to facilitate analysis and the problem-solving process.	Principal Cory Oliver, Vice Principal Andre Gainey, Assistant Principal Courtney Oliver, Literacy Coach Nicole Roddenberry
Other:					
(Specify)					

	Implementation and Progress-monitoring						
What problem-solving steps are in place	How are concerns communicated if it is	How will district leadership provide plan implementation					
for making decisions based on data?	determined that the plan is not being	oversight, support and follow-up?					
	implemented in a systematic and explicit manner,						
	based on data to meet the needs of students?						
The problem- solving steps implemented	The Reading Leadership Team Review data that	The school-based team holds regular scheduled Leadership					
by the Reading Leadership team are as	includes student performance and teacher	meetings with the Literacy Team in making sure the plan is					
follows: 1. Define the problem or goal 2.	evaluations monthly. Any concerns are addressed	implemented with fidelity.					
Analyze the problem and relevant data 3.	with the teachers and any needed professional						
Implement an Intervention plan 4.	development, instructional coaching, and						
Evaluate the intervention for	modeling of data use is provided.						
effectiveness							

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	The literacy team works with FDLRS and PS/RtI to identify training opportunities for teachers. The discretionary projects and literacy team communicates training opportunities to the school's leadership team.	Principals collect the sign-in sheets and attendance records for the reading intervention trainings. Principal and literacy coach review walk- through data and observations to ensure PD content is applied with fidelity.	School leadership shares data and training with the district quarterly at school board meetings or upon request from the school district superintendent.	Superintendent Arbulu	Courtney Oliver
Differentiated professional development with intensity	Leadership Meetings include	Walk-through data and assessment	NA	NA	NA

increased for those teachers	all progress	data is reviewed			
whose progress monitoring	monitoring data	monthly.			
data is not showing	analysis to				
adequate growth	identify				
	challenge areas.				
Identification of mentor	VAM data is	Mentor teachers	The ePDC portal	Superintendent Arbulu	Superintendent Arbulu
teachers	reviewed each	assist with the New	captures all		
	year to identify	Teacher Academy	professional		
	Highly Effective	in conjunction with	development		
	educators	the Panhandle Area	participation by new		
	employed by	Education	and mentor teachers		
	Jefferson	Consortium (PAEC).	in the District.		
	Somerset K-12.				
Establishing of model	The Literacy	Reading	NA	NA	NA
classrooms within the school	Coach and ESE	walkthrough data			
	Director work	is collected			
	collaboratively	monthly.			
	to align				
	classroom design				
	and student				
	learning				
	priorities.				
Providing teachers with time	The Literacy	Agenda and	NA	NA	NA
weekly to meet together for	team creates a	minutes are			
professional development	monthly meeting	collected monthly			
including lesson study and	calendar in order	following all grade			
PLCs	to ensure that	level and			
	instructional	department			
	staff have	meetings.			
	adequate time				
	for all				
	professional				
	development				
	opportunities.				

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	It communicated to	It is monitored by	It is reported to the	Charter District	Three times annually
an evidence-based sequence of	the principals by	Classroom	district during monthly	and	
reading instruction	submission of	walkthroughs,	School Board Meetings.	Superintendent	
	weekly lesson	feedback from literacy			
	plans.	coach, Weekly lesson			
		plans, and teacher			
		data chats.			
Small group differentiated	It communicated to	It is monitored by	It is reported to the	Charter District	Three times annually
instruction in order to meet	the principals by	Classroom	district during monthly	and	
individual student needs	submission of	walkthroughs,	School Board Meetings.	Superintendent	
	weekly lesson plans	feedback from literacy			
	and Teacher Data	coach, Weekly lesson			
	Binders (live	plans, and teacher			
	document)	data chats.			

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

K-3 students receive an additional 60 minutes of reading instruction in addition to the 90 minute reading block. Teachers in grades K-3 are encouraged to complete their Reading Endorsement with the opportunity to receive reimbursement funds for all successfully completed course work. The Literacy Coach and Administration team provide frequent professional development targeted at Early Literacy Skills. Jefferson Somerset partners with North Florida University to infuse the ELLM curriculum and coaching cycle for the Pre K and Kindergarten programs.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	29,000
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	29,000
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	0
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	0
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	8,000
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	18,000
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	18,000
District expenditures on professional development	10,000
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	10,000
District expenditures on summer reading camps	10,000
	(COVID)
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	10,000
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	
Sum of Expenditures	142,000
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

SRA reading intervention materials are being utilized for the Summer Reading Camp. Due to the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) the instructional delivery model being used is Distance Learning through virtual lessons on the ZOOM platform. Students were identified as requiring reading intervention based on the Winter iReady Diagnostic data collection.

Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes \boxtimes No \square If yes, which grade levels? ___Grades 2-5_____

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

Jefferson Somerset Elementary school data was analyzed monthly during the 2019-2020 school year. Students were identified as performing 1-year below grade level and 2 or more years below grade level received targeted intervention throughout the school year. Diagnostic data was analyzed during the Fall AP 1 iReady and Winter AP 2 iReady administration. Intervention groups were adjusted every 6-8 weeks depending on the data analysis. This year due to the lack of FSA ELA test administration the iReady progress monitoring and teacher recommended retention list was used to identify students that were in need of a summer reading program.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Our Literacy Coach has a set schedule for all duties including, modeling best practices for teachers, providing intervention support for students, analyzing student data trends, preparing for Literacy Team and Leadership team meetings, and assembling instructional materials.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

The Literacy Coach reports to the Assistant Principal on a weekly basis to discuss the upcoming weekly schedule for support or adjustments. The Literacy Coach has access to the Human Resource manager on campus at all times.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes \Box No \Box

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - $\circ\,$ providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
It is communicated to	The coach keeps a	The Charter	The data is	Based on the results of
the principals by	binder with all logs	District	reviewed	the data, the team
classroom	that provide the	collaborates	Monthly and	determines the
walkthroughs,	times and	with	Quarterly. The	effectiveness of the
evaluations, weekly	instructional	Discretionary	Reading	instruction and
leadership and	practices that take	projects such	leadership	interventions. If data is
Department meetings.	place daily.	FDLRS, MTSS-RTI	team also	not meeting projected
		to identify any	analyzes	targets, the team
		professional	growth	conducts a needs
		development	targets to	assessment to revise
		needs for the	identify and	interventions and
		Literacy Coach	determine if	determine what, if any,
		to effectively	the	training opportunities
		implement the	achievement	may be needed to
		reading plan.	gaps are	support the school's
			closing.	literacy goals.

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that</u> can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree							
Grade I	Grade Level(s): K-5						
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Students are performing within the expected grade level range (scale score) on the initial diagnostic assessment (iReady).						
THEN:	TIER 1 Only						
	 Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities 						
		Core Curriculum					
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.						
-	K-5 Wonders – Promising Evidence https://s3.amazonaws.com/ecommerce-prod.mheducation.com/unitas/school/explore/sites/reading-wonders/essa-evidence-for-wonders.pdf Unique Learning Systems – ELA supplemental curriculum for special needs students – Strong Evidence https://www.n2y.com/research-and-results Imagine for ELLs – Promising Evidence						

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?

Review of student performance data from curriculum-based assessments and iReady diagnostic.

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?

Selection of curriculum is determined based on research and target student audience. To improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum, the school reviews data, makes revisions to the instructional plan, and determines any additional resources that may be needed to ensure effectiveness.

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Instruction is modified for distance learning by providing students with access to additional resources, such as instructional packets or PDFs. The students utilize a single sign-on portal where all instructional programs and tools are housed for easy access. Additionally, the students will have individualized touchpoints via e-mail, video message, phone call, or teleconferences with their teachers to focus on specific skills instruction.

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Fall placement: Student did not respond to or retain core instruction from previous year and data falls in the Tier 2 range according to iReady scale scores. Winter placement: Student is not responding to core instruction from 1st semester, or is making gains, but is still not caught up to grade-level. In addition to core instruction, student will receive differentiated/targeted support in small group instruction from the core teacher in center time as well as during the additional hour of instructional time (lowest 300). 					
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions					
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers provide systematic, explicit, and in are matched to the needs of the s provide multiple opportunities to a occurs during time allotted in add includes accommodations (IEP, ES TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	nteractive small grou tudents practice the targeted lition to core instructi	o instruction targetin skill(s) and receive f on	ng foundational/bari	rier skills Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions	
TIER 1 inst	SRA Reading Mastery Signature Series 45-60 minutes 2x Weekly	Fluency, (every 5 lessons) Curriculum- Based (every 20 lessons)	iReady Scale Scores K > 346 1 > 362 2 > 434 3 > 491 4 > 514 5 > 557	iReady Scale Scores K ≤ 346, 1 ≤ 362 2 ≤ 434 3 ≤ 491 4 ≤ 514 5 ≤ 557	Students who are not making adequate progress as measured by iReady diagnostic assessments or progress monitoring may be referred to the MTSS/PST to	

		consider addition Tier 3 intervent
Number of times a week intervention provided	2	Number of minutes per intervention session 45-
<i>including alignment with core curriculum and inst</i> Data chats conducted monthly between administration a performance. The data will be reviewed comparatively t determining what, if any, other barrier may be impeding	and teac o identi	chers will include analysis of data of Tier 1 and Tier 2 ify trends in growth, closing an achievement gap, and
Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strate promising evidence.	gies is s	supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or
p: •	d gov/n	<pre>icee/wwc/EvidenceSnapshot/418#</pre>
SRA Reading Mastery – Potentially Positive <u>https://ies.e</u>	0.501/11	

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Fall placement: Student did not respond to or retain core instruction from previous year and data and is significantly below grade level necessitating Tier 3 interventions. Winter placement: Student is responded to core instruction and/or Tier 2 interventions from 1st semester, or is making gains, but is not caught up to within 1 grade-level of their current grade. In addition to core instruction and Tier 2, in-class interventions, students will receive Tier 3 interventions via small group instruction with a reading interventionist. 					
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions					
nstruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Immediate, intensive intervention: • extended time • targeted instruction based on student need • small group or one-on-one instruction • accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) • more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions • additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration Assessment & Performance Criteria to					
instruction, TIER 3 Inten	Frequency remove Tier 3 and continue would prompt changes to Tier 2 interventions in Tier 3 interventions addition to Tier 1 instruction					
TIER 1 instr TIER	Ready LAFS 30-45 minutes 2-3x weekly	iReady Teacher Toolbox, Weekly	iReady Scale Scores K ≤ 346 1 ≤ 362 2 ≤ 434 3 ≤ 491	iReady Scale Scores K ≤ 300 1 ≤ 346 2 ≤ 362 3 ≤ 434		

Number of times a week intervention provid	ed	2-3	Number of minut session	es per intervention	30-4
performance. The data will be reviewed comp determining what, if any, other barrier may be training of program implementation will be pro	impeding t	he effective	ness of the program	• • • •	
Explain how the use of the programs/materi promising evidence. Ready LAFS – Promising Evidence <u>https://www Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirer</u>	v2.curriculu				

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree
Grade L	evel(s): 6-12
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 6-8 Students are performing within the expected grade level range (scale score) on the initial diagnostic assessment (iReady), and students achieved FSA Level 3 or higher on previous year's FSA ELA assessment. Grades 9-12 Student earned FSA Level 3 or higher on previous year's FSA ELA assessment, or student has met concordant score for SAT/ACT.
THEN:	TIER 1 Only
TIER 1	 Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities

Core Curriculum

Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Study Sync – Promising Evidence

Results of a 2015 study showed that after controlling for performance on a beginning of year assessment, 7th graders in classes that used StudySync scored significantly better on a district-created end-of-year assessment than students in classes that did not use the program. On average, the students in this Oklahoma school district in classes that used StudySync scored 7% higher on the end-of-year assessment than their counterparts. Based on the quasi-experimental design and statistically significant positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence. In two districts in northern California, 7th- and 8th-grade students' average Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment (SBAC) ELA scores increased by 24 points from the year before adopting StudySync (2015-2016) to the first year

of StudySync implementation (2016-2017). Additionally, the average score for the 8th grade 2016-2017 cohort increased from "Standard Nearly Met" in 2015-2016 to "Standard Met" in 2016-2017. Based on the positive findings of this correlational study, we believe it meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

Results of a 2019 study revealed positive outcomes for StudySync users in a Wisconsin high school on the ACT Aspire, ACT, and STAR assessments. Specifically, 9th graders using StudySync performed significantly better on the Reading and Composite portions of the ACT Aspire than the published norm comparisons. Similarly, nearly two-thirds of 10th graders met ACT Aspire growth benchmarks for English and Composite after using StudySync for one school year. About three-fourths of 11th graders exhibited average or above average growth on the ACT during the year they used StudySync based on their prior year ACT Aspire results. Additionally, higher percentages of 9th and 10th graders met the STAR Assessment's spring benchmarks while using StudySync than in the two years prior to adopting StudySync. Finally, during the year in which StudySync was implemented 10th graders, on average, exceeded their expected growth score on the STAR Assessment by more than two and a half times (42 units expected vs. 119 units actual growth). Based on the use of norm comparisons and the presence of positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

A 2015 quasi-experimental study of StudySync use in one Kansas district revealed that high school students enrolled in classes that used StudySync performed statistically significantly better on the English and reading portions of the ACT Aspire assessment compared to similar students in the same school district enrolled in classes that did not use StudySync. On the reading portion, students in classes that used StudySync averaged scores that placed them one ACT Readiness Level above similar students enrolled in classes that did not use StudySync (Interpretive Guide for ACT Aspire, 2015). Based on the quasi-experimental design and statistically significant positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

Progress Monitoring					
Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
Grades 6-8 iReady Diagnostic – Three Assessment Periods (Beginning, Middle, End of year) iReady Growth Checks – Quarterly Grades 9-12	<u>Grades 6-8</u> FSA ELA Score 3-5 <u>and</u> iReady Scale Scores 6 > 581 7 > 598 8 > 609	Students who are not making adequate progress as measured by the diagnostic assessments or progress monitoring may be referred to the MTSS/PST to consider addition of Tier 2 Interventions.			
FSA ELA Spring, Summer, and Fall administrations Grades 6-12 Bi-weekly standards-based assessments	<u>Grades 9-12</u> FSA ELA Score 3-5				

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Review of data at monthly teacher- administration data chats, classroom observations, and teacher evaluation	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? The charter district will provide professional development opportunities in instructional strategies and training specific to the instructional curriculum that is being delivered.			
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Review of student performance data from curriculum-based assessments and iReady diagnostic.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? Selection of curriculum is determined based on research and target student audience. To improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum, the school reviews data, makes revisions to the instructional plan, and determines any additional resources that may be needed to ensure effectiveness.			
How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning? Instruction is modified for distance learning by providing students with access to additional resources, such as instructional packets or PDFs. The students utilize a single sign-on portal where all instructional programs and tools are housed for easy access. Additionally, the students will have individualized touchpoints via e-mail, video message, phone call, or teleconferences with their teachers to focus on specific skills instruction.				

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Most recent student FSA ELA achievement level is 1 or 2 Student is not responding to core instruction from 1 st semester, or is making gains, but is still not caught up to grade-level. In addition to core instruction student will receive additional instruction through differentiated/targeted support in small group instruction from the core teacher as well as 90 minutes additional reading instruction through Intensive Reading course.						
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions						
R 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & THER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Frequency Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 interventions in addition of Tier 3 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction 						
TIER	<u>6-12</u> Intensive Reading Course 50 minutes, Daily	<u>6-</u> 12 StudySync	iReady Scale Scores 9 – SS > 620	iReady Scale Scores 9 – SS ≤ 620	Students who are not making adequate progress as		

StudySync, 20-30 minutes, Daily (Repeated Readings) iReady (diagnostic purposes for 9-12) <u>6-8</u>	Biweekly Standards-Based Assessments	10 - SS > 640 11 - SS > 652 12 - SS > 660	$10 - SS \le 640$ $11 - SS \le 652$ $12 - SS \le 660$	measured by the diagnostic assessments or progress monitoring
iReady 20-30 minutes, 2-3x Weekly Ready Florida 20-30 minutes, 2-3x Weekly <u>9-12</u> Performance Coach 20-30 minutes, Daily	<u>6-8</u> Ready Florida Weekly Lesson Assessments iReady Biweekly Standards Mastery	FSA ELA ACH 3-5 <u>9-12</u> Concordant score for SAT/ACT	FSA ELA ACH 1-2 <u>9-12</u> Did not earn concordant score for SAT/ACT	may be referred to the MTSS team to consider addition of Tier 3 Interventions
	<u>9-12</u> Performance Coach Monthly Assessments			

Number of times a week intervention provided

Number of minutes per intervention session

50

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

5

Data chats conducted monthly between administration and teachers will include analysis of data of Tier 1 and Tier 2 performance. The data will be reviewed comparatively to identify trends in growth, closing an achievement gap, and determining what, if any, other barrier may be impeding the effectiveness of the program.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

StudySync – Promising Evidence

Results of a 2015 study showed that after controlling for performance on a beginning of year assessment, 7th graders in classes that used StudySync scored significantly better on a district-created end-of-year assessment than students in classes that did not use the program. On average, the students in this Oklahoma school district in classes that used StudySync scored 7% higher on the end-of-year assessment than their counterparts. Based on the quasi-experimental design and statistically significant positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

In two districts in northern California, 7th- and 8th-grade students' average Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment (SBAC) ELA scores increased by 24 points from the year before adopting StudySync (2015-2016) to the first year

of StudySync implementation (2016-2017). Additionally, the average score for the 8th grade 2016-2017 cohort increased from "Standard Nearly Met" in 2015-2016 to "Standard Met" in 2016-2017. Based on the positive findings of this correlational study, we believe it meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

Results of a 2019 study revealed positive outcomes for StudySync users in a Wisconsin high school on the ACT Aspire, ACT, and STAR assessments. Specifically, 9th graders using StudySync performed significantly better on the Reading and Composite portions of the ACT Aspire than the published norm comparisons. Similarly, nearly two-thirds of 10th graders met ACT Aspire growth benchmarks for English and Composite after using StudySync for one school year. About three-fourths of 11th graders exhibited average or above average growth on the ACT during the year they used StudySync based on their prior year ACT Aspire results. Additionally, higher percentages of 9th and 10th graders met the STAR Assessment's spring benchmarks while using StudySync than in the two years prior to adopting StudySync. Finally, during the year in which StudySync was implemented 10th graders, on average, exceeded their expected growth score on the STAR Assessment by more than two and a half times (42 units expected vs. 119 units actual growth). Based on the use of norm comparisons and the presence of positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence.

A 2015 quasi-experimental study of StudySync use in one Kansas district revealed that high school students enrolled in classes that used StudySync performed statistically significantly better on the English and reading portions of the ACT Aspire assessment compared to similar students in the same school district enrolled in classes that did not use StudySync. On the

reading portion, students in classes that used StudySync averaged scores that placed them one ACT Readiness Level above similar students enrolled in classes that did not use StudySync (Interpretive Guide for ACT Aspire, 2015). Based on the quasiexperimental design and statistically significant positive findings we believe that this study meets the criteria for ESSA Tier 3, or "Promising" evidence. Beady LAES – Promising Evidence https://www2.curriculumassociates.com/aboutus/Press-Belease-Curriculum-Associates-i-

Ready LAFS – Promising Evidence <u>https://www2.curriculumassociates.com/aboutus/Press-Release-Curriculum-Associates-i-</u> <u>Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirements.aspx</u>

Repeated Readings - <u>https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/759</u>

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

StudySync, Ready Florida, and Coach Digital offer supplementary activities and PDFs to provide support through distance learning. Teachers will be able to deliver instruction via e-mail, video message, phone call, or teleconferences with their teachers to focus on specific skills instruction and measuring progress.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Fall placement: Student did not respond to or retain core instruction from previous year and data and is significantly below grade level necessitating Tier 3 interventions. Winter placement: Student is responded to core instruction and/or Tier 2 interventions from 1 st semester, or is making gains, but is not caught up to within 1 grade-level of their current grade. In addition to core instruction and Tier 2, in-class interventions, students will receive Tier 3 interventions via small group instruction with a reading interventionist.						
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions						
Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions 							
bue	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitor	ing			
ventions, a ntions		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions			
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER Interventions	<u>6-12</u> Intensive reading class with small group of 2-3 students with interventionist, targeted instruction Self-Regulated Strategy Development Ladders for Success <u>6-8</u> Ready Florida Teacher Toolbox	<u>6-8</u> Ready Florida Standards Mastery, Weekly <u>9-12</u> Coach Digital Weekly Standards- Based Assessments	iReady Scale Scores 9 – SS ≤ 620 10 – SS ≤ 640 11 – SS ≤ 652 12 – SS ≤ 660 OR FSA ELA ACH 1-2	Students who are not making adequate progress as measured by the diagnostic assessments or progress monitoring may be referred to the MTSS team to reconsider Tier 3 Intervention strategies and/or referral to ESE Director.			
	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.						

Number of times a week intervention provided	2-3	Number of minutes per intervention session	20-30
What procedures are in place to identify and soli including alignment with core curriculum and in Data chats conducted monthly between administration performance. The data will be reviewed comparatively determining what, if any, other barrier may be impedin training of program implementation will be provided to	struction? n and teache y to identify t ng the effecti	rs will include analysis of data of Tier 1, Tier rends in growth, closing an achievement gap veness of the program. Professional develo	2, and Tier 3 o, and
Explain how the use of the programs/materials/stra	tegies is sup	ported by strong evidence, moderate evide	nce, or
promising evidence. Ready Florida – Promising Evidence <u>https://www2.cur</u> <u>Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirements.asp</u> Self Regulated Strategy Development – Potentially Pos Repeated Reading – Potentially Positive Evidence <u>http</u>	<u>ox</u> sitive Evidend	e https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention	