### **Rule Development Workshop**

Florida's Professional Learning Standards and Master Inservice Plan Requirements

> Public Input on Draft Rule 6A-5.071, F.A.C. August 16, 2018







### Agenda

Part 1	Part 3	Part 5
Overview of Statute and Professional Learning	Overview of Master Inservice Plan Requirements	Public Comments
Standards		
Part 2	Part 4	
Clarifying Questions	Clarifying Questions	



### **Input and Participation**

### During the Workshop

- Input and comments may be made in person, by conference call, or via the webinar platform.
  - Provide your name and affiliation
  - Comments may be timed to allow all who wish to speak the opportunity to do so

### Following the Workshop

 Input and comments may be mailed, emailed, left in the room, or provided online at <u>https://web02.fldoe.org/rules/Default.aspx</u>.



### **Input and Participation**

### Types of Input We Are Seeking

- Suggestions for changes or agreement with specific text in the rule (e.g., keep this..., delete this..., add this..., change this to read...).
  - To the extent that you can provide why, this will help us with context and tracking that same issue in other parts of the rule.
- Comments on whether the rule text actually accomplishes what the law or presentation indicates is intended.



### **Rule Adoption Timeline**

July 2018: Notice of Rule Development published

August 2018: Workshop and input on draft text

August – September 2018: Review public comments and revise rule text

September 2018: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published

October 2018: State Board meeting for possible rule adoption



# PART 1 **OVERVIEW OF STATUTE AND** PROFESSIONAL LEARNING **STANDARDS**





### Rule Authority and Content for 6A-5.071

Section 1012.98, F.S., School Community Professional Development Act

Compels each school district to establish and maintain a professional development system that

- Increases student achievement
- Enhances classroom instructional strategies that promote rigor and relevance throughout the curriculum
- Prepares students for college and career success



### **Goals for the Rule Development Process**

### Update Florida's Professional Learning Standards

### Reorganize the subsections





### **Subsections of the Rule**

1	Purpose
2	Professional Learning Standards
3	Master Inservice Plan Requirements
4	Submission, Amendment, and Review Criteria
5	Awarding of Inservice Points
6	Record Maintenance and Data Reporting
7	Other Eligible Entities



### Purpose

- Subsection (1) outlines the purpose of the rule which is to set forth the requirements for school district master inservice plans by
  - Establishing standards for high-quality professional learning;
  - Outlining the requirements for plan components;
  - Setting submission, amendment, and review criteria;
  - Providing specifications for awarding inservice points; and
  - Prescribing record maintenance and data reporting requirements.



 Subsection (2) outlines Florida's standards for highquality professional learning.





### **Professional Learning Standards Revision**

Drafting of standards by small group of stakeholders			Review of st and indica orkgroups o stakehol	tors by of internal		
Step 1		Step 2		Step 3	Step 4	
Revision of standards and drafting of indicators by committee of external stakeholders		ру	of standa	e distribution ards for inpu feedback		



### **Basic Principles**

Professional standards...

- Define the core expectations for effective practice in a specific field
- Are based on practices supported by research that is evidenced-effective in the field
- Accurately represent the knowledge, skills, and practices required for successful performance



### Format and Structure

Domains

Broad categories of knowledge and skills

Standards

Distinct, generalized statements that outline a particular professional competency or expectation

# Indicators

Discrete examples of practice or behaviors that indicate proficiency in the standard; provide context and clarification of intent



### Domains

0	Foundation
1	Needs Assessment and Planning
2	Learning
3	Implementing
4	Evaluating



#### Standard 0.1: Leadership

Professional learning requires skillful leaders who develop capacity, create support systems, and advocate for professional learning to continually improve educator practice and student outcomes.

#### Indicators

- Setting high standards for educator and student performance
- Developing expertise in self and others regarding effective professional learning and leadership
- Creating a coherent program of learning and leadership development opportunities for growth of all employees
- Communicating the importance of high-quality professional learning and its connection to student outcomes
- Ensuring policies, structures, resources, calendars, and daily schedules support professional learning



#### Standard 1.1: Professional Learning Needs

Professional learning includes the use of student, educator, and system data to analyze, prioritize, and plan for continuous improvement of educator practice and student outcomes.

#### Indicators

- Continuously analyzing and interpreting multiple types and sources of data to determine student learning needs and related educator problems of practice
- Prioritizing professional learning based on identified student and educator learning needs
- Developing individual, school, and district learning plans that align professional learning goals to student learning needs



Standard 1.2: Professional Learning Resources

Professional learning requires schools and districts to maximize and monitor the use of resources to continually improve educator practice and student outcomes.

#### Indicators

- Prioritizing and aligning fiscal, human, material, technology, and time resources for investment in professional learning
- Integrating multiple sources of funding in order to fully support identified professional learning needs
- Analyzing data collected on resource utilization and impact on desired outcomes to make decisions regarding future allocations



#### Standard 2.1: Learning Outcomes

Professional learning includes outcomes that ensure intended changes in educator knowledge, skills, dispositions, and practice align with student learning needs.

#### Indicators

- Using identified student learning needs to make decisions about professional learning content and outcomes
- Defining clear expectations and learning outcomes that specify what educators need to know and do in relation to educator performance standards
- Creating coherence by ensuring outcomes build on previous professional learning or knowledge



#### Standard 2.2: Learning Designs

Professional learning includes use of research- and evidence-based learning designs to continually improve educator practice and student outcomes.

#### Indicators

- Considering the desired outcomes and educator and student learning needs, interests, and experiences in the selection of learning designs
- Utilizing face-to-face, online, and blended learning design models that focus on sustained individual, team, and school learning
- Enabling educators to construct new, relevant, and personalized learning through processes such as active engagement, modeling, application, assessment, reflection, feedback, and ongoing support
- Supporting collaboration among educators to deepen professional practice and foster a sense of collective responsibility for improving student outcomes



Standard 3.1: Implementation of Learning

Professional learning includes multiple opportunities to implement new learning with ongoing support and actionable feedback to continually improve educator practice and student outcomes.

#### Indicators

- Setting clear goals and maintaining high expectations for implementation of learning with fidelity
- Sustaining implementation of new learning by providing multiple opportunities for practice in authentic settings with ongoing and varied support (e.g., coaching, modeling, peer groups, co-teaching, co-planning, study groups)
- Providing opportunities for frequent feedback and reflection to analyze and adjust practice in relation to established expectations
- Monitoring and assessing the degree of implementation to identify and resolve challenges related to integration of professional learning



#### Standard 4.1: Evaluating Professional Learning

Professional learning includes formative and summative evaluation of the effectiveness of professional learning in increasing educator knowledge, changing educator dispositions and practice, and improving student outcomes to inform future decisions about professional learning.

#### Indicators

- Developing and conducting a comprehensive plan to evaluate the effectiveness of individual, school, and district plans for professional learning
- Monitoring formative educator practice and student learning data to assess professional learning and make adjustments as needed
- Conducting a summative evaluation at the end of a program to assess the overall impact and make decisions regarding future professional learning

# PART 2 CLARIFYING QUESTIONS





## PART 3 OVERVIEW OF MASTER INSERVICE PLAN REQUIREMENTS





### **Master Inservice Plan Requirements**

 Subsection (3) outlines the required information the district must include for each professional learning component included in the master inservice plan.

The component evaluation criteria was adjusted to better align with the updated professional learning standards.



### Submission, Amendment, and Review Criteria

 Subsection (4) establishes criteria for the annual master inservice plan processes.

JPDATE



Prior to September 1, the school district updates the master inservice plan, based on the results of their end-of-theyear review

REVIEW

At the end of the year, the school district reviews the effectiveness of the components and uses the results to update the plan By September 1, the district school board approves the plan for implementation

<u>APPROVE</u>

MPLEMENT

Throughout the school year, the school district implements the professional learning components



### **Awarding of Inservice Points**

 Subsection (5) establishes guidelines for awarding inservice points for successful completion of professional learning.

<b>Completion of MIP Component</b>	Completion of College Credit
<ul> <li>One clock hour of participation equals one inservice point or as specified in the plan based on competencies demonstrated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One semester hour of college credit equals 20 inservice points</li> <li>One semester hour of college credit equals 13 and one-third inservice points</li> </ul>



### **Record Maintenance and Data Reporting**

 Subsection (6) establishes guidelines for maintaining professional learning component records and reporting component data to the department.





### **Other Eligible Entities**

 Subsection (7) identifies the other entities eligible to create a master inservice plan, as part of a larger professional development system, such as an organization of private schools or consortium of charter schools with no fewer than 10 member schools in the state.

# PART 4 CLARIFYING QUESTIONS





# PART 5 PUBLIC COMMENTS



