

Accountability Update

FOIL November 3, 2020



www.FLDOE.org



Adjustments to the 2020-2021 Accountability Calculations



Calculation of Learning Gains (All Students and the Low 25%)

Current

- Learning gains are calculated based on the improvement from the prior year to the current year
- For example, a learning gain is measured based on improvement from the 2018 Grade 3 FSA ELA to the 2019 Grade 4 FSA ELA

Adjustment for 2020-2021

- To accommodate the missing year of assessment results (2020), learning gains would be calculated based on the improvement from the "prior-prior" year to the current year
- For example, a learning gain would be based on improvement from the 2019 Grade 3 FSA ELA to the 2021 Grade 5 FSA ELA



"Prior-Prior" Year to Current Year Learning Gains Considerations

- Requires statutory change to s. 1008.34, F.S., which defines learning gains as "annual," measuring gains from "one school year to the next" as well as a change to s. 1008.341, F.S., and Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.
- Preserves learning gains components in the school grades calculation providing for comparability and continuity – allowing for a meaningful comparison in school performance from 2019 to 2021
- With this adjustment, learning gains at the elementary school level would be based solely on the gains from 3rd grade (2019) to 5th grade (2021)



Calculation of Middle School Acceleration

Current

- Middle school acceleration is calculated based on the percentage of students who scored a Level 3 or higher in Grade 7 mathematics who pass a high school level EOC in Grade 8
- Students who earn industry certifications are also included (lagged measure), as well as all students who take high school level EOCs in middle school

Adjustment for 2020-2021

- To accommodate the missing year of assessment results (2020), middle school acceleration would be calculated based on the percentage of students who scored a Level 3 or higher in Grade 6 mathematics (2019) who pass a high school level EOC in Grade 8 (2021)
- Industry certifications and all EOCs in middle school remain included



Middle School Acceleration Calculation Considerations

- Requires a change to Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.
- 90% of students who score a Level 3 or higher in Grade 6 mathematics score a Level 3 or higher in Grade 7 mathematics
 - This indicates that using results from Grade 6 instead of Grade 7 serves as an accurate proxy to identify students for this calculation
- Preserves middle school acceleration component in the school grades calculation providing for comparability and continuity – allowing for a meaningful comparison in school performance from 2019 to 2021



2020-2021 Accountability Considerations

- In addition to School Grades, these adjustments would apply to the 2021 calculation of district grades, school improvement ratings for alternative schools, and the federal percent of points index.
- These would be one-year adjustments.
- Since these adjustments require statutory and/or State Board rule changes, <u>they are not final</u>, until the Legislature and State Board act.



Impact Data of Adjustments

2019 Actual Results

- 35% "A"
- 27% "B"
- 32% "C"
- 5% "D"
- <1% "F"

2019 Simulated Results (if adjustments described in this presentation are applied)

- 36% "A"
- 24% "B"
- 31% "C"
- 7% "D"
- 1% "F"
- Applying these one-year adjustments to preserve the learning gains and middle school acceleration components results in a similar distribution of results
- If the learning gains and middle acceleration components were excluded from the calculation, the distribution of results would vary greatly, with the change more likely being a reflection of a significant change in the calculation rather than an actual change in school performance
- The above results are a simulation and not a projection of actual results



Adjustments to the 2020-2021 Valueadded Model (VAM) Calculations





Model Adjustments

- Mirroring adjustments to accountability calculations, VAM models will be adjusted to use the most recent available assessment data.
- These will be one-year adjustments.
- Single year and aggregate scores will be produced.
- 3 year aggregate scores will still contain up to 3 years of data when available (2017-18, 2018-19, and 2020-21).



Model Use

- District use of results in local evaluation systems remains optional.
- Assurances required under SBE Rule 6A-1.099811 will remain in effect.
- Statewide Teacher Lookup Report in the secure section of the VAM Data Visualization Tool went live last week, to assist school and district administrators with recruitment and compliance with assurances in turnaround schools.



Statewide Teacher Lookup Report

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Ridoe.org
A Reports
District/Sch
Teacher Reports
District/School Teacher Search
Teacher List by School
State Teacher Summary
Statewide VAM Search
Copyright © 2020 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA. All Rights Reserved.



Statewide Teacher Lookup Report

tatewide	e VAM Searc	:h			🕅 Exp	ort All Teachers 🛛 🖹	Export Pinned Teacher
			Teachers ∓ F	inned Teachers			
Search Last Name	First Name		ertificate ID		ol of Employment	VAM Rating Sch	
Starts with BSI VAM Rating @ Highly Effective	e Effective Need		Starts with	Current Sc	hool of Employment	VAM Rating	School 🔻
Results 1 to 100 of 69,36	68						< <u>1</u> →
∓ Teacher Nam	ne/SSN4 🔺	Certificate ID	Current School of Emp School District		AM Rating School	BSI VAM Rating	BSI VAM Year
LAST NAME	E, FIRST NAME (0000)	000000000	0001 - SAMPLE SCH 00 - SAMPLE DISTRICT		001 - SAMPLE SCHOOL - SAMPLE DISTRICT	Effective	2018-19
							← 1 →
VAM Ratings							
ghly Effective	There is significant evid growth.	ence that the teacher	's impact on student lea	rning has been gr	eater than expected after	controlling for factors t	that impact student
fective	There is evidence that t	ne teacher's impact o	on student learning meet	s expectations aft	er controlling for factors t	hat impact student gro	wth.
eeds iprovement	There is moderate evide	ence that the teacher	's impact on student lear	ming has been les	s than expected after con	trolling for factors that	impact student growth.
nsatisfactory	There is significant evid	ence that the teacher	's impact on student lea	rning has been les	ss than expected after cor	ntrolling for factors that	impact student growth
		Copyright	t © 2020 SAS Institute Inc.,	Cary, NC, USA, All R	ights Reserved.		





Statewide Teacher Lookup Report

Statewid	e VAM Sear	ch		🕅 Exp	ort All Teachers 🛛 🕅	Export Pinned Teachers
			Teachers Finned T	eachers		
						× Unpin All Teachers
Pinned Teach 1 to 1 of 1	ers					\in 1 \checkmark \Rightarrow
			Current School of Employment	VAM Rating School		
Teacher Na	me / SSN4 🔺	Certificate ID	School District	School District	BSI VAM Rating	BSI VAM Year
	IE, FIRST NAME (0000)	000000000	0001 - SAMPLE SCHOOL 00 - SAMPLE DISTRICT	0001 - SAMPLE SCHOOL 00 - SAMPLE DISTRICT	Effective	2018-19
BSI VAM Ratings						\leftarrow 1 \checkmark \rightarrow
Highly Effective	There is significant evid growth.	lence that the teacher	's impact on student learning has l	been greater than expected after	controlling for factors	that impact student
Effective	There is evidence that t	he teacher's impact o	on student learning meets expectat	ions after controlling for factors th	nat impact student gro	owth.
Needs Improvement	There is moderate evid	ence that the teacher	's impact on student learning has b	peen less than expected after con	trolling for factors tha	t impact student growth.
Unsatisfactory	There is significant evid	lence that the teacher	's impact on student learning has l	been less than expected after con	trolling for factors tha	at impact student growth.
		Cur : 1	© 2020 SAS In the Co. NO. 11	ISA All Dista Dasarad		
		Copyright	t © 2020 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U	isa. Ali kights Keserved.		



State, District and School ESSA Report Card Update





Rebranding and Updates

- We are in the process of rebranding EduData to Know Your Schools.
- Existing urls will remain active and redirect.
- We will be updating the report cards with available data for 2019-20 before the end of the year.
- New look and feel will allow parents and other users a longitudinal look at many data elements.



EduData Portal



EDUDATA PORTAL

REPORT CARDS *

STRATEGIC PLAN * CLOSING THE GAP * **RESOURCES** *

ADVANCED REPORTS

🜀 Select Language 🔻

EduData | Provide Feedback | Subscribe for News and Updates

Florida's Education Information Portal







www.FLDOE.org









Compare Schools

BRENTWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL Miami-Dade School District Traditional Public, Elementary School Contact Information	BUNCHE PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL Miami-Dade School District Traditional Public, Elementary School Contact Information	BARBARA HAWKINS ELEM. SCHOOL Miami-Dade School District Traditional Public, Elementary School Contact Information		
School Overview	School Overview	School Overview		
	-			
Student Count Teacher Count	Student Count Teacher Count	Student Count Teacher Count		
552 35	384 33	285 17		
School Grade B 59%	School Grade Total School Grade C 53%	Total School Grade School Grade B 57%		
School Grade Components	School Grade Components	School Grade Components		
ELA Achievement: 45%	ELA Achievement: 48%	ELA Achievement: 57%		
ELA Learning Gains: 58%	ELA Learning Gains: 57%	ELA Learning Gains: 61%		
ELA Low 25% Gains: 51%	ELA Low 25% Gains: 63%	ELA Low 25% Gains: 50%		
Math Achievement: 70%	Math Achievement: 57%	Math Achievement: 64%		
Math Learning Gains: 74%	Math Learning Gains: 63%	Math Learning Gains: 57%		
Math Low 25% Gains: 62%	Math Low 25% Gains: 37%	Math Low 25% Gains: 42%		
Social Studies . Achievement:	Social Studies	Social Studies * Achievement:		
Science Achievement: 51%	Science Achievement: 45%	Science Achievement: 67%		
Middle School . Acceleration:	Middle School . Acceleration:	Middle School		
College & Career	College & Career . Acceleration:	College & Career * Acceleration:		
Graduation Rate: *	Graduation Rate: *	Graduation Rate: *		
Classes Taught by Inexperienced Out-of-Field Ineffective Teachers Teachers Teachers 2.9% 2.5% 0%	Classes Taught by Inexperienced Out-of-Field Ineffective Teachers Teachers Teachers 29% 0% 0%	Classes Taught by Inexperienced Out-of-Field Ineffective Teachers Teachers Teachers 0% 1.1% 0%		



Subgroup Performance

nomically Disadvantaged	School Grade Subgrou	p Details School Grade Subgroup Summary
ce/Ethnicity		
merican Indian/Alaskan Native	Selected Subgroup:	
sian	Economically Disadvantaged	i 🗸
llack/African American	Subgroup Grade	
lispanic	С	Subgroup Percent of Points ()
fultiracial	A = 62% or greater B = 54%	to 61% C = 41% to 53% D = 32% to 40%
lative Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	F = 31% or less	
Vītite	English Language Arts	Mathematics
bgroups		
	A shield she had	
conomically Disadvantaged	Achievement	47% Achievement 47%
conomically Disadvantaged	Learning Gains	47% Achievement 47%
conomically Disadvantaged nglish Language Learners aster	Learning Gains	44% Learning Gains 569
conomically Disadvantaged	Learning Gains	44% Learning Gains 569 Low 25% Learning



www.FLDOE.org

Subgroup Summary

District Grade by Race/Ethnicity							
American Indian/Alaskan Native	N/A	N/A					
Aclan	A	76%					
Black/African American	D	36%					
Hispanio	С	49%					
Multiraolai	С	43%					
Native Hawallan/Other Paoifio Islander	N/A	N/A					
White	С	51%					
Netriot Grade by Subgroup							
Economically Dicadvantaged	С	46%					
English Language Learners	D	39%					
Foster	F	22%					
Homeless	С	43%					
Migrant	С	43%					
Military Family Student	N/A	N/A					
Students with Disabilities	D	32%					
District Grade by Gender							
Female	С	52%					
Male	C	46%					

School Identified for Support

Overall Federal Index ()

Overall Federal Index, 47%							
A school is identified for Comprehensive Support & Improvement (CS&I) if it earns a D or F school grade, has an overall Federal Index of 40 percent or less, or has a graduation rate of 67 percent or lower. A school that is not identified for CS&I, but has an underperforming subgroup (any subgroup with a Federal Index at or below 40 percent), is identified for Targeted Support & Improvement (TS&I). The Florida Department of Education will provide support to school districts to reduce the achievement gap by increasing student achievement in CS&I and TS&I schools.							
Underperforming Subgroup(s): 🗸	1	Subgroup Federal Inc	dex			
White	C]	55%				
Black/African American		1	38%				
Hispanic	C]	50%				
Asian	C]	*%				
American Indian/Alaska	an Native]	*%				
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	Pacific]	*96				
Multiracial]	54%				
English Language Lear	mers 🗸	1	25%				
Students with Disabilitie	es 🗸		28%				
Economically Disadvar	ntaged]	43%				

22



Additional Sections

Population and Enrollment

Assessments - Academic Achievement, Growth, and Participation

Assessments - English Language Learners

Acceleration Success

Discipline and Attendance

Graduation and Beyond

Educator Qualifications and Equity

Long-Term Goals and Interim Progress

Accelerated Course Enrollment

Preschool Enrollment

Per-Pupil Expenditures

National Data

Blue Headers open and provide related charts and tables.



Tabs Further Group Related Information

Assessments - Academic Achievement, Growth, and Participation

Achievement

Growth Participation A

Alternate Assessment

Assessments - Achievement (Achievement Levels 1-5)

Florida School and District Grades consist of four achievement components: English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies. These





Student Population at a Glance





Compare Schools to District and State





Tables Provide Details for Charts

duation and	Beyond				
Graduati	on Rate	Postse	condary Cor	ntinuation Ra	te
			-	ntinuat	
				he first acade	
			-	ucation within ostsecondary	
<u> </u>					
	А	ny Posi	Total Stude	ry Enrollm	ent
2016-17			69.9%		
					-
C	%	20%	40%	60%	
				of Students	
			Statew	ide	

Statewide

2016-17 High School Graduate Postsecondary Enrollment

Category	Graduates Who Enrolled in Any Higher Education	Graduates Who Enrolled in Florida Public Higher Education	Graduates Who Enrolled in Florida Private or Out-of-State Higher Education
Total Students	124,996	106,892	18,583
Economically Disadvantaged	57,997	50,193	7,998
English Language Learners	2,140	1,886	257
Students with Disabilities	8,217	7,143	1,089
American Indian/Alaskan Native	432	356	77
Asian	4,503	3,889	629
Black/African American	24,869	19,792	5,195
Hispanic	37,821	33,976	3,960
Multiracial	3,483	2,901	592
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	127	103	25
White	53,761	45,875	8,105
Female	69,021	59,179	10,109
Male	55,975	47,713	8,474



Soon To Be Released



Longitudinal View of Grades and Key Performance Indicators





Juvenile Justice Education Program Accountability





DJJ Education Accountability Rule Updates

- In 2018, the SBE created Rule 6A-1.099812, F.A.C., outlining the DJJ accountability rating system framework and initially adopting eight components and a classification cut score methodology for determining the ratings.
- At the September 2020 SBE meeting, the DJJ accountability rating system was finalized. DJJ education programs will receive a rating of "Commendable," "Acceptable," or "Unsatisfactory" based on results for up to 12 rating components.



www.FLDOE.org



www.FLDOE.org