Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
Service Source did	We recommend DVR review	DVR and Service Source will be	The new contracts are in	January 30, 2018
not meet all required	the requirements for	developing new contracts within	negotiation stage with the	
yearly deliverables.	subsequent contracts to	the next six (6) months. DVR	DVR and Service Source.	Amanda Ulmer
	ensure that the deliverable	will ensure that the deliverable		245-3372
	amounts are achievable.	amounts are achievable.	Target date for finalizing	
			draft contract is January 30	
		Management Response	2018. Contract execution	
		as of July 20, 2017:	set for July 1, 2018.	
		The Workforce Innovation and		
		Opportunity Act (WIOA) has		
		established six primary		
		indicators of performance.		
		Once the new performance		
		measures are completed, DVR		
		will be able to incorporate these		
		measures into a new contract that		
		will provide more achievable		
		deliverables, which also align		
		with DVR's new primary goals.		
		Anticipated Completion: 9/30/17		

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
DVR omitted a penalty from Amendment #1, Contract #14-135.	We recommend DVR improve their amendment review process to ensure all contractual requirements, penalties, and deliverables are accurately included in amendments prior to approval and execution. We also recommend DVR ensure the appropriate penalties are included in all future contracts.	DVR and Service Source will be developing new contracts within the next six (6) months. Desk procedures and monitoring tools will be created to improve the amendment review process. The new contracts will include the appropriate financial consequences as needed. Management Response as of July 20, 2017: Review process - Completed Contract rewrite – 9/30/17	The new contracts are in negotiation stage with the DVR and Service Source. Target date for finalizing draft contract is January 30 2018. Contract execution set for July 1, 2018.	January 30, 2018 Amanda Ulmer 245-3372

Finding	<b>Recommendation</b> (s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
Service Source did	We recommend that Service	Relative to the initial finding, not		Tina Herzik,
not meet all required	Source enhance its processes	meeting the number of pre-	This responder has taken	Vice President
yearly deliverables.	to ensure they meet all	eligibility determinations, I have	over the Project Director	of Operations
	deliverable requirements.	the following comments, some	position as of 9/4/17. I	
		of which we have discussed.	worked as the Assistant	Execution of
		There exists a significant issue	Project Director with Steve	new contracts
		with DVR performance reports	Palumbo prior to my recent	is anticipated
		wherein the final PBPB reports we use to reconcile contractual	appointment, so I was involved in these processes.	by July 1, 2018. Staffing
		numbers to not match a number	ServiceSource is currently	is ongoing.
		of other reports the system	involved with contract	0 0
		generates, nor do they match the	negotiations with DVR to	
		numbers that the DVR	add key positions that will	
		Counselor Analysts document	assist with timeliness,	
		every month relative to	compliance, and overall	
		performance. I have discussed	flow of the casework	
		this with the DVR leadership and	approval, leading to more	
		one suggestion is that moving	accurate outcomes. An	
		forward we begin to use the	open discussion under the	
		analyst reports as they have	current contract negotiations	
		definitively signed off on the	involves contract language	
		work performed. Region 20A is	that would allow for	
		a very large unit and we missed	ServiceSource to move	
		by only 24, having 11 counselor	vacant positions from one	
		FTE's and a significantly large	office to another to meet the	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		goal Region 8H is a different	needs of units without	
		matter as the restructuring of the	Contract Amendments in	
		unit responsibilities by the then	the future. Over the past	
		Area Director had a deleterious	twelve months, current	
		effect on our case sizes and	Director has worked with a	
		therefore performance, however	team of DVR Private	
		we have renegotiated some of	Supervisors to assist regions	
		our responsibilities in the region	23L and 23O with their	
		and in calendar year 2016 we	challenges in meeting their	
		have met the pre-eligibility goal.	deliverables and meeting the	
		We did miss our goal for pre-	State's expectations. These	
		eligibility compliance within 60	units have improved with	
		days in Region 23L, however	these extra supports. The	
		there are mitigating	proposed new contract	
		circumstances in this region.	would include a Supervisor	
		This region does not have a	position in the Keys 23L	
		DVR Counselor Analyst on site,	and the Area would then	
		nor are we staffed for a	provide a part time State	
		supervisory position which	Analyst for assistance in	
		necessarily slows down the	this region. Training and	
		review process. I will address	monitoring is difficult in	
		this during our next contract	this area based on the	
		negotiating session as well as	distance of these offices	
		with the DVR. As noted in your	from their current	
		report the number and	Supervisor. All cases have	

Finding	<b>Recommendation</b> (s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		percentage of gainful	had to be sent by mail to be	
		employment was waived as a	approved under the current	
		result of changing DVR	contract by the State	
		priorities. We are continually	Analyst in the Unit 23O.	
		monitoring our processes and we	This has resulted in some	
		certainly need to come to an	cases being approved late	
		agreement with the DVR as to	and management of	
		which numbers are the most	casework being delayed.	
		reliable and accurate. Too,	These new positions with	
		where there are inequities	reduce turnaround time on	
		relative to our meeting certain	approvals, improving	
		goals, these need to be addressed	compliance and providing	
		and discussed with the DVR. In	more oversight. Current	
		regions where timeframes were	Director has continued also	
		not met ServiceSource needs to	acting as Assistant Project	
		review our own alert parameters	Director for the Southern	
		to managers to more effectively	Units until the new Position	
		manage these outcomes.	of Assistant Project Director	
		Significant to the 90 day IPE	is added under the new	
		goals that were missed, in the	contract. The previous	
		regions where we currently	position was filled, and this	
		operate the DVR also did not	position is currently	
		meet this goal. In several	supporting the Northern	
		regions we were only off by 2-3	Units. Having that extra	
		percentage points and surpassed	oversight over the past three	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		the DVR area average	months has been a great	
		performance. This measure is	asset to the program. The	
		extremely difficult to track on a	second position will be a	
		regional and monthly basis and	great asset to assist with the	
		we are dependent on DVR	Southern offices as well.	
		reports which come out monthly.	We have also requested an	
		Too, the RIMS system does not	additional position in our	
		asterisk an appropriate waiver as	largest unit 20A for a	
		it does for 60-day acceptance	Consultant position. This	
		waivers, so there is a question of	unit has one of the largest	
		how the compliance count	caseloads in the State of	
		calculates. Additionally, we	Florida with two acting	
		cannot track individual employee	Counselor Analysts to	
		compliance as the system does	support. There is only one	
		not report on this, so it is not	Unit Supervisor supporting	
		possible to initiate corrective	four county offices. This	
		action on those individuals who	consultant will be of	
		are most deficient in this area in	assistance to the Supervisor	
		the unit. This is definitively an	in maintaining compliance,	
		issue where we will ask to have	training, and any other	
		this measure removed from our	additional supports needed	
		contract in our upcoming	for this large group. The	
		negotiations.	contract updates have been	
			submitted and	
			ServiceSource is waiting on	

Finding	<b>Recommendation</b> (s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		Management Response	final approval from DVR.	
		as of July 20, 2017	There are still challenges	
		Relative to the first point,	with accurate data collection	
		performance deliverables are	in some of the measured	
		different across several	areas with the DVR RIMS	
		contracts. As per WIOA the	system. We have had some	
		performance indicators will	issues over the past twelve	
		change, along with the DVR	months in some of the	
		RSA 911 report to our federal	offices with Field Services	
		partners. This will probably	Operating Procedure that	
		clarify some of the discrepancies	requires State Counselor	
		in reporting. We are also	Analysts to approve	
		addressing through negotiations	casework within 4 business	
		some of the staffing issues which	days. This has led to some	
		will rectify some of these	compliance issues in some	
		problems. Negotiations are on-	offices. This Project	
		going at this time. Through	Director has discussed the	
		quality review we have also	need for more consistency	
		addressed some of the timeframe	in these positions	
		parameters which have	throughout the state with the	
		improved; this is on-going.	Area Directors and Bureau	
		Anticipated Completion: 1/1/18	Chiefs, and we are working	
			together to ensure that these	
			issues are being resolved.	
			We all experienced Natural	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
			Disasters this past twelve	
			months. The Hurricanes in	
			September, 2017, closed	
			many offices throughout the	
			state for different periods of	
			time. During the month of	
			the hurricane and in some	
			regions into the following	
			month, we needed to justify	
			some deliverables not being	
			met. The Keys offices were	
			closed for almost an entire	
			month due to storm damage.	
			Many participants moved	
			out of the area causing case	
			closures and consumer	
			contacts not being able to be	
			made in established	
			timeframes. Other offices	
			were also affected by these	
			storms causing reasons for	
			justifications for casework	
			not met.	
			Over the past twelve	
			months, the Bureau has	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
			rolled out many new	
			positive initiatives that our	
			units have been	
			participating in and	
			complying with as well as	
			keeping up with their	
			deliverables in most cases.	
			My team of Supervisors and	
			Assistant Project Director	
			have been working very	
			closely with me to support	
			the staff in working towards	
			meeting their required	
			yearly deliverables. I am	
			confident that the new	
			contract and its additions	
			will also support our	
			continued success.	
Service Source did	We recommend that Service	This finding indicated there was	ServiceSource provided	
not meet all required	Source enhance its processes	several instances where we did	justifications for every	Tina Herzik,
monthly deliverables	to ensure they meet all	not report on all required	month over the past twelve	Vice President
and did not provide	deliverable requirements,	monthly minimums with an	months for all units that met	of Operations
justification for all	and an appropriate	explanation. We have three sets	less than the minimum	~
unmet monthly	justification and a plan for	of eyes looking at these reports	standards under the current	Completed.
deliverables.	meeting the requirement in	on a monthly basis who are	contract. We are continuing	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
	subsequent months is	involved in the reporting process	to do so moving forward as	
	included when they do not	and in most instances when this	well. Over the past few	
	meet deliverable	occurred the error was caught	months, it has been an	
	requirements.	well before any billing was	expectation of each DVR	
		submitted. I myself will be more	Area Director that	
		diligent in this area as I am	ServiceSource attempt to	
		responsible for generating the	reach 100% compliance	
		response. In a number of	with case alerts as well as	
		regions, the monthly was unmet	100% compliance in the 60	
		but the annual goal was	and 90 day goals for	
		ultimately met. Often when the	Eligibilties and IPE	
		monthly minimum is unmet I	processing. The RIMS	
		review performance to date to	system does not take the	
		ensure that we are not falling	extensions into	
		behind on the annual goal. We	consideration for this goal.	
		do address the issue but can	This is not in line with our	
		include more detail in the future	current contract	
		if required. Not all goals	expectations, but our units	
		currently in the contracts are	have been complying to the	
		reasonable due to the changing	best of their ability along	
		nature of VR. We could not	with paying attention to our	
		anticipate the need to	current goals and	
		immediately close cases	deliverables as well. This	
		negatively that were not	recent focus by DVR is not	
		currently active due to the DVR	part of ServiceSource's	

Finding	<b>Recommendation</b> (s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		needing a more accurate	contract deliverables, but	
		assessment of their budgetary	I'm assured will be added to	
		needs. Order of selection was	the new contracts. This	
		another variable. The new RSA	Director has supported this	
		requirement to serve transitional	mission throughout the	
		youth with pre-employment	private units in the State.	
		services and 504 students is a	The State DVR is	
		federal mandate, however these	restructuring how they want	
		cases will take a significant	cases to look as part of the	
		effort on the part of my staff	changes brought with	
		with no anticipated outcomes.	WIOA and the changes in	
		These issues and others will be a	RSA indicators. The shift	
		focal point of negotiations to try	has gone from quantity to	
		and attain more equity in the	quality, which is not	
		outcomes so that we may	completely reflected in the	
		reasonably achieve all required	current deliverables.	
		outcomes. We will again also	Quality is always an	
		review our own internal review	important part of our	
		process to reviews areas where	contract, and this is	
		we might improve.	emphasized by me and my	
			staff. They have also had to	
		Management Response as of	meet numeric deliverables	
		July 20, 2017:	that weren't required in	
		We have been more diligent in	State DVR units. Over the	
		reporting on a monthly basis and	past twelve months, some of	

Finding	<b>Recommendation</b> (s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
		it is rare when a reporting item is missed. These are however picked up during the monthly billing reports. The monthly minimums are also looked at from the perspective of annual achievement; in some cases the monthly is unmet but we are ahead on the annual goal. Some of the DVR deliverables in the various contracts are no longer a priority for the DVR; some are no longer measured, such as 60 day acceptance compliance. These issues will be addressed in contract negotiations, which are in progress.	our service areas have seen changes due to zip code assignments by Area offices. Some of this was done to ensure that all State offices were working with Transition Age students. Also, in some units, special projects were moved from offices and others added. For example, in Unit 230 we lost our Project Search caseload but we were given a Farm Worker Program. This program has needed Creole interpreting, so this has been a challenge at	Date & Contact
		Anticipated Completion: 1/1/18	times for this unit. Over the past twelve months, Service Source has adopted DVR's new face to face monthly documentation for staff. In some units where we saw the need for additional reinforcements,	

Finding	Recommendation(s)	Management Response as of January 20, 2017	Management Response as of January 20, 2018	Anticipated Completion Date & Contact
			we use this document on a	
			weekly basis to support any	
			staff that are in need of	
			additional training or	
			supports. This Project	
			Director has identified units	
			that are in need of further	
			assistance in training and	
			meeting compliance	
			measures over the past	
			twelve months, and we sent	
			in teams of Supervisors on	
			the Private side to provide	
			this training. Ongoing	
			contract negotiations are	
			addressing some of these	
			needs with the additions to	
			positions in some	
			areas/offices. Some of the	
			monthly performance	
			measures that we justified	
			that were not met were	
			rectified by the units	
			meeting their numbers by	
			the end of the contract year.	