FLVS 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Patricia Hunt	Literacy Manager	phunt@flvs.net	239-826-7564
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Richard Rizzo	Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy	rrizzo@flvs.net	407-734-3910
Secondary ELA	Katie Santana	Direction of Instruction – Full-Time	Ksantana@flvs.net	954-899-2259
Reading Endorsement	Patricia Hunt	Literacy Manager	phunt@flvs.net	239-826-7564
Reading Curriculum	K-5 Taylor Harris	Curriculum Specialist ELA	tharris@flvs.net	407-756-4171
	6-12 Amanda Messer	Curriculum Manager ELA	amesser@flvs.net	304-972-6569
Professional Development	Patricia Hunt	Literacy Manager	phunt@flvs.net	239-826-7564
Assessment	Dr. Alicia Parker	Sr. Manager – Analysis, Assessment, & Accountability	aparker@flvs.net	386-847-5032
Data Element	Student data: Elizabeth Gagne	Full Time School Operations Admin.	egagne@flvs.net	941-322-7217
	Staff data: Jill Ogletree	Certification Specialist	jogletree@flvs.net	321-297-3921
Summer Reading Camp	Sheri Sico	FTES Assistant Principal	ssico@flvs.net	850-361-8697
3 rd Grade Promotion	Sheri Sico	FTES Assistant Principal	ssico@flvs.net	850-361-8697

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The reading plan is shared with all stakeholders by the literacy manager with district and school level leadership to be distributed across their teams. The plan can also be found on our internal literacy site and the FLVS website that is accessible to all stakeholders including families and community members. Additionally, the plan will be shared at our School Advisory Council meetings at each school.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
Reading		(e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	collected?	being collected?
Oral language	Oral Language and Word Knowledge Screening	Progress Monitoring	Individual Assessments	3x per year
Phonological awareness	Dibels Beginning, Middle, and End PSF Assessment	Progress Monitoring	Individual Assessments	3x per year
Phonics	Dibels Beginning, Middle, and End NWF, WRF	Progress Monitoring	Individual Assessments	4x per year
Fluency	Dibels Beginning, Middle, and End ORF	Progress Monitoring	Individual Assessments	4x per year
Vocabulary	K-1 Module Assessments/DBAs	Summative/Formative	Individual Assessments	8 times per year
Comprehension	2-5 iReady Diagnostic K-1 Module Assessments/DBAs	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring Summative/Formative	Individual Assessments	3 times per year 8 times per year
-	2-5 iReady Diagnostic	Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring		3 times per year

6-12

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
6-12 iReady	iReady – Reading skill levels of students that are identified as performing below grade level	Growth Check Assessments -	Individual Assessments Int. Reading Classes	3X per year
9-12 Scantron	Reading skill levels/Standard mastery of students that are identified as performing below grade level	Diagnostic Progress Monitoring	Individual Assessments Int. Reading Classes	3X per year
Discussion Based Assessments	Student performance/grades	Formative	Individual Assessments	Quarterly
Module Assessments/Exams	Student performance/grades	Summative	Individual Assessments	Quarterly

		Data Analysis ar	nd Decision-making	
How often is the data being reviewed and by whom?	What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on the data?	What steps is the district taking to see building and classroom level data and to share findings with individual schools?	How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is not being implemented in an explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?	Who at the district level is responsible for providing plan implementation oversight, support, and follow-up?
Monthly	 School and district teams identify trends based on data. Goal for student improvement is created with schools Identify challenges and resources Provide training if needed Implement use of resources and strategies Review data and analyze effectiveness Reflect and continue, revisit, or choose new goal 	The Literacy Manager monitors the progress monitoring data monthly through data baseball cards and through supplemental program reports. Additionally, the Literacy Manager reviews the data that demonstrates our students' proficiency on the FSA as it correlates to our ELA curriculums. The Literacy Manager meets with the Directors of Instruction and the school administration to discuss findings. School administration meets regularly with the Directors of Instruction to discuss data and student progress to create school goals.	The Literacy Manager meets with the directors of instruction and the school administration to discuss plan implementation through small group meetings. School administration meets regularly with the Directors of Instruction to discuss data and student progress the need for specific goals within the school.	Literacy Manager Director of FLEX Elementary and Literacy Director of Instruction FT

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

Practice Who ensures that the How is the purpose How often is the data How is the data being How often is the data shared and by whom? practice is informed communicated? being collected? being reviewed and by by a specific purpose? whom? Weekly reading Grade level meetings FTES – Weekly FTES - Data is shared one-Reviewed monthly by Principals walkthroughs by **Assistant Principals** SH Meetings on-one and in groups by Principal and/or Assistant **FTMS** – Teachers administration. Principals One on One calls administrators collect weekly. FTMS - Weekly by Email **FTMS** - Supervising Admin. monthly supervising Assistant Assistant Principal will **FTHS** - Teachers share data via weekly Principal collect weekly. FTHS - Weekly by updates, faculty meetings, including our department meetings, team supervising Assistant Homeroom Student meetings, & one-on-one Principal. **Progress Monitoring** calls Report, HRSPR. Data collected by Admin will be monthly. Principals FTES - Grade level FTES - Every 6 FTES - Data is shared on the **FTES** - Every 8 weeks or Data chats and schoolhouse **Assistant Principals** weeks School Data on an as needed basis by **RTI** Specialist, meetings, school FTMS & HS – Sheet. Discussions include calendars, and email. teachers, Admin, RTI Intervention Teachers, Monthly FTMS & HS – One-Specialist, Intervention Admin, and Literacy Teachers, and Literacy on-one calls Coach FTMS & HS – Monthly Coach FTMS & HS - Supervising by supervising Asst. Assistant Principal will Principal share data via weekly updates, faculty meetings, department meetings, team meetings, & one-on-one calls **FTES** – Monthly FTES - Shared quarterly at FTES - Reviewed by team Reading Leadership Principals Pre-planning in-**Assistant Principals** service, School house School House meetings by FTMS & HS -Team per 6Aquarterly Literacy Coach meetings, Literacy Coach and team FTMS & HS - Ouarterly 6.053(3) F.A.C. Quarterly email/Teams members by Principal FTMS & HS- Principal, During one-on-one calls, team meetings, &

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

				email/Teams	
Monitoring of plan implementation	Principals Assistant Principals	Grade level meetings School house meetings One-on-one calls Email/Teams	FTES – On-going FTMS & HS - Quarterly	FTES – School house meetings, grade level meetings, by Administration Team FTMS & HS - Principal, During one-on-one calls, team meetings, & email/Teams	FTES - Monthly by Administrative team FTMS & HS – Quarterly by Principal
Other: (Specify)					
(Implementat	tion and Progress-monitor	ing	
What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on data?		How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the plan is not being implemented in a systematic and explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?		How will district leadership provide plan implementation oversight, support, and follow-up?	
FTES - Reading Plan data and Teacher-Student Progress (TSP) report will be reviewed during weekly administrators' meetings. Data will be reviewed during the subsequent weekly administrators' meeting. If the concern is at eacher level, it is addressed at the weekly grade level weekly administrators' meeting. If the concern is at schoolwide, the concern is addressed by the principal at a School House meeting. Concern is also discussed at the weekly 12-month staff meeting and at the bi-weekly meeting with the district curriculum manager. FTMS - Intermediate supervisor 1:1 with the veekly administrators' meeting. If the concern is account is addressed by the principal at a School House meeting. Concern is also discussed at the weekly 12-month staff meeting and at the bi-weekly meeting with the district curriculum manager. FTMS & HS – The administration team will meeting with the reading teachers and in team meetings, administrative team meetings, administrative team meetings, administrators' meetings. Data		 FTES - The TSP school report v of Instruction (immediate super weekly 1:1 call with the principa is developed if needed, plan is re FTMS & HS – The Director of weekly with the principal. Co developed if needed, plan is revised 	visor of the principal) during a al. Concerns are discussed, plan viewed weekly. Instruction for Full-time meets oncerns are discussed, plan is		

will be analyzed per teacher, per grade level, and	
overall school. The specific area of concern will	
drive the next step. Additional problem-solving	
steps include Reading Leadership team meetings,	
administrative team meetings, and school wide	
data review.	

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	Training opportunities are shared by the Literacy Manager and Professional Learning Team to principals through emails and meetings.	Principals conduct Monthly Walkthrough conversations with teachers. This training is part of the annual back-to-school required trainings.	Training is tracked continuously through Pathways.	Literacy Manager	Literacy Manager
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing	Training opportunities are shared by the Literacy Manager to	Principals conduct Monthly Walkthrough conversations with teachers	Training is continuously tracked in Pathways. Coaches record specific professional	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs –	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary &
adequate growth	principals through emails and meetings.		development and coaching in monthly coaching logs.	Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy	Literacy
Identification of mentor teachers	Training opportunities are shared by the Literacy Manager to principals	School-based administrative teams work together to identify mentors	Reported quarterly or per segment. Excel/Word document shared with admin/lead teacher team.	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy

	through emails and meetings			Elementary & Literacy	
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Training opportunities are shared by the Literacy Manager & Professional Learning to principals through emails and meetings	School-based administrative teams work together to identify model classrooms	Pre-planning and start of segment 2 Excel/Word document shared with admin/lead team.	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	Directors of Instruction share need for weekly PD and collaboration for instructional staff with school administration	School administration creates schedule and monitors participation. Administration discusses with teachers during scheduled data chats and classroom walkthroughs.	PLC work is tracked in Pathways in conjunction with Professional Learning	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy	Full-Time schools – Director of FT Instruction FLEX programs – Director of FLEX Elementary & Literacy

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90-minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	Literacy Manager	Principal reviews walk	Principal will meet with	Director of	Weekly with Director of
an evidence-based sequence of	reviews plan with	through data with	Director of Instruction,	Instruction-Full	Instruction, Bi-weekly
reading instruction	Directors of	assistant principals	Literacy Manager and	Time, Literacy	with Senior Manager of
	Instruction and	weekly.	Senior Manager of	Manager and	Elementary Curriculum
	meets with		Elementary Curriculum	Senior Manager of	Development, Quarterly
	principal to review		Development.	Elementary	with Literacy Manager
				Curriculum	
				Development	

Small group differentiated instruction in order to meet individual student needs	Literacy Manager reviews plan with Directors of Instruction and meets with principal to review	Principal reviews walk through data with assistant principals weekly. Principal reviews during weekly call with RtI Specialist.	Principal will meet with Director of Instruction, Literacy Manager, and Senior Manager of Elementary Curriculum Development	Director of Instruction-Full Time, Literacy Manager and Senior Manager of Elementary Curriculum Development	Weekly with Director of Instruction, Bi-weekly with Senior Manager of Elementary Curriculum Development, Quarterly with Literacy Manager
--	---	---	--	--	---

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

The district is prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in several ways. We have utilized funds to purchase progress monitoring resources, continued professional development in multisensory instructional methodologies such as Orton-Gillingham, and by providing a full-time literacy coach to the elementary school along with additional coach support to deliver specific PD as needed. Additionally, we continue to support the Full-Time Elementary school with supplemental instructional resources as needed.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	0
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	\$652,688.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	\$52,604.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	0
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	\$567,224.96
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	\$227,231.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	\$10,000.00
District expenditures on professional development	\$51,114.04
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	\$20,000.00
District expenditures on summer reading camps	\$32,000.00
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing elementary schools	0
Flexible Categorical Spending	0
Sum of Expenditures	
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	\$1,612,862.00

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

iReady – Moderate - <u>https://www.curriculumassociates.com/research-and-efficacy/i-ready-evidence-impact</u>

Learning A-Z (Raz-Plus) Moderate - <u>https://www.learninga-z.com/site/resources/research-and-efficacy/tutoring-reading-study?product=5324</u>

This study meets moderate evidence standards because it used a well-controlled quasiexperimental design and produced statistically significant positive effects with a large sample size across multiple sites. * ^{Participants} were 359 second-grade students from rural communities in Ohio. Students were either receiving Title I services or exhibited cognitive or learning disabilities.

Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes X No \Box

If yes, which grade levels? 2-4

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

Elementary – Each grade level has a literacy coach K-5, we also have a district elementary coach that provides overall support to all principals and coaches as needed.

Middle - We have one coach that supports FLEX middle and one that supports Full-time middle

High – We have coaches that provide secondary support to our FLEX high school schoolhouses and one $\frac{1}{2}$ time coach for the Full-time high school.

Literacy Manager – Supports all facets of the district, supervises FLEX literacy coaches, provides professional development to the literacy team and district-wide literacy professional development.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

The Literacy Manager has shared the Literacy Coach job description with all instructional administration. Literacy Coaches meet bi-weekly with the Literacy Manager to discuss their work in schools. School level administration work with the teaching staff to ensure coaches are working within their roles.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

Coaches meet monthly with the Literacy Manager. If there are any concerns reported to the manager, it is then shared with the Directors of Instruction for further action.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes X No \Box

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - o the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 - $\circ\;$ administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - $\circ\;$ providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
The Literacy Manager is presenting the coach responsibilities to the FLEX ILs at their IL meeting. The literacy coach job description is being shared with all administrators through email and/or small group meetings.	Monthly through a Google Form	Literacy Manager	Monthly	 Data is reviewed with coaches during Monthly Walk Through meetings. Areas for growth are identified and analyzed. Ideas for change are identified by coach and manager. New goals and
				 4) New goals and implementation plan are developed by coach. 5) Plan is implemented. 6) Plan is evaluated and goal is revised or rewritten during the next month.

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;

• Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree

Grade Level(s): K-2

IF:	Kindergarter • DIB • Flor: (Benchmark) 1st Grade • DIB 2nd Grade • DIB	ELS (see chart be ida Kindergarten ELS (see chart be ELS (see chart be dy Reading (see	elow) Readiness Scr elow) elow) chart below)		e of 499-521	je)	
		LNF	PSF	NWF-CLS	WRF	ORF	
	Beginning	KG: 25+ 1st: NA 2nd: NA	KG: 12-19 1st: 39-50 2nd: NA	KG: 10-19 1st: 42-46 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 15-19 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 21-34 2nd: 51-84	
	Middle	KG: 37 + 1st: NA 2nd: NA	KG: 32-42 1st: 43-56 2nd: NA	KG: 26-35 1st: 54-67 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 17-31 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 35-50 2nd: 73-104	
	End	KG: 42+ 1st: NA 2nd: NA	KG: 47-52 1st: 45-60 2nd: NA	KG: 33-40 1st: 60-86 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 25-49 2nd: NA	KG: NA 1st: 44-75 2nd: 94-127	
	iReady On-Level Range						
	Beginning 2nd Grade 489-512						
	Middle 2nd Grade 513-536						
	End 537-560						
THEN:]	FIER 1 Only			

Initial instruction:

- is standards-aligned
- builds background and content knowledge, motivation
- provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction
- incorporates writing in response to reading
- includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)
- incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning
- includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities

Students receive Tier 1 instruction using K-2 ELA curriculum with classroom teacher.

Core Curriculum

Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

FLVS uses the FLVS K-2 ELA curriculum as its core curriculum. The curriculum is built in house and is aligned to the Florida Standards. The standards are taught with a spiraled instructional approach; building on the complexity of a standard until the standard is addressed at a mastery level. This approach gives teachers the ability to pinpoint where a student is struggling and provide additional support before students are expected to have full mastery of a standard. Lesson level and Module assessments are used to demonstrate standards mastery, as well as Discussion Based Assessments (DBAs). The school administration works closely with the Curriculum Manager to share student progress data to monitor curriculum effectiveness.

Additionally, FLVS Grade 2 uses iReady with all students – Moderate Level of Evidence - <u>https://www.curriculumassociates.com/research-and-efficacy/i-ready-evidence-impact</u>

	Progress Monitoring					
Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions				
 Module Exams, every 2-3 weeks Discussion Based Assessments, 2x per semester DIBELS 3x a year 	 Module Exams with a 70% or higher Discussion Based Assessments with a 70% or greater DIBELS- Benchmark Scores 	Students identified as below grade level on DIBELS (K-2) and/or iReady (2 nd grade) may be referred for Tier 2 interventions.				
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data Collection Spreadsheet, Data Chats with administration team, Lead Teachers, Literacy Coach, RtI Specialist, Intervention team.	 assessments. Teachers review data from assessments (K-2) and ill level of performance (on Teachers modify classro Teachers revisit data after A referral to the Interver 	nstruction?				

TIER 1

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? School-based administration meets regularly with grade-level teams in weekly data chats to discuss student progress. School-based administration meets bi-weekly with the Curriculum Manager to discuss student data and curriculum effectiveness.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? The curriculum and Analysis, Assessment, & Accountability departments analyze student performance data. State assessments and item analysis help us gauge the success of our curriculum. Our curriculum department stays up to date with changes to standards, revising courses on a schedule accordingly. The student data baseball card report helps to identify great areas of need within the curriculum based on student performance data.				
<i>How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?</i> All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the distrireading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curricu					

reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. Additionally, all FLVS students in grades 2-5 utilize iReady. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart.

	Curric	ulum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tre	ee		
Grade L	Grade Level(s): 3rd-5th				
IF:	• DIBI				
	• i-Rea	dy Reading see chart below			
	DI	BELS 8th Edition Core Support Levels (Green Range)			
		ORF			
	Beginning	3rd- 71-103 Words Per Minute 4th-87-130 Words Per Minute 5th-103-138 Words Per Minute			
	Middle	3rd- 105-131 Words Per Minute 4th 121-146 Words Per Minute 5th- 125-150 Words Per Minute			
	End	3rd 112-135 Words Per Minute 4th- 122-148 Words Per Minute 5th- 138-156 Words Per Minute			
		i-Ready On Level			
		i-Ready			
	Beginning	3rd- 511-544 4th- 557-578 5th-581-608			
	Middle	3rd- 545-560 4th-579-602			

THEN: TIER 1	5th-609-629 End 3 rd -561-602 4 th - 603-629 5 th - 630-640 Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and contended 5 th - 6 th					
	 incorporates writing in resp. includes accommodations (I incorporates the principles of 	•				
		Core Curriculum				
	Please indicate your core curriculum mode	and how its use by the students served erate evidence, or promising evidence				
	FLVS Grades 3-5 uses iReady with <u>https://www.curriculumassociates.</u>					
	Additionally, FLVS uses the FLVS 3-5 ELA curriculum as its core curriculum. The curriculum is built in-house and is aligned to the Florida Standards. The school administration works closely with the Curriculum Manager to share student progress data to monitor curriculum effectiveness. Each year our Analysis, Assessment & Accountability team completes a detailed analysis of FSA assessed items and FLVS' student performance. A comparison is made between standard frequency and student performance on the assessed standards. The data is shared with the curriculum team, district, and school administration. Curriculum update recommendations based on student data are made along with suggestions for classroom instruction.					
		Progress Monitoring				
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
	Students identified as below grade level on DIBELS or iReady may be referred for Tier 2 interventions.					
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data Collection Spreadsheet, data chats with Administration Team, Lead Teachers, Literacy Coach, Intervention Team.	Scores What procedures are in place to identify and solve problem improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? • Teachers review classroom performance ar curriculum assessments.				

	 Teachers review data from DIBELS/Oral Language and iReady assessments to determine level of performance (on, below, or above grade level) Teachers modify classroom instruction as needed. Teachers revisit data after interventions are implemented. Then referral to Intervention Team for possible Tier 2 placement if Tier 1 instruction is not successful.
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? School-based administration meets regularly with grade-level teams in weekly data chats to discuss student progress. School-based administration meets bi-weekly with the Curriculum Manager to discuss student data and curriculum effectiveness.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? The curriculum department and AAA team analyze student performance data. State assessments and item analysis help us gauge the success of our curriculum. Our curriculum department stays up to date with changes to standards, revising courses on a schedule accordingly. The student data baseball card report helps to identify great areas of need within the curriculum based on student performance data.
All students at FLVS receive their in reading plan are implemented through	<i>Tents who receive instruction through distance learning?</i> struction through distance learning. All components of the district h distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curriculum ystem, Educator. They complete their core module course work

through our Learning Management System, Educator. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students in grades 2-5 also utilize iReady programming. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart.

IF: Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:

1 or more years below grade level on -

- DIBELS
- Tier 2 progress monitoring assessments (based on current skill group and literacy skills being learned. For example, these can include but are not limited to letter names and sounds, letter combinations, fluency, etc.)
- and/or Discussion Based Assessments (DBA)

Kindergarten

- DIBELS (see chart below)
- Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener Scale Score of 455-498

1st grade

• DIBELS (see chart below)

2nd grade

- DIBELS (see chart below)
- iReady Reading (see chart below)

D	DIBELS 8th Edition Strategic Support Levels (Yellow Range)						
	LNF	PSF	NWF-CLS	WRF	ORF		
Beginning	KG: 16-24	KG: 7-11	KG: 4-9	KG: NA	KG: NA		
	1st: NA	1st: 29-38	1st: 25-41	1st: 8-14	1st: 5-20		
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 35-50		
Middle	KG: 31-36	KG: 23-31	KG: 17-25	KG: NA	KG: NA		
	1st: NA	1st: 34-42	1st: 41-53	1st: 13-16	1st: 10-34		
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 59-72		
End	KG: 35-41	KG: 37-46	KG: 24-32	KG: NA	KG: NA		
	1st: NA	1st: 40-44	1st: 49-59	1st: 20-24	1st: 28-43		
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 79-93		

iReady Strategic Support Range			
Beginning, Middle, End (Same range will be used)	2nd Grade 419-488		

THEN:

TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions K-2

TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions

Interventions:

- are standards-aligned
- *address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations*
- provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills
- are matched to the needs of the students
- provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback
- occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction
- includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)

TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 2 Progres	s Monitoring	
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would promp addition of Tier 3 interventions
Reading A-Z (Raz Plus)	Once every 5 weeks students will be assessed on the skills for the intervention they are receiving. These assessments will include but are not limited to phonics, phonemic awareness, etc. and/or reading comprehension.	3 consecutive Tier 2 progress monitoring assessments scoring an 80% or higher.	Tier 2 progress monitoring assessments showing students are below one or more grade levels.	Students will be considered as working below grade level if they receive a score below 70% on three consecutive Tier 2 progress monitoring assessments At this point, students would be placed in a skill group that would be more beneficial to their needs. For example: if a student is currently working below grade level in a Tier 2 Fluency skills group, they will be placed in a lower-level group such as Decoding.

Number of times a week intervention	
provided	

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

2

After assessing students and analyzing data (DIBELS, T2 progress monitoring assessments, grade level module exams), data chats are scheduled every five weeks with individual teachers, School-Based Administration, RTI Specialist, Intervention Teacher, and Literacy Coach to determine placement of students based on need.

Students are placed in one of the following small groups:

Kindergarten - Letters & Sounds; Phoneme Segmentation & Nonsense Words; Blending & Reading Foundations

1st Grade - Letters & Sounds; Letter Combos & Sounds; Rhyming & Fluency **2nd Grade** - Blending & Word Families; Syllables & Reading Foundation; Fluency; and Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Learning A-Z (Raz-Plus) Moderate - <u>https://www.learninga-</u>

z.com/site/resources/research-and-efficacy/tutoring-reading-study?product=5324

This study meets moderate evidence standards because it used a well-controlled quasi-

experimental design and produced statistically significant positive effects with a large

sample size across multiple sites. * ^{Participants} were 359 second-grade students from rural communities in Ohio. Students were either receiving Title I services or exhibited cognitive

or learning disabilities.

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the district reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. Students in grades 2-5 also use iReady. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart. Students that receive Tier 2 interventions attend additional small group instruction time. Student groups are based on course and/or iReady data

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:				
		below grade level on the i-Ready diagnostic, fluency screener, and/or			
		ELS chart below			
		ELS chart below			
	5th grade • See DIBELS chart below				
	DIBELS 8th Edition Intensive Support Levels (Yellow Range)				
		DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency			
	Beginning	3rd 61-76 Words Per Minute 4th-98-120 Words Per Minute 5th- 81-102 Words Per Minute			
	Middle	3rd- 88-104 Words Per Minute 4th 98-120 Words Per Minute 5th- 109-121 Words Per Minute			
	End	3rd 96-111Words Per Minute 4th 99124 Words Per Minute 5th-118-130 Words Per Minute			
		i-Ready Yellow Range			
		i-Ready - Diagnostic Assessment			
	Beginning	3rd- 474-510 4th- 496-556 5th- 542-580			
	Middle	3rd- 474-510 4th- 496-556 5th- 542-580			
	End	3rd- 474-510 4th- 496-556 5th- 542-580			
THEN:		TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions 3-5			
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	 Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback 				

- occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction
- includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)

Students receive Tier 1 instruction using 3-5 ELA curriculum with their classroom teachers. Additionally, students are placed into small groups and receive additional skill support for Tier 2 interventions directed by the classroom teacher.

TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies		TIER 2 Progress Monitoring				
& Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions		
 i-Ready Teacher Assigned Lessons & iReady Toolbox 1) Students receive a 15-minute direct instruction lesson on trailing comprehension standards such as: Main Idea, Theme, Author's Purpose, Vocabulary based on Tier 1 data. 2) Students will be assigned an iReady lesson by the teacher that matches the T2 instruction. 	i-Ready, weekly	3 consecutive Tier 2 Progress Monitoring assessments scoring an 80% or higher.	Tier 2 progress monitoring assessments showing students are below one or more grade levels.	Students will be considered as working below grade level if they receive a score of 70% or below on three consecutive iReady teacher assigned lessons. Students will be assigned an i-Ready lesson based on their needs. For example: if a 3rd grade student is struggling with the current skill, the intervention team will assign the student the same skill but, on their level, i.e. 1st grade. After completing the lower level lesson, the data will be reviewed, if the student is still struggling further Tier 3 evaluation will be pursued.		

Number of times a week intervention provided	2	Number of minutes per intervention session	30 minutes
		and solve problems to improve effectiv with core curriculum and instruction?	
iReady lesson scores, grade level m	odule exa Administr	DIBELS, iReady Diagnostic Assessment, T ms), data chats are scheduled every 5 week ation, RTI Specialist, Intervention Teacher, idents based on need.	s with
Main Idea, Vocabulary, etc. that has 70% or below on their Tier 1 modul	ve previo le exam w	s on grade level literacy standards such as T usly been assessed in Tier 1. Students that ro rill be placed in a T2 small group that provi- icy standard that was covered on the Tier 1	eceive a des
moderate evidence, or promising e iReady-Research based on data from during the 2016–2017 school year f greater learning gains than those wh substantial, but were also significan students, students with disabilities, strength of the evidence gathered m	widence. m over fo ound that no did not t across k economic eans that	als/strategies is supported by strong evider ur million students who took the i-Ready D students who used i-Ready Instruction expo use the program. These learning gains were ey student subgroups, including non-Cauca ally disadvantaged students, and English lea i-Ready Instruction meets the criteria for E s. <u>CurriculumAssociates.com/EssaResean</u>	iagnostic erienced e not only sian arners. The SSA Level
rning? students at FLVS receive their i trict reading plan are implemente A curriculum through our Learni i Ready. They complete their co iety of activities for student to en gned instruction based on the disc	nstruction ed through ng Mana ore modul ngage in f trict pacir	a through distance learning. All component a distance learning. Students utilize the FLY gement System, Educator. Students in grad e course work independently. These modul or practice. Students attend live lessons for g chart. Students that receive Tier 2 interve b. Student groups are based on course and/o	s of the VS K-5 es 2-5 also es contain a standards entions

IF:

Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:

Kindergarten

- DIBELS (see chart below)
- Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener Scale Score of below 454

1st grade

• DIBELS (see chart below)

2nd grade

- DIBELS (see chart below)
- iReady Reading (see chart below)

	DIBELS 8th Edition Intensive Support Levels (Red Range)				
	LNF	PSF	NWF-CLS	WRF	ORF
Beginning	KG: 0-15	KG: 0-6	KG: 0-3	KG: NA	KG: NA
	1st: NA	1st: 0-28	1st: 0-24	1st: 0-7	1st: 0-4
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 0-34
Middle	KG: 0-30	KG: 0-22	KG: 0-16	KG: NA	KG: NA
	1st: NA	1st: 0-33	1st: 0-40	1st: 0-12	1st: 0-9
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 0-58
End	KG: 0-34	KG: 0-36	KG: 0-23	KG: NA	KG: NA
	1st: NA	1st: 0-39	1st: 0-48	1st: 0-19	1st: 0-27
	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: NA	2nd: 0-78

iReady Intensive Support Range – Diagnostic Assessment

Beginning, Middle, End (Same range will be used)	2nd Grade 100-418

THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Grades K-2
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	 Immediate, intensive intervention: Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions Students will receive Tier 1 instruction using K-2 ELA curriculum with classroom teacher. Students will also receive Tier 2 interventions through small group and specific skill instruction with classroom teachers. Additionally, students

will receive Tier 3 interventions through small group or one-on-one with highly qualified intervention teacher.

TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring		
& Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance (would prompt ch 3 interve	nanges to Tier
Fountas & Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention	Tier 3 Progress Monitoring – Weekly; Dibels every 6 weeks	Student performance no more than 1 grade level behind in focus skills. Criteria is gathered from fluency screener data, i-Ready Diagnostic score (grade 2), Tier 3 progress monitoring scoresStudent assessments s that progress is not be made or retained in standards-based instru (score <70% or no improvement from previous Tier 3 Progry Monitoring, i-Ready Diagnostic 2 or more levels behind in a spec domain. Phonologica Awareness, Phonics, S Words, Fluency, Vocabulary, or Comprehension (grad or DIBELS fluency so two or more grade lev below. Data is triangulated, all three scores are used to mal data driven decision		not being ed in d instruction rom Progress Ready more grade n a specific blogical onics, Sight y, n (grade 2), ency score ade levels l three to make a
All Tier 3 Interventions must	be provided by a	teacher who is certified in reading or has	the reading endo	orsement.
Number of times a week intervention provided	4	Number of minutes per intervention sess	ion	15- minutes
<i>intervention, including alig</i> Regular Tier 3 progress moni interventions. If it is found the needs, groups will be adjusted Skills are standards-based and	comment with con toring is complete at students are not accordingly. I align with skills	nd solve problems to improve effectives re curriculum and instruction? ed weekly. It is then reviewed to determine t making progress based on assessment data being taught in the core curriculum.	the effectiveness and individual st	tudent
promising evidence.	osranis/muicrui	wish and goes is supported by sitong crittened	e, moueraie eraa	, 01
leveled-literacy-intervention- LLI has been evaluated in two	l <u>li</u> 9 qualifying studi	g - <u>https://www.evidenceforessa.org/progran</u> es. In one, in rural and suburban Georgia an s. Across 5 DIBELS scales, the average effe	d New York, stud	dents were

randomly assigned to LLI or control conditions. Across 5 DIBELS scales, the average effect size was ± 0.17 , with significant differences on Non-Word Fluency and Oral Reading Fluency. In a second study in Denver, there were very positive outcomes on the DRA2 in kindergarten but not in first or second grade, for a significant but small meaningful effect size of ± 0.10 . Averaging the two studies, the effect size was ± 0.13 .

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the district reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. Students in grades 2-5 also use iReady. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart. Students that receive Tier 2 interventions attend additional small group instruction time. Students receiving Tier 3 support attend additional one-on-one or very small group support.

IF:

Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:

2 or more years below grade level on the iReady diagnostic and/or fluency screener.

DIBELS 8th Edition Intensive Support Levels (Red Range)4		
	DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency	
Beginning	3rd 0-60 Words Per Minute 4th- 0-61Words Per Minute 5th-0-80 Words Per Minute	
Middle	3rd 0-87 Words Per Minute 4th- 0-97 Words Per Minute 5th-0-108 Words Per Minute	
End	3rd 0-96 Words Per Minute 4th 0-98 Words Per Minute 5th-0-117 Words Per Minute	

i-Ready 2 or more grade levels below		
i-Ready – Diagnostic Assessment		
Beginning	3rd- 419-473 4th- 474-495 5th 496-556	
Middle	3rd- 419-473 4th- 474-495 5th 496-556	
End	3rd- 419-473 4th- 474-495 5th 496-556	

	DRA Grade Level Chart - 2 or more grade levels below		
	Kindergarten Levels	A - 4	
	First Grade Levels	6 - 18	
	Second Grade Levels	18 - 28	
	Third Grade Levels	30 - 38	
	Fourth Grade Levels	40	
	Fifth Grade Levels	40 - 50	
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TI	ER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Grades 3-	
TIER 1 instruction,	Immediate, intensive intervent Interventions: are standards-aligne		

TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	 address gaps and reduce barriers to provide systematic, explicit, and im are matched to the needs of the stude provide multiple opportunities to provide multiple opportunities (IEP, ES) extended time targeted instruction based on stude small group or one-on-one instruction more frequent progress monitoring additional time allotted is in additional time allotted is in additional time allotted is in additional time allotted is mall freceive Tier 2 interventions through small Additionally, students will receive Tier 3 in intervention teacher. 	teractive small grou dents ractice the targeted tion to core instruct SOL or 504) ent need tion than TIER 1 instruc- ton to core instruction ng 3-5 ELA curricul group and specific s	p instruction targeting foun skill(s) and receive feedbac ion ction and TIER 2 intervention on and tier 2 interventions um with classroom teacher. kill instruction with classroo	<i>k</i> ons Students will also om teachers.
	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitor	ing
	Durunon	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions
	Reading A-Z (Raz Kids)	Tier 3 Progress Monitoring - every 3rd week	Student performance no more than 1 grade level below in focus skills. Criteria is gathered from fluency screener data, i-Ready Diagnostic score, Tier 3 progress monitoring scores, & DRA assessment. (DRA & i- Ready Diagnostics are done 3 times a year - beginning, middle and end)	Student assessments show that progress is not being made or retained in standards- based instruction (score <70% or no improvement from previous Tier 3 Progress Monitoring, i-Ready Diagnostic 2 or more grade levels behind in a specific domain. Phonological Awareness, Phonics, Sight Words, Fluency, Vocabulary, or Comprehension, or DIBELS fluency score two or more grade levels below. Data is triangulated, all three scores are used to make a data driven decision
	Words Their Way	Tier 3 Progress Monitoring - every 3rd week	Student performance no more than 1 grade level behind in focus skills. Criteria is gathered from fluency screener data, i-Ready Diagnostic score, Tier 3 progress monitoring scores, & DRA assessment. (DRA & i- Ready Diagnostics are	Student assessments show that progress is not being made or retained in standards- based instruction (score <70% or no improvement from previous Tier 3 Progress Monitoring, i-Ready diagnostic 2 or more grade levels

	done 3 times a year - beginning, middle and end)	behind in a specific domain. Phonological Awareness, Phonics, Sight Words, Fluency, Vocabulary, or Comprehension, or DIBELS fluency score two or more grade levels below. Data is triangulated, all three scores are used to make a data driven decision
--	--	--

All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.

Number of times a week intervention	4	Number of minutes per intervention	15
provided		session	minutes

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Tier 3 students' data is triangulated bi-monthly to monitor growth or lack of growth in targeted Florida Standards' Skills (such as phonics, vocabulary, theme, main idea, author's purpose, etc). It is then reviewed to determine the effectiveness of Tier 3 interventions. Groups will be adjusted to fit students' needs.

Skills are standards-based and aligned with the assessment data from Tier 1 and Tier 2 to instruct students in skills that would "close the gap" from previous grade levels.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Reading A-Z -Raz Kids – Moderate evidence Students whose teachers actively used Raz-Plus had significantly higher scores in the STAR Reading assessment compared to students whose teachers did not use Raz-Plus. Specific subgroups of students also showed significant differences in favor of Raz-Plus. Those subgroups included fourth-grade students, non-white students, and economically disadvantaged students.

https://www.learninga-z.com/site/resources/research-and-efficacy/razplus-milwaukeestudy?product=5324

Words Their Way, Bear, Invernizzi, & Templeton -Promising evidence

The study focused on improving second and fourth grade intervention students' spelling, phonics, vocabulary, and word study skills in a small group, pull-out format. Students used the intervention for 20 minutes, four times a week for 18 weeks. Results were analyzed for 257 participating students, taught by 23 intervention teachers across 15 schools in 6 states, with matched pretest/posttest scores.

https://assets.savvas.com/asset_mgr/current/202038/G_FFly_581N541-ESSAWTW.pdf?_ga=2.158865330.909806736.1602097217-387373928.1602097217

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the district reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS K-5 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. Students in grades 2-5 also use iReady. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart. Students that receive Tier 2 interventions attend additional small group instruction time. Students receiving Tier 3 support attend additional one-on-one or very small group support.

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree		
Grade Level(s):	6-8		
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:		
	Referencing student's previous placement and/or Spring 19/20 iReady diagnostic and/or student's most recent FSA ELA:		
	• The student scored a high Level 3 or above on most recent FSA ELA and/or scored one grade level below, at, or above grade level on the Spring 19/20 iReady diagnostic, the student is placed in Tier 1.		
	• The student scored a level 2 or low Level 3 on the most recent FSA ELA, the student will take the iReady diagnostic assessment to determine appropriate placement.		
	• The student scored one grade level below, at, or above grade level on the iReady diagnostic, the student is placed in Tier 1.		
	Student has no assessment scores when enrolled into FLVS FT MS:		
	• Student will take the iReady diagnostic assessment to determine appropriate placement. If a student scored one grade level below, at or above grade level on the iReady diagnostic, the student is placed in Tier 1.		
	See scale scores below for FSA ELA Level 3 placement criteria:		
	Incoming Grade 6 Students: Low (311-316), High (317-324)		
	Incoming Grade 7 Students: Low (321-328), High (329-335)		
	Incoming Grade 8 Students: Low (326-332), High (333-338)		
	See scale scores below for iReady Tier 1 placement criteria:		
	Incoming Grade 6 Students: 566 or Higher		
	Incoming Grade 7 Students: 583 or Higher		
	Incoming Grade 8 Students: 594 or Higher		
THEN:	TIER 1 Only		
TIER 1	Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities		
	Core Curriculum		

Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Based on performance data outlined above, the student is placed into a grade level MJ Language Arts class or if applicable, advanced 8th grade students are placed in English I. Tier 1 students receive appropriate levels of instruction that provide in-depth analysis of reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension. English I or grade level MJ Language Arts teacher will monitor student's progress with course assessments.

Curriculum is aligned with state standards, designed by FLVS Curriculum Department, vetted, and approved by the Florida Department of Education.

	Progress Monitoring	
Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions
Weekly Module Assessments and Exams	Student performs at 60% or higher proficiency on course assessments and exams	After additional Tier 1 strategies and team/administrative support, conferencing with the family etc., the student is consistently performing below 60% in the ELA course and/or not completing module assessments and exams, the student may then be referred to the Student Support Team for further review.
Discussion Based Assessments (1 or more per semester per course)	Student performs at 60% or higher proficiency on Discussion Based Assessments (DBAs)	After additional Tier 1 strategies and team/administrative support, conferencing with the family etc., the student is consistently performing below 60% in the ELA course and/or not completing Discussion Based Assessments, the student may then be referred to the Student Support Team for further review.
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?	What procedures are in place to effectiveness of Tier 1 instructio	o identify and solve problems to improve n?
 Administrative Walk Throughs Live Lessons Student Data from Course Assignments, Module/Segment Exams, Discussion Based Assessments Informal/Formal Observations 	immediate reteac ELA course as wel were successful w different certified general education lesson will provide Sharks' WIN (Wh	's Skills) which provides the hing of the essential standard in the I as enrichment for students who vith the essential standard. A teacher other than the initial teacher who was teaching the ELA the immediate reteach session. nat I Need) sessions - Sharks' WIN ded as needed for students who are

	 not submitting course assignments and/or are performing below 60% mastery. PLC Study Support with Resource Teachers and Literacy Coach Administrative monitoring of course data School-wide professional development on research-based literacy and metacognitive strategies (clarification, summarization, graphic organizers, outlining, prediction).
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Analyzing data from module exams, segment exams, (which are aligned to standards), DBAs to determine student retention of knowledge.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? The curriculum department and the AAA (Analysis, Assessment, and Accountability) team analyzes student performance data. State assessments and item analysis help gauge the success of curriculum. The curriculum department stays up to date with changes to standards, revising courses on a schedule accordingly. The Homeroom Student Progress Report (HRSPR) helps to identify areas of need within the curriculum based on student performance data.
All students at FLVS receive their reading plan are implemented thro through our Learning Managemen independently. These modules co	tudents who receive instruction through distance learning? r instruction through distance learning. All components of the district ough distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS 6-8 ELA curriculum at System, Educator. They complete their core module course work ntain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students ligned instruction based on the district pacing chart.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:
	Referencing student's previous placement and/or Spring 19/20 iReady diagnostic and/or student's most recent FSA ELA:
	• The student scored a level 2 or low Level 3 on the most recent FSA ELA, the student will take the iReady diagnostic assessment to determine appropriate placement.
	 Student scored level 1 on the most recent FSA ELA and/or scored two or more grade levels below, on the iReady diagnostic, student is placed with Tier 1 Instruction and Tier 2 Interventions.
	Student has no assessment scores when enrolled into FLVS FT MS:
	• Student will take the iReady diagnostic assessment to determine appropriate placement. If a student scored two or more grade levels below, on the iReady diagnostic (see chart below), the student is placed with Tier 1 Instruction and Tier 2 Interventions.
	See scale scores below for FSA ELA Level 3 placement criteria:

	Incon	ning Grade 6 Stude	ents: Low (311-316), H	ligh (317-324)			
	Incon	ning Grade 7 Stude	ents: Low (321-328), H	ligh (329-335)			
	Incoming Grade 8 Students: Low (326-332), High (333-338)						
	See scale scores below f	or iReady Tier 1 In	struction with Tier 2 I	nterventions placen	nent criteria:		
		Incoming Grade	e 6 Students: 565 or L	ower			
		Incoming Grade	e 7 Students: 582 or L	ower			
		Incoming Grade	e 8 Students: 593 or L	ower			
		-					
THEN:		TIER 1 instructio	n and TIER 2 interv	entions			
instruction and TIER 2 interventions	 provide systematic, e are matched to the r 	duce barriers to stud explicit, and interact needs of the students portunities to practic llotted in addition to ations (IEP, ESOL or 5 appropriate grade Reading course. The	e the targeted skill(s) ar o core instruction 504) e level MJ Language A e Intervention/Intens	ion targeting foundati nd receive feedback rts course. The stud	ent is also		
	TIER 2 TIER 2 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies						
	& Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions		
	iReady	Weekly Teacher Assigned Standard Aligned iReady Lesson. Weekly iReady Individually Leveled Lesson Monthly Growth Monitoring	Student scores on grade level in Fall, Winter, and/or Spring iReady diagnostic and is performing at grade level proficiency as evidenced by teacher assigned, standards based iReady weekly lessons. Student progress is reviewed by	Student is actively participating in Tier 1 instruction and Tier 2 interventions and is showing progress towards individualized targeted growth goals as measured by comparison of	If the student scores 3 or more grade levels below on Fall, Winter or Spring Diagnostic, the student will be referred to the Response to Intervention Team for		

		assessment in iReady Fall, Winter, and Spring Progress Monitoring using the iReady Diagnostic Assessment.		family and teacher and determination is made. Review team will consider DBA data, diagnostic data, observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor in its entirety before discontinuing Tier 2 Interventions.	baseline to ongoing assessments, fluency checks/iReady lessons and iReady Fall, Winter, and/or Spring diagnostic, and observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor.	review to determine appropriate Tier 3 interventions. Review team will consider DBA data, diagnostic data, observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor in its entirety before implementing Tier 3 interventions.
-	Number of times a week intervention provided2Number of minutes per intervention sessionMinimum 25 minutes					
	 What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? Administrative Walk Throughs Informal/Formal Observations Live Lessons Student Data from Course Assignments, Module/Segment Exams, Discussion Based Assessments Re-Teach (Shark's Skills) which provides the immediate reteaching of the essential standard in the ELA course as well as an enrichment piece for students who were successful with the essential standard. A different certified teacher other than the initial general education teacher who was teaching the ELA lesson will provide the immediate reteach session. Sharks' WIN sessions. Sharks' WIN sessions are provided as needed for students who are not submitting course assignments and/or are performing below 60% mastery. PLC Study Support with Resource Teachers and Literacy Coach Administrative monitoring of course data School-wide professional development on research-based literacy and metacognitive strategies (clarification, summarization, graphic organizers, outlining, prediction). 					
	Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. iReady – Moderate evidence - HumRRO, a third-party research firm, examined the impact of <i>i-Ready Instruction</i> for Reading among middle school students in Grades 6–8 during the 2017–2018 school					

Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 Criteria to the second seco							
All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the distruct readin plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS e-8 ELA curriculum through our income learning. Students utilize the FLVS e-8 ELA curriculum through our distored particular participation of activities for student to engine in for practice. Students in Tier 2 Intensive Read also utilize ifReady programming. Students attend live lessons for stundards aligned instruction hased on the sestions. IF: Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Referencing student's previous placement and performance with Tier 1 Instruction and Tier 2 Interventions: i. Ready diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy diagnostic, the student scored three or more grade levels below on the iReady diagnostic, the student scored three or more grade levels below on the iReady diagnostic, the student scored three or more fract and the student score data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. i. fleedy ditin on the student scored three or more grade		2 criteria, HumRRO identified hierarchical linear modeling, Reading experienced statisti <i>Instruction.</i> Students using <i>i</i> -	d a final sample of 24 school HumRRO found that sixth gr cally significantly higher sprir <i>Ready Instruction</i> in Grades	s and nearly 19,000 students. ade students using <i>i-Ready Ir</i> ng scores than students not us 7 and 8 experienced higher s	Using <i>astruction</i> for sing <i>i-Ready</i> pring scores		
Referencing student's previous placement and performance with Tier 1 Instruction and Tier 2 Interventions: Ready diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. If the student scored three or more grade levels below on the iReady diagnostic, the studer receives Tier 1 Instruction, along with Tier 2, and Tier 3 Interventions. See scale scores below for iReady Tier 1 Instruction with Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventions placemed criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower		All students at FLVS receive th plan are implemented through of Learning Management System, modules contain a variety of ac also utilize iReady programmin district pacing chart. Students i	eir instruction through distance distance learning. Students utili Educator. They complete their tivities for student to engage in ng. Students attend live lessons	learning. All components of the ze the FLVS 6-8 ELA curriculum core module course work indepe for practice. Students in Tier 2 In for standards aligned instruction	district reading a through our endently. These atensive Reading based on the		
Referencing student's previous placement and performance with Tier 1 Instruction and Tier 2 Interventions: Ready diagnostic data will be utilized to determine necessary levels of support. If the student scored three or more grade levels below on the iReady diagnostic, the studer receives Tier 1 Instruction, along with Tier 2, and Tier 3 Interventions. See scale scores below for iReady Tier 1 Instruction with Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventions placemed criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower THEN: TIER 1 Instruction, TIER 2 Interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Interventions, and JIER 3 Immediate, intensive intervention: Interventions, and IIER 3 extended time Interventions, and IIER 3 intervention based on student need Intensive Interventions accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or SO4) Interventions accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or So4) Interventions addition to core instruction and TiER 2 interventions Interventions TIER 3 Interventions addition to acre Instruction and tier 2 interventions Intensive interventions (IEP, ESOL, or SO4) Interventions addition to the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading cour							
If the student scored three or more grade levels below on the iReady diagnostic, the studer receives Tier 1 Instruction, along with Tier 2, and Tier 3 Interventions. See scale scores below for iReady Tier 1 Instruction with Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventions placemeners Criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Incoming Grade 9 Students: 582 or Lower Interventions, and TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-one-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MU Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies 8 Duration	IF:	Referencing student's previo		-	ıd Tier 2		
receives Tier 1 Instruction, along with Tier 2, and Tier 3 Interventions. See scale scores below for iReady Tier 1 Instruction with Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventions placemer criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Interventions, and TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions Intensive Interventions Intensive Interventions Intensive Interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Intensive Interventions Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration TER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies TER 3 Program		• iReady diagnostic o	data will be utilized to deterr	nine necessary levels of suppo	ort.		
criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower THEN: TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions the student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention is in addition to Tier 2 instruction			-		stic, the student		
criteria: Incoming Grade 6 Students: 541 or Lower Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower THEN: TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions the student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention in addition to Tier 2 intervention is in addition to Tier 2 instruction		See scale scores below fo	r iReady Tier 1 Instruction w	ith Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventi	ons placement		
Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower THEN: TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Interventions, and TIER 3 Immediate, intensive intervention: interventions, and TIER 3 Immediate, intensive intervention: interventions, and TIER 4 Immediate, intensive intervention: interventions, and TIER 5 Treped instruction based on student need interventions accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) Interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and TIER 2 interventions The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction Performance Criteria to Tier 2 intervention Tier 1 instruction		<u>criteria</u> :					
Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower THEN: TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions Instruction, TIER 2 Immediate, intensive intervention: instruction, TIER 2 extended time interventions, and TIER 3 targeted instruction based on student need interventions, and TIER 3 more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions interventions accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) interventions more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier Vision or changes to			Incoming Grade 6 Studen	ts: 541 or Lower			
THEN: TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 interventions, and TIER 3 targeted instruction based on student need 9 small group or one-on-one instruction 9 accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) 9 more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions 9 additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions 1 The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction		Incoming Grade 7 Students: 565 or Lower					
TIER 1 Immediate, intensive intervention: instruction, Extended time interventions, and TIER 3 intersive more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions interventions more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction Performance		Incoming Grade 8 Students: 582 or Lower					
 extended time extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions The student is placed in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place in the MJ Intensive Reading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading instructor, and is referred to the intervention team for appropriate Tier 3 supports. 	THEN:	TIER 1 instructio	on, TIER 2 interventions, a	nd TIER 3 intensive interve	entions		
Programs/Materials/Strategies Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove Interventions in addition to Tier Would pro 1 instruction Changes to	instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive	 extended time targeted instruction I small group or one-oi accommodations (IEF more frequent prograditional time allots The student is placed in the in the MJ Intensive Reading 	e uction based on student need one-on-one instruction ons (IEP, ESOL, or 504) t progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions <i>e allotted is in addition</i> to core instruction and tier 2 interventions in the appropriate grade level MJ Language Arts course. Student is also place eading course, receives Tier 2 interventions from a highly qualified reading				
Programs/Materials/Strategies Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove & Duration Assessment & Frequency Performance Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Image: Criteria to remove Performance Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Image: Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Criteria to remove Image: Comparison of the system Criteria to remove Cre		TIER 3	Т	IER 3 Progress Monitoring			
		Programs/Materials/Strategies		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions		

Learning A-Z	The student intervention Reading Inte Teacher that weekly prog monitoring.	from a ervention t includes bi-	Student is actively participating in Tier 1 instruction, Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions and is showing progress towards individualized targeted growth goals as measured by comparison of baseline to ongoing assessments, fluency checks/iReady lessons and iReady Fall, Winter, and/or Spring diagnostic, and observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor.	A student who is not demonstrating success or growth with RTI session interventions with the Reading Intervention Teacher in a small-group or 1:1 setting is referred to the Student Support Team (SST) led by our RtI Specialist, where further instructional decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis.	
All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.					
Number of times a week inter provided	rvention	Minimum 4	Number of minutes per intervention session	15 minutes	
 intervention, including align Administrative Live Lessons Student Data for Assessments Formal/Inform Re-Teach (Shasstandard in the successful with general education reteach session Sharks' WIN sessubmitting court PLC Study School-wide p 	nment with co e Walk Throug from Course A hal Observatio rk's Skills) whi ELA course as the essential s on teacher wh essions. Sharks rse assignment Resource Teac rofessional de fication, summ	ore curriculum hs ssignments, M ns ch provides the well as an enri- standard. A dif to was teaching s' WIN sessions ts and/or are p hers and Litera velopment on narization, grag	odule/Segment Exams, Discus e immediate reteaching of the ichment piece for students wl ferent certified teacher other g the ELA lesson will provide t s are provided for students wh erforming below 60% master	e essential ho were than the initial he immediate no are not y.	

Data review meetings with student and family at the end of each phase facilitated by the Intervention Team

- Attendance records of RTI sessions
- Student Support Team (SST) led by our Rtl Specialist. Student Support Team (SST) including:
 - Administration
 - RTI Specialist
 - School Psychologists
 - Social Worker
 - Resource Teachers
 - School Counselor
 - General Education Teachers
 - ESE Managers
 - 504 Coordinators
 - Additional teachers, parents, and student (as determined by team)

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Learning A-Z (Raz-Plus) – Strong Evidence - Raz-Plus was evaluated in one randomized study involving 39 K-5 teachers from three high poverty rural elementary schools in two districts in the southeastern United States. After one semester, students in classrooms assigned to Raz-Plus as a supplemental resource scored significantly higher than control students on the STAR Reading assessment (effect size = +0.14). This qualifies Raz-Plus for the ESSA "Strong" category.

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the district reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS 6-8 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students in Tier 2 Intensive Reading also utilize iReady programming. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart. Students in Tier 2 also meet in small groups in Zoom twice a week for 25-30 minute sessions. Additionally, students receiving Tier 3 interventions meet with the teacher one-on-one or with another student for a live session 4 times a week for 15-30 minutes.

	Curriculum, Instructio	on, and Assessment Dec	ision Tree			
Grade L	evel(s): 9-12					
IF:	Student meets the following criter Referencing student's previous p student's most recent FSA ELA:	placement and/or Spring 19/20) diagnostic data and/or			
	higher on the Scantron Dia	A ELA score of 3, 4 or 5 OR has agnostic Assessment/iReady OR grades and relevant past test his	R has demonstrated exceptional			
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
	 incorporates writing in respo includes accommodations (IE incorporates the principles op 	ic, scaffolded, and differentiated in nse to reading				
		Core Curriculum				
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.					
	Based on performance data outlined above, student is placed into a grade level English Language Arts class. Tier 1 students receive appropriate levels of instruction that provide in-depth analysis of reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension. English Language Arts teacher will monitor student's progress with course assessments.					
TIER 1	Curriculum is aligned with state standards, designed by FLVS Curriculum Department, vetted and approved by the Florida Department of Education.					
		Progress Monitoring				
	Assessment & Frequency indicates Tier 1 is sufficient interventions					
	 Assessments are regular and frequent according to the course pace plan. Weekly Module Assessments and Exams Discussion Based Assessments 	Teacher observations, passing DBAs with a 60% or higher proficiency, and an average passing score of 60% or higher on assessments and/or overall grade.	After additional strategy and team/administrative support, conferencing with the family, if the student is consistently performing below 60% in the ELA course and/or not completing Discussion Based Assessments, the student may then be referred to the Student Support Team for further review.			

 How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Administrative Walkthroughs Live Lessons Student Data from Course Assignments, Module/Segment Exams, Discussion Based Assessments 	 What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? PLC Study Support with Resource Teachers and Literacy Coach School-wide professional development on research-based literacy and metacognitive strategies (clarification, summarization, graphic organizers, outlining, prediction)
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?
Analyzing data from module exams, segment exams, (which are aligned to standards), DBAs to determine student retention of knowledge.	Curriculum department and AAA analyzes student performance data. State assessments and item analysis help us gauge the success of our curriculum. Our curriculum department stays up to date with changes to standards, revising courses on a schedule accordingly. Our Homeroom Student Progress Report (HRSPR) helps to identify great areas of need within the curriculum based on student performance data.
All students at FLVS receive their ins reading plan are implemented through through our Learning Management Sy independently. These modules contai	ents who receive instruction through distance learning? struction through distance learning. All components of the district a distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS 9-12 ELA curriculum ystem, Educator. They complete their core module course work n a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students ed instruction based on the district pacing chart.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Referencing student's previous placement and/or Spring 19/20 diagnostic data and/or student's most recent FSA ELA: Student has earned an FSA ELA score of level 1 or 2 on their most recent test history OR a score of <i>one or more grade levels below</i> on the Scantron Diagnostic Assessment/iReady.
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	 Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) Student is placed in appropriate grade level English Language Arts course. Student is also placed in the Intensive Reading course and will be reviewed to the intervention teams to determine if further tier 2 supports are needed.

Programs/Materials/Strategies & DurationAssessment & FrequercyPerformance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 interventionPerformance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 intervention of tier 2 or more grade levels below on Fall, Winter or Spring Diagnostic assessments, sameasured by assessments, sameasured by assessments, fulnecy checks intervention assessments, fulnecy checks intervention assessments, fulnecy or standard based data from a highly qualified reading interventional data from a highly qualified reading intervention a data from a highly qualified reading intervention a data from a highly qualified reading instructor in its continuing its reventional iterventions.Performance Criteria continuing addition of Tier 3 actively actively assessments, fulnecy checks assessments, fulnecy checks assessments, assessments, assessments, asse	& Duration Targeted, small group instruction monitored by a highly qualified reading	& Freq 30 min Onc	uency	Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier	that would pr addition of T	rompt Tier 3
instruction monitored by a highly qualified reading instructor. Embedded authentic literacy practices in toolbox resources and lessons.	instruction monitored by a highly qualified reading	Onc	nutes,	Tion 2	1		
3 interventions.	practices in toolbox	ticke assign	; exit et or ment	Intervention may be discontinued based on data and student performance on grade level standard based assessments. Review team will consider DBA data, diagnostic data, observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor in its entirety before discontinuing Tier 2	actively participating in interventions and is showing progress as measured by assessments, fluency checks Fall, Winter, and/or Spring diagnostic, and observational data from a highly qualified reading	or more grade levels below of Fall, Winter of Spring Diagno and/or student not showing g as measured b assessments/fl checks, studer be referred to Response to Intervention T for review to determine appropriate Tri interventions. Review team consider DBA diagnostic dat observational from a highly qualified read instructor in it entirety before	e on or ostic, t is growt y luend nt wi the Ceam ier 3 Ceam ier 3 will data ta, data ing ts e 5 Tier
	Number of times a week intervention provided	2	Num	ber of minutes per	r intervention sessi	on	30

strategies (clarification, summarization, graphic organizers, outlining, prediction).
Embedded authentic literacy practices in course, lessons and toolbox resources.



IF:	Student meets the following crit Referencing student's previous j ELA:	0 0		l/or student's most recent FSA			
	Student has earned an FSA ELA assigned grade (Students who ar assessment to determine decodin	e more than 2 grade le					
	Fluency Scores for Decoding: Stone Stone States Sta	ound, they will be target FORF/Scantron/In	ted during Intervention Read ntervention placement.	ling as determined by			
	Yellow Zone-Fluency score is at or betwe Emphasis course and offered small group	-	••	2 English Language Arts with Reading			
	Red Zone-Fluency score is at or below 103 WRPM, student is already place in a Tier 2 English Language Arts with Reading Emphasis course and offered specially designed small group intervention services to target decoding concerns and address disfluency.						
THEN :	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions						
4TIER 1 instruction, TIER ;	• additional time allotted is	d on student need e instruction DL, or 504) nonitoring than TIER 1 ins i n addition to core instr e grade level English I		ent is also placed in the Intensive			
2 inter	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 3 Progress Monitoring					
interventions,	Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions			
and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Intensive Reading Intervention Sessions (RTI Tier 3)	Student receives small group and/or 1:1 intervention from a Reading Intervention Teacher, they will receive additional progress monitoring on a bi-weekly basis	Tier3 Intervention may be discontinued based on data and student performance on grade level standard based assessments. Review team will consider, diagnostic data, observational data from a highly qualified reading instructor in its entirety before discontinuing Tier 3 Interventions	A student who is not demonstrating success or growth with Intervention sessions with the Reading Intervention Teacher in a small-group or 1:1 setting is referred to the Student Support Team (SST) led by our RtI Specialist, where further instructional decisions outlined below will be made on a case-by- case basis. *Students who do not respond to the specific reading interventions will be provided with (1) extended			

			time for access to online content and intervention computer assisted programs, (2) Florida Higher Standards student performance tasks created by the Reading and Language Arts teams in collaboration with the literacy coach, (3) student performance tasks linked to the Florida Standards, (4) attendance monitored small group and/or 1:1 intensive intervention tutoring, (5) additional assigned skill based
			coach, (3) student performance tasks linked to the Florida Standards, (4) attendance monitored small group and/or 1:1
			additional assigned skill based interventions designed to address individual skill deficiencies, (6) teacher monitored and adjusted
			interventions based on progress monitoring tools and CBM's, (7) additional administrator monitoring and review of fidelity of literacy based live lessons, (8)
			additional support from administrative team, literacy coach, model and/or lead teacher(s), and the district literacy team, (9) offerings of targeted literacy based professional
All Tier 3 Interventions must be p	rovided by a teacher wh	o is certified in reading or has	development and instructional trainings.

Number of times a week	4	Number of minutes per	15 min
intervention provided		intervention session	

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Opportunities for collaboration with content-area teachers, regular standards-based progress monitoring administered in intervention sessions. Professional Learning Communities give teachers the opportunity to evaluate best practices, additionally teachers can work with the literacy coach, and several professional development opportunities are provided throughout the year. Data-driven conversations looking at standardized test, diagnostic test and progress monitoring assessment score information.

- PLC Study
- Instructional Support with Resource Teachers, Intervention Team, and Literacy Coach
- School-wide professional development on research-based literacy strategies (such as visible thinking routines, Close Reading strategies, morphology study etc.)
 - Student Support Team (SST) led by our Rtl Specialist. Student Support Team (SST) including:
 - \circ Administration
 - o RTI Specialist
 - o School Psychologists
 - o Social Worker
 - General Education Teachers
 - o ESE Managers
 - o 504 Coordinators

o Additional teachers, parents, and student (as determined by team)

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

FLVS has worked with Just Read to identify that we need to identify an evidence-based practice or program to implement. This will be addressed in the upcoming adoption year.

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

All students at FLVS receive their instruction through distance learning. All components of the district reading plan are implemented through distance learning. Students utilize the FLVS 9-12 ELA curriculum through our Learning Management System, Educator. They complete their core module course work independently. These modules contain a variety of activities for student to engage in for practice. Students attend live lessons for standards aligned instruction based on the district pacing chart. Students receiving Tier 2 interventions attend small group live sessions in Zoom twice a week. Additionally, students receiving Tier 3 supports