FAU-St. Lucie 2020-2021 K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Rachel Koenig	Assistant Principal	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Carmela Innamorato	Literacy Coach	carmela.innamorato@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Secondary ELA	Alison Perry	Literacy Coach	alison.perry@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Reading Endorsement	Rachel Koenig	Assistant Principal	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Reading Curriculum	Rachel Koenig	Assistant Principal	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Professional Development	Rachel Koenig	Assistant Principal	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Assessment	Suzan Eshleman	Assessment Coordinator	suzan.eshelman@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Data Element	Kathleen Perez	Principal	kathleen.perez@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
Summer Reading Camp	Rachel Koenig, Terri Campbell	Assistant Principal, School Counselor	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org, terri.campbell@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245
3 rd Grade Promotion	Rachel Koenig, Terri Campbell	Assistant Principal, School Counselor	rachel.koenig@stlucieschools.org, terri.campbell@stlucieschools.org	772-345-3245

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

FAU-St. Lucie intends to publish the Reading Plan on its school website. It will be accessible to all stakeholders such as Administrators, Coaches, Teachers, Parents, Data Specialists, School Counselors, and Community Partners. The Reading Plan is also part of the Professional Development Plan for the 2020-2021 School year.

Please note that FAU-St. Lucie consists of one school, Palm Pointe Educational Research School @ Tradition, which shares a unique partnership with Florida Atlantic University (FAU) and St. Lucie Public Schools (SLPS). Many elements of this plan are similar to those in SLPS' plan and all details will be shared with both parties. Both entities provide support and guidance to FAU-St. Lucie.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	Kindergarten FLKRS	Screener	STAR	Once per year
Phonological awareness	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports Performance Matters	Three times per year
Phonics	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports Performance Matters	Three times per year
Fluency	K-5 (Tier 2/3) DIBELS ORF; 1-4 iReady Oral Reading Fluency Assessments	Progress Monitoring	Excel Documentation	Monthly; Three times per year
Vocabulary	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports Performance Matters	Three times per year
Comprehension	K-5 iReady; 2-5 Unit Assessments	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring; Formative Assessment	iReady Reports; Performance Matters	Three times per year; Once per unit of study

6-8

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
		(e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress	collected?	being collected?
		monitoring/formative, summative)		
	Comprehension, Vocabulary	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Three times per year
iReady Diagnostic			Performance Matters	
	Comprehension, Vocabulary	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Months without a
iReady Growth Monitoring			Performance Matters	diagnostic assessment
Deading Dive	Vocabulary, Fluency,	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	Excel Documentation	Three times per year
Reading Plus	Comprehension			
Unit Assessments	Vocabulary, Comprehension	Progress Monitoring, Formative	iReady Reports	Three times per year
		Assessment	Performance Matters	Once per unit of study

	Data Analysis and Decision-making							
How often is the	What problem-solving	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated if it is	Who at the district level is				
data being	steps are in place for	taking to see building and	determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is	responsible for providing plan				
reviewed and by	making decisions	classroom level data and to	not being implemented in an explicit	implementation oversight,				
whom?	based on the data?	share findings with	manner, based on data to meet the needs	support and follow-up?				
		individual schools?	of students?					
District-/school-	Trends in the data are	Data is reviewed at grade	FAU-St. Lucie's Principal and SLPS	The FAU-St. Lucie leadership				
level leadership	identified at either a	and teacher levels from	Executive Directors are the points of	team, in partnership with the				
meets weekly to	district-/school-wide	each Unit Assessment via	contact if there are concerns with the	SLPS Curriculum, School				
review data. After	lens or grade level	Performance Matters by	district's Reading Plan implementation.	Improvement, and Talent				
each Unit	lens. This occurs	district-/school-level	During weekly district-/school-leadership	Development teams, are				
Assessment or	within each school	leadership, as well as SLPS	meetings, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal	responsible for providing plan				
iReady Diagnostic,	year, but also trends	Curriculum, School	reviews data with her leadership team to	implementation, oversight,				
data is reviewed by	are analyzed across	Improvement, and Talent	address concerns. Additionally, SLPS	support, and follow-up.				
both the district-	years. After trends are	Development. SLPS	Executive Directors review data with					
/school-level	identified - both	Executive Directors work	Curriculum, School Improvement, and					
leadership teams,	strengths and	closely with the SLPS	Talent Developments to address					
as well as St. Lucie	weaknesses - next	Curriculum Department as	concerns.					
Public Schools	steps are determined,	well, as school leaders to						
(SLPS) Curriculum,	as well as who will be	discuss trends, concerns,						
School	the point person(s) for	and accolades. During						
Improvement, and	support (e.g.	monthly SLPS						
Talent	professional	Principal/Assistant Principal						
Development. Unit	development for	and Literacy Coach						
Assessments are	teachers and/or	Meetings, data review is a						
administered	coaches, coaching	standing agenda item.						
following a district-	cycle support,							
wide calendar	collaborative learning							
based on the scope	and planning support,							
and sequence and	district support at the							
the iReady	school-level).							
diagnostics take								
place in the Fall,								
Winter, and Spring.								

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the practice is informed by a specific purpose?	How is the purpose communicated?	How often is the data being collected?	How is the data being shared and by whom?	How often is the data being reviewed and by whom?
Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators	FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Directors	July/August Leadership Professional Development	Weekly	The FAU-St. Lucie Principal will share the data with her SLPS Executive Director	The data will be reviewed monthly with district-level leadership
Data chats	FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Directors	July/August Leadership Professional Development & Monthly SLPS Principal Meetings	After Unit Assessments, based on Scope and Sequence (approximately every 3 weeks), and after the three iReady Diagnostics	The FAU-St. Lucie Principal will share the data with her SLPS Executive Director	The data will be reviewed monthly with district-level leadership
Reading Leadership Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C.	FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Directors	July/August Leadership Professional Development	Beginning of the year Changes reported as they are made	Survey; FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Director	Beginning of the school year; as needed based on data; FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Director
Monitoring of plan implementation	FAU-St. Lucie Principal, SLPS Executive Directors	July/August Leadership Professional Development & Monthly SLPS Principal Meetings	Monthly (at minimum)	During monthly meetings, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal and her SLPS Executive Director will monitor the implementation of the Reading Plan, sharing any concerns related to meeting the intent of the Reading Plan	The data will be reviewed as needed at weekly district-level leadership meetings
Other: (Specify)					
	•	Implen	nentation and Progress-monito	oring	•
What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on data?		How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the plan is not being implemented in a systematic and explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?		How will district leadership pro oversight, support and follow-u	· ·
	ved to assist with root en adequate progress is Factors to look at	FAU-St. Lucie's Princ	cipal will meet with leadership teachers who need support	FAU-St. Lucie's Principal, based determination, will meet with and leadership team to create	her SLPS Executive Director

include but are not limited to: teacher	progress monitoring and follow up. Through these weekly
attendance; student attendance;	district leadership meetings, updates will be provided to
implementation of instruction with	determine next steps.
fidelity; and needs for professional	
development.	

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention Each district must provide all elementary grades instructional personnel access to training sufficient to meet the requirements of s. <u>1012.585(3)(f)</u> .	-Master PD Plan -Summer Leadership Kickoff -Monthly SLPS Principal Meetings -Principal Communicator (weekly newsletter) -SLPS Office of Teaching & Learning Newsletter	Partnering with the SLPS Talent Development Coordinator and our Certification Specialist, principals will receive reports based on teachers whose certificate renewal is upcoming	A quarterly report will be pulled to determine how many teachers have completed this requirement.	Rachel Koenig, FAU-St. Lucie Assistant Principal; Ronald Barr, the SLPS Certification Specialist; Didi Campbell, the SLPS Coordinator of Talent Development	Rachel Koenig, FAU-St. Lucie Assistant Principal; Elizabeth Ramer, the SLPS Certification Specialist; Didi Campbell, the SLPS Coordinator of Talent Development
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth	-District Leadership Team (most often Executive Directors will communicate with Principals)	Monitored by classroom walkthroughs (informal observations), as well as data chats, to determine if the progress monitoring data is showing adequate student growth	Data is analyzed at weekly District Leadership Meetings to determine if the progress monitoring data is showing adequate student growth. If growth is not taking place, SLPS Executive Directors will continue to work	-FAU-St. Lucie Leadership Team -SLPS District Leadership Team (Executive Directors, Chief Academic Officer, ESE Director, Curriculum Directors, Deputy Superintendent, Superintendent, Talent Development Director,	Kathleen Perez, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal; SLPS Executive Directors for individual schools

Identification of mentor	The school	Each school assigns	with Principals in conjunction with the SLPS Curriculum, School Improvement, and Talent Development teams to support teachers and students Mentor logs are	Executive Director of Assessment and Accountability, School Improvement Coordinator Rachel Koenig, FAU-St.	Rachel Koenig, FAU-St. Lucie's
teachers	leadership team is trained yearly to match new teachers and mentors	a NEST (New Educator Support and Training) Administrator. This Principal or AP is the contact for District training and communication.	collected by the NEST Administrator and the end of semester and electronically sent to the District at the end of the year.	Lucie's Assistant Principal; Sally VanDereet (SLPS Talent Development Dept.)	Assistant Principal; Didi Campbell (SLPS Coordinator of Quality Instruction) Denise Rodriguez (SLPS Director of Talent Development)
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Each school will select model classrooms for teachers on their campus to tour to share best practices.	Principal will coordinate with Assistant Principals, school- based coaches and/lead teachers.	In progress to determine	In progress to determine	Kathleen Perez, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal; SLPS Executive Directors for individual schools
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	As part of the Administrative Handbook that is updated yearly, Principals are informed to create a schedule for planning and professional development.	Principals are required to create Collaborative Learning and Planning (CLP) schedules for their school and a member of the leadership team is required to attend these weekly meetings.	Principals are required to submit their schedule for CLPs at the beginning of each school year to their assigned SLPS Executive Director. If changes are made throughout the year, the revised schedule is re-submitted.	Kathleen Perez, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal; SLPS Executive Directors for individual schools	Kathleen Perez, FAU-St. Lucie's Principal; SLPS Executive Directors for individual schools

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90-minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How is it reported to the district?	To whom is it reported at the district?	How often is it reported to the district?
Whole group instruction utilizing an evidence-based sequence of reading instruction	Requirements are outlined in a SLPS K-5 Literacy Routine that highlights the components of literacy and where those components should take place within the Reading Block	Principal walkthroughs (formal and informal observations) Collaborative Learning and Planning is attended by Principals and leadership team members. Literacy coaches will also share information with Principals on an as needed basis Principals can monitor teacher lesson plans for whole group instruction.	Principal will report to her SLPS Executive Director during scheduled school visits. SLPS Executive Directors will then reach out to the Curriculum, School Renewal, or Talent Development teams for support as needed.	-FAU-St. Lucie Leadership Team -SLPS Executive Directors -SLPS District- Level Directors	Weekly District Leadership Meetings
Small group differentiated instruction in order to meet individual student needs	Requirements are outlined in a SLPS K-5 Literacy Routine that highlights the components of literacy and where those components should take place within the Reading Block. The plan is reviewed annually in the SLPS Principal and AP	Principal walkthroughs (formal and informal observations) During data chats, principals and leadership team members are monitoring the movement of students in fluid and flexible groupings based on student data.	Principal will report to her SLPS Executive Director during scheduled school visits. SLPS Executive Directors will then reach out to the Curriculum, School Renewal, or Talent Development teams for support as needed.	-FAU-St. Lucie Leadership Team -SLPS Executive Directors -SLPS District- Level Directors	Weekly District Leadership Meetings

meetings.	Literacy coaches will also share information with Principals on an as needed basis.		
	Principals can monitor individual teacher lesson plans for small group instruction.		

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

FAU-St. Lucie uses the majority of its Reading Instruction Allocation Funds for literacy coaches and interventionists, who primarily support teachers and students in grades K-3, as well as approved intervention program materials.

We utilize other funding sources for additional K-3 support, such as:

- K-2 Phonics Program Reading Horizons
- K-2 Curriculum Developer who supports Reading Horizons
- Purchase of The Reading Strategies Book for K-5 Literacy focused Coaches/Contacts
- Purchase of *The Writing Strategies Book* for K-5 Literacy focused Coaches/Contacts

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	\$122,000.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	\$30,000.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	\$22,130.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	
District expenditures on professional development	
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	
District expenditures on summer reading camps	
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	
Sum of Expenditures	
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	\$174,130.00

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

FAU-St. Lucie also partners with St. Lucie Public Schools for its Summer Reading Camp, which targets third graders. SLPS uses Scholastic Comprehension Club:

http://teacher.scholastic.com/products/comprehensionclubs/pdf/Comprehension_Clubs_research_final.pdf

Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes \Box No \boxtimes If yes, which grade levels?

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

FAU-St. Lucie is a single school, Palm Pointe Educational Research School @ Tradition, so it is able to fund coaches to support its teachers and students in reading.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

FAU-St. Lucie's principal has communicated this to her leadership team during monthly leadership meetings and to coaches through their weekly check-in meetings, where she reviews their calendars and how they are progressing working with assigned grade levels/teachers.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

FAU-St. Lucie's principal monitors this weekly. Coaches may go to her with concerns, or to one of FAU-St. Lucie's assistant principals if she is unavailable.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes \boxtimes No \square If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document. If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - $\circ\,$ providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
-SLPS Principal	-Microsoft	-Principals	Check-ins	-When data shows the
Meetings	Outlook	monitor their	occur at the	need, root cause analysis
-Attachment on the	Calendars	coaches and	school sites	is used to determine the
SLPS Communicator	-Task Logs	then share any	between the	next steps and levels of
(Weekly Newsletter)		needs with SLPS	principal and	support (e.g.,
-SLPS Job Description		Executive	coach	professional
for Reading Coaches		Directors	throughout	development, coaching
			the coaching	cycle). Data is analyzed
			cycle and	again to determine
			based on	effectiveness.
			assessment	-SLPS Curriculum Team,
			data	School Improvement
				Team, and the Talent
				Development Team
				supports with Reading
				Coach Trainings on the
				Coaching Cycle PD as
				needed and as per
				request from the
				Principal/Executive
				Directors

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that</u> can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

	Curriculum, Instructio	on, and Assessment Deci	sion Tree			
Grade Le	evel(s): K-5					
IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 3 or Above (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) Kindergarten FLRKS scaled score of 438-496 or 497-529 Grades K-5 iReady data is above the 43rd percentile Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is above 60% 					
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
TIER 1	 incorporates writing in responsion of the includes accommodations (IE) incorporates the principles of includes specially designed in Please indicate your core curriculum of the includes of the includes accommodation of the includes acco	c, scaffolded, and differentiated in nse to reading (P, ESOL or 504) f Universal Design for Learning (Struction for students with disabili Core Curriculum (Core Curriculum) (Struction for students served (Struction for students served) (Struction for students with disability) (Struction for students with disability) (Stru	ties I is supported by strong evidence, nod/reading-research/essa-			
TIE		Progress Monitoring				
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
	-iReady Diagnostic 3 times per year -iReady Growth Monitoring once/month -Unit Assessments approximately every 3 weeks (Grades 2-5)	-Above the 43 rd percentile -Sufficient progress towards the student goal (stretch and typical) -Above the 60 th percent	-Below the 43 rd percentile -Not making progress toward the student goal (stretch and typical) -Below the 60 th percent			
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings	What procedures are in place to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 i -District-wide Collaborative Lear take place at school sites. -Weekly District Data Review				

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? -District-wide Collaborative Learning and Planning protocols that take place at school sites. -Weekly District Data Review

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning? Students access Canvas, our Learning Management System, to receive instruction that aligns with scope and sequence. Teachers are expected to meet with groups of students or individually as needed via Microsoft Teams.

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 1 or 2 (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) Kindergarten FLRKS scaled score below 437 Grades K-5 iReady data is below the 43rd percentile Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is below 60% 					
THEN:	TIER 1 in	struction and	d TIER 2 interve	ntions		
tions	expectations targeting foundationc receive feedback	ıl/barrier skills				
rven	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring				
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions	
Iction	Reading Horizons	iReady Growth	A triangulation of data	A triangulation of data including	A triangulation of data	
l instru	iReady Instructional Materials	Monitoring (Monthly)	including class performance, intervention	class performance, intervention progress, and Growth	including class performance, intervention	
TIER 1	Journeys Toolkit		progress, and Growth		progress, and Growth	
	Wilson Fundations (Gr. K-2)		Monitoring progress. For Growth	Monitoring progress. For Growth	Monitoring progress. For Growth	
	Quick Reads		Monitoring, the Problem	Monitoring, the Problem Solving	Monitoring, the Problem	
	Imagine Learning English		Solving Team (PST) will meet	Team (PST) will meet to review	Solving Team (PST) will meet	

			to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that meet or exceed yearly progress will be exited from Tier 2 intervention.	the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines with inconsistent performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention. If deemed necessary, a change in intervention or interventionist can be determined at this time.	to revie perforr trend li toward of year Studen negativ lines w provide 3 in add to Tier Interve	mance ines ls end goals. ts with ve trenc ill be ed Tier dition 2
Number of times a week intervention pr	ovided	5	Number of minu	tes per intervention se	ession	30
Number of times a week intervention pr What procedures are in place to iden intervention, including alignment with The Problem Solving Team meets to revie assessments, and intervention data, to d they are not. For students not making pre- intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also h	t ify and th core c ew data, i etermine ogress, th	solve urricul ncludir if stud	problems to impr lum and instructing attendance, growents are making ad	rove effectiveness of on? wth monitoring, classro equate progress and t	f Tier 2 oom he root ca	ause if

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students identified area of need.

The recommended frequency of the Tier 2 Reading Horizons intervention is 15-minute sessions 3 times per week. The recommended frequency of the Tier 2 ELA i- Ready intervention is 30-minute sessions 3 times per week.

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Students were provided Tier 1, 2, and 3 intensive interventions at the end of the prior school year or if a large gap is determined based on the beginning of the year assessments, students will be provided Tier 1, 2, and 3 Interventions. Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 1.1 (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) Kindergarten FLRKS scaled score below 400 Grades K-5 iReady data is below the 20th percentile Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is below 30% 					
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2	interventions, a	and TIER 3 intensive inter	rventions		
2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on stu small group or one-on-one instru accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 5 more frequent progress monitori additional time allotted is in additional tim	iction 04) ing than TIER 1 instr		S		
sive I	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring			
ER 3 Inten		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions		
ıs, and Tl	Reading Horizons	iReady Growth Monitoring (Monthly)	A triangulation of data including class performance,	A triangulation of data including class performance,		
terventior	iReady Instructional Materials Journeys Toolkit		intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem	intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For		
TIER 2 in	Wilson Fundations (Gr. K-2)		Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals.	Growth Monitoring, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the		
iction,	Quick Reads		Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly	performance trend lines towards end of		
1 instruction,	Imagine Learning English		progress or questionable trend lines with	year goals. Students continuing with negative or		
TIER	Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR) Student Center Activities		inconsistent performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention but will not need to continue Tier 3.	questionable trend line even with the addition of Tier 3 intervention will receive a change in		

			intervention or interventionist.
All Tier 3 Interventions must be provide endorsement.	d by a teacher who	is certified in reading or has	the reading
Number of times a week intervention provided	3-5 in addition to Tier 1 and Tier 2	Number of minutes per intervention session	15-20 in addition to Tier 1 and Tier 2
Teams meet to review data including att data to determine if students are making making progress, the intervention, the ir Explain how the use of the programs/m evidence, or promising evidence.	g adequate progress ntensity, or the perso	and root cause if they are no on delivering the intervention	ot. For students not n is changed.
 Programs/Materials/Strategies are select Reading Horizons – <u>https://www.re</u> iReady Instructional Materials – <u>htt</u> 	adinghorizons.com/re ps://districtadministra	ading-method/reading-research	
 Journeys Toolkit - Strong (Evidenced Wilson Fundations (Gr. K-2) - <u>https:</u> <u>content/uploads/2015/04/FCRR_Fu</u> Quick Reads – Strong (EvidenceforE 	//www.wilsonlanguag indations Report.pdf		ator-PS3zVd (rating with
 Imagine Learning – Promising (Evide hyperlink) 			
 Florida Center for Reading Research <u>http://www.fldoe.org/academics/st</u> 			
How are Tier 3 interventions modified for Teachers will meet with students in sma evidence/research-based strategies may need.	ll groups via Microso also be utilized dur	oft TEAMS to deliver instruction ing this time to address stude	ion, supplemental ents identified area o
The recommended frequency of the Tier	r 3 Reading Horizons	intervention is 15-minute se	ssions 5 times ner

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree							
Grade Lo	ade Level(s): 6-8							
IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 6-8 last available FSA Scores are Level 3 or above iReady data is above the 43rd percentile Reading Plus, Grades 6-8 previous FSA Achievement Level: Satisfactory, Proficient, or Mastery Unit Assessment data is above 60% Tiers 2 and 3 will have this course in addition to their intervention course 							
THEN:		TIER 1 Only						
	 incorporates writing in respo includes accommodations (IE incorporates the principles of 	c, scaffolded, and differentiated in nse to reading						
	Core Curriculum							
	Please indicate your core curriculum ar	nd how its use by the students served evidence, or promising evidence.	is supported by strong evidence, moderate					
	 HMH Collections - <u>https://www.hmhco.com/programs/collections/research-and-results</u> iReady Instructional Materials - <u>https://districtadministration.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CAApril.pdf</u> 							
TIER 1		Progress Monitoring						
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions					
	-iReady Diagnostic 3 times per year -iReady Growth Monitoring once/month	-Above the 43 rd percentile -Sufficient progress towards the student goal (stretch and typical)	-Below 43 rd percentile -Not making progress toward the student goal (stretch and typical)					
	Unit Assessments approximately every 3 weeks	-Above the 60 th percent	-Below the 60 th percent					
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings	What procedures are in place to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 i -District-wide Collaborative Lear place at school sites. -Weekly District Data Review						

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning? Students access Canvas, our Learning Management System, to receive instruction that aligns with scope and sequence. Teachers are expected to meet with groups of students or individually as needed via Microsoft Teams.

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 6-8 last available FSA Scores are Level 1 or 2 iReady data is below the 43rd percentile Reading Plus, Grade 6-8, last year FSA achievement levels: satisfactory, proficient or mastery Unit Assessment data is below 60% Tier 2 will have this course in addition to their ELA course 						
THEN:	т	IER 1 instruc	tion and TIER 2 in	terventions			
	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduc provide systematic, exp are matched to the need provide multiple opport occurs during time allot includes accommodatio	licit, and interac ds of the studen unities to practi ted in addition t	tive small group instr ts ice the targeted skill(s to core instruction	ruction targeting foundation	nal/barrier skills		
su	TIER 2 TIER 2 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/Strategies &						
1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions		
tion and TIEF	Research/Intensive Reading Course (Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction) (Monthly)	Growth Monitoring	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention		
nstruct	Reading Plus		progress, and Growth	Monitoring progress. For Growth	progress, and Growth		
TIER 1 i	iReady Instructional Materials		Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend	Monitoring, the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines with inconsistent	Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with negative trend		

	or pro exi	es that meet exceed yearly ogress will be ted from Tier ntervention.	performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention. If deemed necessary, a change in intervention or interventionist can be determined at this time.	lines will provided in additio Tier 2 Intervent	Tier 3 on to
Number of times a week intervention provided	* 5	Middle Schools schedule. Stud	inutes per intervention ses in SLPS are on a 45 min/90 m ents attend every other day fo mins. with 45 min Reading and	in. routine or 45	*45 90
What procedures are in place to identif intervention, including alignment with Teams meet to review data including attend data to determine if students are making ac making progress, the intervention, the inter also be added.	core curi dance, gro dequate p	r iculum and in owth monitoring progress and roo	struction? g, classroom assessments, a ot causes if they are not. For	and interver r students n	ot
Explain how the use of the programs/mate or promising evidence. Programs/Materials/Strategies are selected iReady Instructional Materials – <u>https://</u> Reading Plus - Strong (<u>EvidenceforESSA</u>	based up	oon a SLPS distri	ct approved list.		

a :r

• additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions					
TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 3 Progress Monitoring				
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions		
Intensive Reading Course	iReady Growth Monitoring (Monthly)	A triangulation of data including class performance,	A triangulation of data including class performance,		
Reading Plus		intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines with inconsistent performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention but will not need to continue Tier 3.	intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students continuing with negative or questionable trend line even with the addition of Tier 3 intervention will receive a change in intervention or interventionist.		

All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.

Number of times a week intervention provided	*5	Number of minutes per intervention session Middle Schools in SLPS are on a 45 min/90 min. routine schedule. Students attend every other day for 45 minutes or 90 mins. with 45 min Reading and 45 min Language Arts.	*45- 90

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root causes if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Programs/Materials/Strategies are selected based upon a SLPS district approved list.

• Reading Plus - Strong (EvidenceforESSA.org)

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students' identified area(s) of need.

*NOTE: FAU-St. Lucie's school, Palm Pointe Educational School @ Tradition, serves students in grades K-8, therefore, no Gr. 9-12 Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree has been provided.