# 2012 

## Reading, Grades 3-10

Mathematics, Grades 3-8
Science, Grades 5 and 8


# FCAT 2.0 <br> Reading, Grades 3-10 Mathematics, Grades 3-8 Science, Grades 5 and 8 

Florida is continuing the transition from the FCAT to the FCAT 2.0 to align with new student academic content standards. The Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test ${ }^{\circledR} 2.0$ (FCAT 2.0) measures student achievement of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS), which specify the challenging content Florida students are expected to know and be able to do. New Achievement Levels for FCAT 2.0 Reading and Mathematics were approved by the State Board of Education on December 19, 2011, through a standard-setting process. Because the FCAT 2.0 is based on more demanding content standards and the achievement standards are more rigorous, scores may appear lower on the new scale than on the previous scale for certain grades and subjects. For more information on the new Achievement Levels and cut scores please visit http://fcat.fldoe.org/fcat2/pdf/achlevel.pdf.

In spring 2011, FCAT 2.0 Reading and Mathematics scores were reported as FCAT Equivalent Scores in order to maintain consistent expectations for student performance during the transition year. The 2011 scores have been converted to the new FCAT 2.0 scale and are provided in this media packet so that stakeholders and the general public are able to see what the scores would have been if the new score scale and Achievement Levels had been approved and implemented in 2011.

A description of the Achievement Level definitions that apply to FCAT 2.0 Reading and Mathematics are provided below:

Level 5: Students at this level demonstrate mastery of the most challenging content of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.
Level 4: Students at this level demonstrate an above satisfactory level of success with the challenging content of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.
Level 3: Students at this level demonstrate a satisfactory level of success with the challenging content of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.
Level 2: Students at this level demonstrate a below satisfactory level of success with the challenging content of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.
Level 1: Students at this level demonstrate an inadequate level of success with the challenging content of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.

Student results for the Spring 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science will be reported as FCAT Equivalent Scores. The scores are being reported in this way to maintain consistent student expectations during the transition year. These scores will be used in the same way FCAT scores have been used in prior years, using the existing FCAT Science Achievement Level. Scores on the new FCAT 2.0 Science scale will be available beginning in 2013. This was the first year that FCAT 2.0 Science was administered to students in grades 5 and 8, the grade 11 FCAT Science was discontinued. The Biology 1 End-of-Course Assessment has replaced Grade 11 FCAT 2.0 Science as the high school level science assessment.

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

## Grades 3-10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading Highlights:

- In 2012, 57 percent of students in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).
- In 2012, 60 percent of all students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).
- In 2012, 29 percent of students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4.
- In 2012, $\mathbf{2 0}$ percent of students in grade $\mathbf{1 0}$ scored at or above $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ on FCAT $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ Reading. A score of $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading demonstrates readiness for college-level coursework in the curriculum area.

Note: The percentages in this document for 2011 will reflect differences from percentages published in last year's media packet. This is because 2011 FCAT 2.0 Reading and Mathematics scores were reported as FCAT Equivalent Scale scores. The converted 2011 scores are provided in this media packet so that stakeholders and the general public are able to see what the scores would have been if the new score scale and Achievement Levels had been approved and implemented in 2011.

## Grades 3-10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

By Achievement Level


In 2012, 57 percent of students in grades $3-10$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 56 percent in 2011. In 2012, 31 percent of students in grades $3-10$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 30 percent in 2011. In 2012, 17 percent of students in grades 3-10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 18 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

Grades 3-10


In 2012, 68 percent of White students in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 68 percent in 2011. In 2012, 37 percent of African-American students in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 52 percent of Hispanic students in grades $3-10$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 51 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 41 percent of White students in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 41 percent in 2011. In 2012, 15 percent of African-American students in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 14 percent in 2011. In 2012, 26 percent of Hispanic students in grades $3-10$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 25 percent in 2011.

## Grades 3-10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 1



In 2012, 10 percent of White students in grades $3-10$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 10 percent in 2011. In 2012, 29 percent of African-American students in grades $3-10$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 30 percent in 2011. In 2012, 20 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3-10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 21 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 26 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 26 percent in 2011. In 2012, 10 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 10 percent in 2011. In 2012, 45 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 46 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-10

> FCAT 2.0 Reading By Achievement Level English Language Learners


In 2012, 17 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 16 percent in 2011. In 2012, 4 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3-10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 4 percent in 2011. In 2012, 50 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3-10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 52 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

## By Achievement Level



In 2012, 60 percent of students in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 58 percent in 2011. In 2012, 34 percent of students in grades 3 , 4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 33 percent in 2011. In 2012, 15 percent of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 16 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above



In 2012, 71 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 71 percent in 2011. In 2012, 41 percent of African-American students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 39 percent in 2011. In 2012, 56 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 53 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 45 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 44 percent in 2011. In 2012, 18 percent of African-American students in grades 3,4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 16 percent in 2011. In 2012, 30 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 27 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

## Achievement Level 1



In 2012, 9 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 9 percent in 2011. In 2012, 26 percent of African-American students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 27 percent in 2011. In 2012, 18 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 19 percent in 2011.

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

> By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 30 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal 30 percent in 2011. In 2012, 13 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 13 percent in 2011. In 2012, 41 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 42 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

By Achievement Level
English Language Learners


In 2012, 23 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 21 percent in 2011. In 2012, 6 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 6 percent in 2011. In 2012, 41 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 43 percent in 2011.

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> By Achievement Level



In 2012, 57 percent of students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 57 percent in 2011. In 2012, 29 percent of students in grades 6 , 7 , and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal 29 percent in 2011. In 2012, 18 percent of students in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 18 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above



In 2012, 68 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 68 percent in 2011. In 2012, 38 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 37 percent in 2011. In 2012, 52 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 52 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 39 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 38 percent in 2011. In 2012, 14 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 13 percent in 2011. In 2012, 25 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 24 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

Achievement Level 1


In 2012, 11 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 10 percent in 2011. In 2012, 30 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 30 percent in 2011. In 2012, 20 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 21 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

> By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 24 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 24 percent in 2011. In 2012, 8 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 8 percent in 2011. In 2012, 48 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 48 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

By Achievement Level
English Language Learners


In 2012, 12 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 12 percent in 2011. In 2012, 2 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 2 percent in 2011. In 2012, 60 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 62 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 9 and 10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> By Achievement Level



In 2012, 52 percent of students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 52 percent in 2011. In 2012, 29 percent of students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 29 percent in 2011. In 2012, 18 percent of students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 19 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 9 and 10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above



In 2012, 65 percent of White students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3
(Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 64 percent in 2011. In 2012, 30 percent of African-American students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 30 percent in 2011. In 2012, 47 percent of Hispanic students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 46 percent in 2011.

## Grades 9 and 10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 39 percent of White students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 39 percent in 2011. In 2012, 12 percent of African-American students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 12 percent in 2011. In 2012, 23 percent of Hispanic students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 24 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 9 and 10



In 2012, 10 percent of White students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 11 percent in 2011. In 2012, 32 percent of African-American students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 33 percent in 2011. In 2012, 22 percent of Hispanic students in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 22 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 9 and 10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading

> By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 21 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 21 percent in 2011. In 2012, 8 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 8 percent in 2011. In 2012, 48 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 49 percent in 2011.

## Grades 9 and 10

> FCAT 2.0 Reading By Achievement Level English Language Learners


In 2012, 9 percent of English Language Learners in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 9 percent in 2011. In 2012, 2 percent of English Language Learners in grades 9 and 10 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 2 percent in 2011. In 2012, 64 percent of English Language Learners in grades 9 and 10 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 64 percent in 2011.

## Grade 10

## FCAT 2.0 Reading <br> Score of 262 and Higher (College Readiness)



A score of 262 on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading demonstrates readiness for college-level coursework in the curriculum area. Students who achieve this score and enroll in a Florida College System institution within two years are exempt from taking the Florida Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (PERT).

In 2012, 20 percent of all students in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 20 percent in 2011. In 2012, 1 percent of English Language Learners in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 1 percent in 2011. In 2012, 5 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is an increase from 4 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

Grade 10


A score of 262 on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading demonstrates readiness for college-level coursework in the curriculum area. Students who achieve this score and enroll in a Florida College System institution within two years are exempt from taking the Florida Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (PERT).

In 2012, 27 percent of White students in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 27 percent in 2011. In 2012, 7 percent of African-American students in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is equal to 7 percent in 2011. In 2012, 16 percent of Hispanic students in grade 10 achieved a scale score of 262 or higher on the Grade 10 FCAT 2.0 Reading. This is a decrease from 17 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Statewide Comparison Report FCAT 2.0 Reading

| FCAT 2.0 Reading - Next Generation Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year ${ }^{2}$ | Number of Students | FCAT 2.0 Mean Developmental Scale Score | Percentage of Students By Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 2011 | 202,686 | 200 | 18 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 10 | 57 |
|  | 2012 | 203,390 | 201 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 11 | 56 |
| 4 | 2011 | 198,877 | 212 | 15 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 10 | 59 |
|  | 2012 | 193,676 | 213 | 13 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 10 | 62 |
| 5 | 2011 | 198,401 | 219 | 16 | 26 | 27 | 22 | 10 | 58 |
|  | 2012 | 199,790 | 221 | 15 | 24 | 27 | 22 | 12 | 61 |
| 6 | 2011 | 197,859 | 225 | 17 | 24 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 58 |
|  | 2012 | 198,947 | 225 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 10 | 57 |
| 7 | 2011 | 194,660 | 231 | 18 | 24 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 58 |
|  | 2012 | 198,281 | 231 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 19 | 11 | 58 |
| 8 | 2011 | 195,792 | 236 | 19 | 28 | 26 | 17 | 10 | 53 |
|  | 2012 | 194,566 | 237 | 17 | 27 | 26 | 18 | 12 | 55 |
| 9 | 2011 | 195,884 | 240 | 19 | 29 | 23 | 18 | 10 | 51 |
|  | 2012 | 197,063 | 240 | 18 | 30 | 24 | 19 | 9 | 52 |
| 10 | 2011 | 185,956 | 245 | 19 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 10 | 52 |
|  | 2012 | 184,403 | 244 | 20 | 30 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 50 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are for all students tested in all curriculum groups.
${ }^{2}$ In spring 2011, FCAT 2.0 Reading scores were reported as FCAT Equivalent Scores in order to maintain consistent expectations for student performance during the transition year. The 2011 scores have been converted to the new FCAT 2.0 scale so that stakeholders and the general public are able to see what the scores would have been if the new score scale and Achievement Levels had been approved and implemented at that time.
${ }^{3}$ Adding the percentages in Levels 3-5 may not result in the percentage reported under "Achievement Level 3 and Above" due to rounding.

## Grades 3-10

## 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Results <br> Percentage Comparison by District

| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 17\% | 57\% | 31\% |
| ALACHUA | 18\% | 58\% | 36\% |
| BAKER | 15\% | 57\% | 32\% |
| BAY | 14\% | 59\% | 32\% |
| BRADFORD | 24\% | 44\% | 19\% |
| BREVARD | 10\% | 67\% | 39\% |
| BROWARD | 17\% | 57\% | 31\% |
| CALHOUN | 12\% | 62\% | 34\% |
| CHARLOTTE | 13\% | 61\% | 33\% |
| CITRUS | 13\% | 62\% | 35\% |
| CLAY | 11\% | 64\% | 35\% |
| COLLIER | 17\% | 56\% | 31\% |
| COLUMBIA | 16\% | 55\% | 27\% |
| DADE | 20\% | 54\% | 28\% |
| DESOTO | 24\% | 42\% | 18\% |
| DIXIE | 16\% | 55\% | 25\% |
| DUVAL | 19\% | 52\% | 27\% |
| ESCAMBIA | 20\% | 52\% | 26\% |
| FLAGLER | 14\% | 60\% | 33\% |
| FRANKLIN | 24\% | 49\% | 24\% |
| GADSDEN | 28\% | 36\% | 15\% |
| GILCHRIST | 12\% | 65\% | 36\% |
| GLADES | 19\% | 49\% | 23\% |
| GULF | 13\% | 60\% | 29\% |
| HAMILTON | 29\% | 36\% | 16\% |
| HARDEE | 20\% | 45\% | 20\% |
| HENDRY | 24\% | 43\% | 18\% |
| HERNANDO | 15\% | 58\% | 30\% |
| HIGHLANDS | 19\% | 51\% | 25\% |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 20\% | 54\% | 30\% |
| HOLMES | 21\% | 52\% | 26\% |
| INDIAN RIVER | 15\% | 59\% | 33\% |
| JACKSON | 14\% | 59\% | 30\% |
| JEFFERSON | 32\% | 34\% | 11\% |
| LAFAYETTE | 15\% | 52\% | 24\% |
| LAKE | 17\% | 55\% | 29\% |
| LEE | 16\% | 57\% | 31\% |
| LEON | 15\% | 60\% | 37\% |
| LEVY | 20\% | 51\% | 24\% |


| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 17\% | 57\% | 31\% |
| LIBERTY | 14\% | 55\% | 27\% |
| MADISON | 30\% | 41\% | 19\% |
| MANATEE | 19\% | 53\% | 28\% |
| MARION | 20\% | 53\% | 28\% |
| MARTIN | 11\% | 66\% | 40\% |
| MONROE | 13\% | 62\% | 34\% |
| NASSAU | 11\% | 66\% | 36\% |
| OKALOOSA | 9\% | 68\% | 39\% |
| OKEECHOBEE | 21\% | 45\% | 20\% |
| ORANGE | 17\% | 56\% | 31\% |
| OSCEOLA | 20\% | 52\% | 26\% |
| PALM BEACH | 17\% | 57\% | 32\% |
| PASCO | 16\% | 57\% | 31\% |
| PINELLAS | 18\% | 56\% | 31\% |
| POLK | 23\% | 48\% | 24\% |
| PUTNAM | 23\% | 46\% | 20\% |
| SANTA ROSA | 9\% | 70\% | 43\% |
| SARASOTA | 10\% | 68\% | 42\% |
| SEMINOLE | 11\% | 68\% | 41\% |
| ST. JOHNS | 8\% | 74\% | 48\% |
| ST. LUCIE | 20\% | 51\% | 25\% |
| SUMTER | 12\% | 63\% | 34\% |
| SUWANNEE | 21\% | 50\% | 25\% |
| TAYLOR | 21\% | 49\% | 24\% |
| UNION | 14\% | 60\% | 31\% |
| VOLUSIA | 17\% | 55\% | 29\% |
| WAKULLA | 11\% | 65\% | 36\% |
| WALTON | 13\% | 61\% | 33\% |
| WASHINGTON | 18\% | 55\% | 28\% |

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Highlights:

- In 2012, 57 percent of students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).
- In 2012, 29 percent of students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4.
- In 2012, 55 percent of students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).

Note: The percentages in this document for 2011 will reflect differences from percentages published in last year's media packet. This is because 2011 FCAT 2.0 Reading and Mathematics scores were reported as FCAT Equivalent Scale scores. The converted 2011 scores are provided in this media packet so that stakeholders and the general public are able to see what the scores would have been if the new score scale and Achievement Levels had been approved and implemented in 2011.

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

By Achievement Level


In 2012, 57 percent of students in grades $3-8$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 56 percent in 2011. In 2012, 29 percent of students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 27 percent in 2011. In 2012, 20 percent of students in grades $3-8$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 20 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above


In 2012, 67 percent of White students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 66 percent in 2011. In 2012, 38 percent of African-American students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 37 percent in 2011. In 2012, 54 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 53 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics <br> Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 37 percent of White students in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 14 percent of African-American students in grades 38 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 13 percent in 2011. In 2012, 25 percent of Hispanic students in grades $3-8$ were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 24 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
Achievement Level 1


In 2012, 13 percent of White students in grades $3-8$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 13 percent in 2011. In 2012, 33 percent of African-American students in grades 3-8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 33 percent in 2011. In 2012, 21 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3-8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 22 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

By Achievement Level

Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 28 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 28 percent in 2011. In 2012, 10 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 10 percent in 2011. In 2012, 48 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades $3-8$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 47 percent in in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

By Achievement Level

English Language Learners


In 2012, 28 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 26 percent in 2011. In 2012, 8 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3-8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 7 percent in 2011. In 2012, 44 percent of English Language Learners in grades $3-8$ were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 45 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
By Achievement Level


In 2012, 58 percent of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 57 percent in 2011. In 2012, 30 percent of students in grades 3 , 4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 28 percent in 2011. In 2012, 18 percent of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 19 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above


In 2012, 68 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 67 percent in 2011. In 2012, 40 percent of AfricanAmerican students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 39 percent in 2011. In 2012, 56 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 54 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

Achievement Level 4 and Above


In 2012, 39 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 15 percent of African-American students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 13 percent in 2011. In 2012, 27 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 25 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

## Achievement Level 1



In 2012, 12 percent of White students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0
Mathematics. This is equal to 12 percent in 2011. In 2012, 30 percent of African-American students in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 31 percent in 2011. In 2012, 19 percent of Hispanic students in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 21 percent in 2011.

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
By Achievement Level
Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 33 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 32 percent in 2011. In 2012, 13 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 12 percent in 2011. In 2012, 42 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 42 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3, 4, and 5

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
By Achievement Level
English Language Learners


In 2012, 32 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 29 percent in 2011. In 2012, 9 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3,4 , and 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 8 percent in 2011. In 2012, 38 percent of English Language Learners in grades 3, 4, and 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 40 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

By Achievement Level


In 2012, 55 percent of students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 55 percent in 2011. In 2012, 28 percent of students in grades 6, 7 , and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 27 percent in 2011. In 2012, 22 percent of students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 21 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above


In 2012, 66 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 66 percent in 2011. In 2012, 36 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 52 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 52 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

## FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

## Achievement Level 4 and Above



In 2012, 36 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 13 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 12 percent in 2011. In 2012, 24 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 23 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse
Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

## Achievement Level 1



In 2012, 14 percent of White students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0
Mathematics. This is equal to 14 percent in 2011. In 2012, 35 percent of African-American students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 36 percent in 2011. In 2012, 24 percent of Hispanic students in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 24 percent in 2011.

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
By Achievement Level
Students with Disabilities


In 2012, 23 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is a decrease from 24 percent in 2011. In 2012, 7 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 7 percent in 2011. In 2012, 53 percent of Students with Disabilities in grades 6,7 , and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 52 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 6, 7, and 8

FCAT 2.0 Mathematics
By Achievement Level
English Language Learners


In 2012, 21 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 20 percent in 2011. In 2012, 6 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is an increase from 5 percent in 2011. In 2012, 54 percent of English Language Learners in grades 6, 7, and 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics. This is equal to 54 percent in 2011.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grades 3-8

## Statewide Comparison Report FCAT 2.0 Mathematics

| FCAT 2.0 Mathematics - Next Generation Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year ${ }^{2}$ | Number of <br> Students | FCAT 2.0 Mean Developmental Scale Score | Percentage of Students By Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 2011 | 202,719 | 201 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 16 | 9 | 56 |
|  | 2012 | 203,207 | 202 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 |
| 4 | 2011 | 198,969 | 214 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 20 | 10 | 58 |
|  | 2012 | 193,802 | 215 | 18 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 12 | 60 |
| 5 | 2011 | 198,520 | 221 | 19 | 25 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 56 |
|  | 2012 | 199,844 | 222 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 18 | 11 | 57 |
| 6 | 2011 | 197,668 | 227 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 18 | 9 | 53 |
|  | 2012 | 199,076 | 227 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 10 | 53 |
| 7 | 2011 | 194,484 | 236 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 56 |
|  | 2012 | 198,277 | 236 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 18 | 10 | 56 |
| 8 | 2011 | 195,479 | 243 | 22 | 22 | 30 | 16 | 10 | 56 |
|  | 2012 | 194,346 | 243 | 22 | 21 | 30 | 16 | 11 | 57 |

[^0]
## Grades 3-8

## 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Results

Percentage Comparison by District

| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 20\% | 57\% | 29\% |
| ALACHUA | 22\% | 57\% | 34\% |
| BAKER | 15\% | 60\% | 31\% |
| BAY | 20\% | 55\% | 27\% |
| BRADFORD | 32\% | 40\% | 15\% |
| BREVARD | 14\% | 65\% | 35\% |
| BROWARD | 18\% | 60\% | 33\% |
| CALHOUN | 16\% | 59\% | 31\% |
| CHARLOTTE | 18\% | 57\% | 28\% |
| CITRUS | 13\% | 64\% | 32\% |
| CLAY | 14\% | 65\% | 34\% |
| COLLIER | 20\% | 57\% | 29\% |
| COLUMBIA | 20\% | 55\% | 24\% |
| DADE | 21\% | 56\% | 28\% |
| DESOTO | 23\% | 47\% | 19\% |
| DIXIE | 20\% | 54\% | 20\% |
| DUVAL | 21\% | 53\% | 25\% |
| ESCAMBIA | 25\% | 49\% | 23\% |
| FLAGLER | 18\% | 58\% | 30\% |
| FRANKLIN | 28\% | 45\% | 18\% |
| GADSDEN | 23\% | 52\% | 23\% |
| GILCHRIST | 11\% | 67\% | 31\% |
| GLADES | 14\% | 58\% | 22\% |
| GULF | 16\% | 58\% | 25\% |
| HAMILTON | 33\% | 42\% | 16\% |
| HARDEE | 22\% | 51\% | 23\% |
| HENDRY | 25\% | 49\% | 20\% |
| HERNANDO | 21\% | 53\% | 24\% |
| HIGHLANDS | 24\% | 51\% | 23\% |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 22\% | 54\% | 28\% |
| HOLMES | 22\% | 49\% | 20\% |
| INDIAN RIVER | 21\% | 55\% | 27\% |
| JACKSON | 16\% | 61\% | 31\% |
| JEFFERSON | 39\% | 27\% | 7\% |
| LAFAYETTE | 14\% | 57\% | 23\% |
| LAKE | 20\% | 55\% | 26\% |
| LEE | 18\% | 58\% | 28\% |
| LEON | 18\% | 61\% | 34\% |
| LEVY | 23\% | 50\% | 21\% |


| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 20\% | 57\% | 29\% |
| LIBERTY | 21\% | 47\% | 16\% |
| MADISON | 41\% | 37\% | 14\% |
| MANATEE | 24\% | 50\% | 24\% |
| MARION | 22\% | 54\% | 27\% |
| MARTIN | 13\% | 64\% | 34\% |
| MONROE | 16\% | 63\% | 33\% |
| NASSAU | 13\% | 65\% | 33\% |
| OKALOOSA | 12\% | 65\% | 34\% |
| OKEECHOBEE | 27\% | 43\% | 17\% |
| ORANGE | 21\% | 56\% | 29\% |
| OSCEOLA | 26\% | 48\% | 21\% |
| PALM BEACH | 18\% | 60\% | 32\% |
| PASCO | 22\% | 52\% | 25\% |
| PINELLAS | 24\% | 52\% | 26\% |
| POLK | 25\% | 48\% | 21\% |
| PUTNAM | 23\% | 50\% | 22\% |
| SANTA ROSA | 12\% | 68\% | 37\% |
| SARASOTA | 13\% | 68\% | 38\% |
| SEMINOLE | 13\% | 68\% | 39\% |
| ST. JOHNS | 11\% | 73\% | 46\% |
| ST. LUCIE | 23\% | 50\% | 22\% |
| SUMTER | 13\% | 64\% | 32\% |
| SUWANNEE | 25\% | 48\% | 20\% |
| TAYLOR | 23\% | 49\% | 20\% |
| UNION | 14\% | 63\% | 31\% |
| VOLUSIA | 22\% | 52\% | 23\% |
| WAKULLA | 13\% | 65\% | 32\% |
| WALTON | 14\% | 63\% | 30\% |
| WASHINGTON | 23\% | 51\% | 21\% |

# FCAT 2.0 Science Grades 5 and 8 

## FCAT 2.0 Science Highlights:

- In 2012, 51 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).
- In 2012, 46 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory).
- In 2012, 18 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4.


#### Abstract

Note: Student results for the Spring 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessments are reported on the existing FCAT scale as FCAT Equivalent Scores because the new scale and Achievement Levels will not be available this year. FCAT Equivalent Scores enable consistent student expectations to be maintained during this transition year. The new FCAT 2.0 Science score scale and Achievement Levels will be available beginning in 2013. Student performance on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science is linked to the existing FCAT score scale through the equipercentile linking method. By this method, 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science scores were linked to 2011 FCAT Science scores at the same percentile rank. This linking method allows the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science scores to be reported as FCAT Equivalent Scale Scores, which range from 100-500. Additional information about how FCAT 2.0 scores are determined through the equipercentile linking method is provided in the document 2012 Equipercentile Linking: FCAT 2.0 Science, which is posted at http://www.fldoe.org/pdf/2012EquipercentileLinking.pdf.


The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution.

## Grade 5

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. The equipercentile linking method used this year holds constant the results at the state level. Therefore, the percent at each Achievement Level is the same, with some small differences due to rounding. This can be seen in the above line graph. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 51 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 51 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 28 percent in 2003, 29 percent in 2004, 33 percent in 2005, 35 percent in 2006, 42 percent in 2007, 43 percent in 2008, 46 percent in 2009, and 49 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 23 percentage points. In 2012, 18 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 18 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 . This is an increase from 5 percent in 2003, 5 percent in 2004, 6 percent in 2005, 8 percent in 2006, 10 percent in 2007, 11 percent in 2008, 13 percent in 2009, and 15 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 13 percentage points. In 2012, 20 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 19 percent of students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 38 percent in 2003, 37 percent in 2004, 29 percent in 2005, 29 percent in 2006, 25 percent in 2007, 23 percent in 2008, 21 percent in 2009, and is an increase from 19 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 18 percentage points.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grade 5

# FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above 



The dashed line (- - ) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 64 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 64 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 39 percent in 2003, 42 percent in 2004 , 45 percent in 2005 , 49 percent in 2006, 56 percent in 2007 , 56 percent in 2008 , 59 percent in 2009, and 63 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 25 percentage points. In 2012, 29 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 30 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 10 percent in 2003, 10 percent in 2004 , 13 percent in 2005 , 14 percent in 2006, 19 percent in 2007 , 22 percent in 2008 , 25 percent in 2009, and 27 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 19 percentage points. In 2012, 46 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 46 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 20 percent in 2003, 19 percent in 2004, 25 percent in 2005, 27 percent in 2006, 32 percent in 2007, 36 percent in 2008, 39 percent in 2009, and 42 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 26 percentage points.

## Grade 5

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) Achievement Level 4 and Above



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 25 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 25 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 8 percent in 2003, 8 percent in 2004, 9 percent in 2005, 12 percent in 2006, 16 percent in 2007, 16 percent in 2008, 18 percent in 2009, and 21 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 17 percentage points. In 2012, 6 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 6 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 1 percent in 2003, 1 percent in 2004, 1 percent in 2005, 2 percent in 2006, 2 percent in 2007, 3 percent in 2008, 4 percent in 2009, and 4 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 5 percentage points. In 2012, 14 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 14 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 3 percent in 2003, 2 percent in 2004, 3 percent in 2005, 5 percent in 2006, 6 percent in 2007, 7 percent in 2008, 8 percent in 2009, and 10 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 11 percentage points.

## Grade 5

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) Achievement Level 1



The dashed line (- - ) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 11 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 11 percent of White students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 24 percent in 2003, 22 percent in 2004, 17 percent in 2005 , 16 percent in 2006, 14 percent in 2007, 13 percent in 2008, 12 percent in 2009, and 10 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 13 percentage points. In 2012, 35 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 34 percent of African-American students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 63 percent in 2003, 61 percent in 2004, 51 percent in 2005, 51 percent in 2006, 44 percent in 2007, 41 percent in 2008, 37 percent in 2009, and an increase from 34 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 28 percentage points. In 2012, 22 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 22 percent of Hispanic students in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 47 percent in 2003, 47 percent in 2004, 37 percent in 2005, 34 percent in 2006, 32 percent in 2007, 28 percent in 2008 , 27 percent in 2009 , and 23 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 25 percentage points.

## Grade 5

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 26 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 28 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 11 percent in 2003, 11 percent in 2004, 16 percent in 2005, 18 percent in 2006, 22 percent in 2007, 24 percent in 2008, 25 percent in 2009, and a decrease from 27 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 15 percentage points. In 2012, 7 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 7 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 1 percent in 2003, 1 percent in 2004, 2 percent in 2005, 2 percent in 2006, 4 percent in 2007, 4 percent in 2008, 5 percent in 2009, and 5 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 6 percentage points. In 2012, 44 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 40 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 65 percent in 2003, 65 percent in 2004, 53 percent in 2005, 51 percent in 2006, 47 percent in 2007, equal to 44 percent in 2008, an increase from 43 percent in 2009, and an increase from 39 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 21 percentage points.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse
Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grade 5

# FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level English Language Learners 



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 14 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 15 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 5 percent in 2003, 4 percent in 2004, 6 percent in 2005, 7 percent in 2006, 10 percent in 2007, 13 percent in 2008,13 percent in 2009, and 13 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 9 percentage points. In 2012, 2 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 2 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 0 percent in 2003, 0 percent in 2004, 0 percent in 2005, 1 percent in 2006, 1 percent in 2007, 1 percent in 2008, 1 percent in 2009, and 1 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 2 percentage points. In 2012, 52 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 51 percent of English Language Learners in grade 5 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 77 percent in 2003, 77 percent in 2004, 69 percent in 2005, 64 percent in 2006, 62 percent in 2007, 53 percent in 2008, 56 percent in 2009, and 53 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 25 percentage points.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grade 8

# FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level 



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. The equipercentile linking method used this year holds constant the results at the state level. Therefore, the percent at each Achievement Level is the same, with some small differences due to rounding. This can be seen in the above line graph. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 46 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 46 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 28 percent in 2003, 28 percent in 2004, 33 percent in 2005, 32 percent in 2006, 38 percent in 2007, 40 percent in 2008, 41 percent in 2009, and 43 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 18 percentage points. In 2012, 13 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 13 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 . This is an increase from 4 percent in 2003, 3 percent in 2004, 7 percent in 2005, 6 percent in 2006, 8 percent in 2007, 9 percent in 2008, 9 percent in 2009, and 11 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 9 percentage points. In 2012, 22 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 23 percent of students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 36 percent in 2003, 36 percent in 2004, 36 percent in 2005, 35 percent in 2006, 31 percent in 2007, 27 percent in 2008, 27 percent in 2009, and 25 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 14 percentage points.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grade 8

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 59 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 59 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 39 percent in 2003, 39 percent in 2004, 45 percent in 2005, 45 percent in 2006, 52 percent in 2007, 53 percent in 2008, 55 percent in 2009, and 57 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 20 percentage points. In 2012, 26 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 24 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 10 percent in 2003, 10 percent in 2004, 13 percent in 2005, 13 percent in 2006, 17 percent in 2007, 19 percent in 2008, 19 percent in 2009, and 22 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 16 percentage points. In 2012, 41 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 40 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 19 percent in 2003, 19 percent in 2004, 23 percent in 2005, 24 percent in 2006, 28 percent in 2007, 31 percent in 2008, 33 percent in 2009, and 35 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 22 percentage points.

## Grade 8

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) Achievement Level 4 and Above



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 18 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 18 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 5 percent in 2003, 5 percent in 2004, 10 percent in 2005, 9 percent in 2006, 12 percent in 2007, 14 percent in 2008, 14 percent in 2009, and 16 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 13 percentage points. In 2012, 4 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 3 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 1 percent in 2003, 0 percent in 2004, 1 percent in 2005, 1 percent in 2006, 2 percent in 2007, 2 percent in 2008, 2 percent in 2009, and 3 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 3 percentage points. In 2012, 10 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 9 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 2 percent in 2003, 2 percent in 2004, 4 percent in 2005, 3 percent in 2006, 5 percent in 2007, 5 percent in 2008, 6 percent in 2009, and 7 percent in 2010 for a total increase 8 percentage points.

## Grade 8

# FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) <br> Achievement Level 1 



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 12 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 12 percent of White students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 23 percent in 2003, 23 percent in 2004, 23 percent in 2005, 22 percent in 2006, 18 percent in 2007, 16 percent in 2008, 15 percent in 2009, and 14 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 11 percentage points. In 2012, 39 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 40 percent of African-American students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 58 percent in 2003, 58 percent in 2004, 58 percent in 2005, 57 percent in 2006, 51 percent in 2007, 46 percent in 2008, 47 percent in 2009, and 44 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 19 percentage points. In 2012, 26 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 26 percent of Hispanic students in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 44 percent in 2003, 45 percent in 2004, 44 percent in 2005, 43 percent in 2006, 40 percent in 2007, 34 percent in 2008, 33 percent in 2009, and 30 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 18 percentage points.

## Grade 8

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level Students with Disabilities


$\square$ Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) and Above $\quad$ Achievement Level 4 and Above $ـ$ Achievement Level 1

The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 18 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 19 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 7 percent in 2003, 8 percent in 2004, 10 percent in 2005, 9 percent in 2006, 12 percent in 2007, 15 percent in 2008, 16 percent in 2009, and 18 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 11 percentage points. In 2012, 3 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 3 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4. This is an increase from 0 percent in 2003, 0 percent in 2004, 1 percent in 2005, 1 percent in 2006, 1 percent in 2007, 2 percent in 2008, 2 percent in 2009, and is equal to 3 in 2010 for a total increase of 3 percentage points. In 2012, 51 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 51 percent of Students with Disabilities in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 71 percent in 2003, 71 percent in 2004, 69 percent in 2005, 70 percent in 2006, 65 percent in 2007, 59 percent in 2008, 57 percent in 2009, and 55 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 20 percentage points.

Source: K20 Education Data Warehouse Florida Department of Education, June 2012

## Grade 8

## FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) By Achievement Level English Language Learners



The dashed line (---) indicates the transition from the FCAT to FCAT 2.0. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution. In 2012, 8 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 7 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 3 (Satisfactory) on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 5 percent in 2003, 4 percent in 2004, 5 percent in 2005, 4 percent in 2006, 5 percent in 2007, 6 percent in 2008, 7 percent in 2009, and 6 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 3 percentage points. In 2012, 1 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 1 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at or above Achievement Level 4 on FCAT Science. This is an increase from 0 percent in 2003, 0 percent in 2004, 0 percent in 2005, 0 percent in 2006, 0 percent in 2007, 0 percent in 2008, 0 percent in 2009, and 0 percent in 2010 for a total increase of 1 percentage point. In 2012, 67 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT 2.0 Science. In 2011, 68 percent of English Language Learners in grade 8 were performing at Achievement Level 1 on FCAT Science. This is a decrease from 74 percent in percent in 2003, 76 percent in 2004, 76 percent in 2005, 78 percent in 2006, 75 percent in 2007, 70 percent in 2008, 70 percent in 2009, and 72 percent in 2010 for a total decrease of 7 percentage points.

## Grade 5

## 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Results

 Percentage Comparison by District| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 20\% | 51\% | 18\% |
| ALACHUA | 19\% | 59\% | 28\% |
| BAKER | 25\% | 44\% | 13\% |
| BAY | 17\% | 52\% | 17\% |
| BRADFORD | 27\% | 35\% | 10\% |
| BREVARD | 14\% | 62\% | 25\% |
| BROWARD | 21\% | 49\% | 17\% |
| CALHOUN | 13\% | 60\% | 29\% |
| CHARLOTTE | 15\% | 55\% | 18\% |
| CITRUS | 15\% | 57\% | 22\% |
| CLAY | 14\% | 55\% | 18\% |
| COLLIER | 20\% | 47\% | 16\% |
| COLUMBIA | 16\% | 55\% | 17\% |
| MIAMI DADE | 23\% | 48\% | 15\% |
| DESOTO | 23\% | 38\% | 10\% |
| DIXIE | 18\% | 48\% | 13\% |
| DUVAL | 24\% | 46\% | 15\% |
| ESCAMBIA | 21\% | 51\% | 17\% |
| FLAGLER | 23\% | 39\% | 11\% |
| FRANKLIN | 20\% | 53\% | 21\% |
| GADSDEN | 23\% | 35\% | 7\% |
| GILCHRIST | 10\% | 55\% | 13\% |
| GLADES | 17\% | 47\% | 11\% |
| GULF | 9\% | 57\% | 19\% |
| HAMILTON | 33\% | 26\% | 6\% |
| HARDEE | 24\% | 41\% | 14\% |
| HENDRY | 24\% | 38\% | 9\% |
| HERNANDO | 21\% | 48\% | 16\% |
| HIGHLANDS | 25\% | 40\% | 12\% |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 22\% | 48\% | 17\% |
| HOLMES | 20\% | 45\% | 17\% |
| INDIAN RIVER | 18\% | 53\% | 18\% |
| JACKSON | 15\% | 54\% | 21\% |
| JEFFERSON | 54\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| LAFAYETTE | 12\% | 54\% | 15\% |
| LAKE | 19\% | 49\% | 15\% |
| LEE | 20\% | 48\% | 16\% |
| LEON | 19\% | 54\% | 23\% |


| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 20\% | 51\% | 18\% |
| LEVY | 24\% | 42\% | 10\% |
| LIBERTY | 24\% | 30\% | 8\% |
| MADISON | 41\% | 26\% | 6\% |
| MANATEE | 26\% | 42\% | 12\% |
| MARION | 19\% | 52\% | 20\% |
| MARTIN | 13\% | 55\% | 21\% |
| MONROE | 13\% | 59\% | 19\% |
| NASSAU | 9\% | 66\% | 26\% |
| OKALOOSA | 10\% | 65\% | 26\% |
| OKEECHOBEE | 31\% | 34\% | 7\% |
| ORANGE | 21\% | 50\% | 18\% |
| OSCEOLA | 22\% | 49\% | 16\% |
| PALM BEACH | 17\% | 55\% | 20\% |
| PASCO | 20\% | 46\% | 13\% |
| PINELLAS | 21\% | 49\% | 16\% |
| POLK | 24\% | 45\% | 13\% |
| PUTNAM | 26\% | 37\% | 8\% |
| ST JOHNS | 10\% | 68\% | 26\% |
| ST LUCIE | 23\% | 44\% | 26\% |
| SANTA ROSA | 10\% | 64\% | 27\% |
| SARASOTA | 12\% | 62\% | 28\% |
| SEMINOLE | 12\% | 63\% | 12\% |
| SUMTER | 13\% | 61\% | 24\% |
| SUWANNEE | 24\% | 39\% | 10\% |
| TAYLOR | 26\% | 37\% | 12\% |
| UNION | 26\% | 41\% | 17\% |
| VOLUSIA | 14\% | 61\% | 23\% |
| WAKULLA | 13\% | 62\% | 21\% |
| WALTON | 12\% | 57\% | 18\% |
| WASHINGTON | 24\% | 39\% | 10\% |

## Grade 8

## 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Results

## Percentage Comparison by District

| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 22\% | 46\% | 13\% |
| ALACHUA | 25\% | 48\% | 18\% |
| BAKER | 22\% | 47\% | 10\% |
| BAY | 20\% | 45\% | 11\% |
| BRADFORD | 30\% | 28\% | 3\% |
| BREVARD | 13\% | 59\% | 18\% |
| BROWARD | 23\% | 45\% | 13\% |
| CALHOUN | 19\% | 53\% | 10\% |
| CHARLOTTE | 17\% | 51\% | 13\% |
| CITRUS | 18\% | 50\% | 13\% |
| CLAY | 14\% | 54\% | 14\% |
| COLLIER | 22\% | 47\% | 13\% |
| COLUMBIA | 22\% | 38\% | 7\% |
| MIAMI DADE | 27\% | 43\% | 11\% |
| DESOTO | 36\% | 25\% | 3\% |
| DIXIE | 13\% | 48\% | 11\% |
| DUVAL | 24\% | 44\% | 12\% |
| ESCAMBIA | 28\% | 39\% | 9\% |
| FLAGLER | 21\% | 43\% | 11\% |
| FRANKLIN | 35\% | 36\% | 9\% |
| GADSDEN | 39\% | 26\% | 4\% |
| GILCHRIST | 8\% | 65\% | 18\% |
| GLADES | 23\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| GULF | 23\% | 44\% | 9\% |
| HAMILTON | 53\% | 14\% | 1\% |
| HARDEE | 35\% | 27\% | 4\% |
| HENDRY | 37\% | 25\% | 3\% |
| HERNANDO | 21\% | 48\% | 12\% |
| HIGHLANDS | 29\% | 34\% | 8\% |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 23\% | 45\% | 12\% |
| HOLMES | 23\% | 38\% | 6\% |
| INDIAN RIVER | 15\% | 50\% | 14\% |
| JACKSON | 19\% | 52\% | 13\% |
| JEFFERSON | 40\% | 16\% | 2\% |
| LAFAYETTE | 16\% | 49\% | 11\% |
| LAKE | 21\% | 47\% | 12\% |
| LEE | 22\% | 47\% | 12\% |
| LEON | 20\% | 51\% | 16\% |
| LEVY | 23\% | 46\% | 7\% |


| District | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 1 | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 3 and Above | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level 4 and Above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 22\% | 46\% | 13\% |
| LIBERTY | 21\% | 44\% | 8\% |
| MADISON | 47\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| MANATEE | 25\% | 41\% | 10\% |
| MARION | 25\% | 43\% | 10\% |
| MARTIN | 14\% | 60\% | 18\% |
| MONROE | 16\% | 56\% | 19\% |
| NASSAU | 15\% | 49\% | 12\% |
| OKALOOSA | 8\% | 67\% | 21\% |
| OKEECHOBEE | 29\% | 32\% | 5\% |
| ORANGE | 24\% | 45\% | 13\% |
| OSCEOLA | 26\% | 39\% | 8\% |
| PALM BEACH | 20\% | 51\% | 15\% |
| PASCO | 20\% | 44\% | 10\% |
| PINELLAS | 25\% | 45\% | 13\% |
| POLK | 32\% | 35\% | 8\% |
| PUTNAM | 33\% | 30\% | 5\% |
| ST JOHNS | 10\% | 66\% | 24\% |
| ST LUCIE | 21\% | 41\% | 9\% |
| SANTA ROSA | 10\% | 60\% | 16\% |
| SARASOTA | 14\% | 57\% | 19\% |
| SEMINOLE | 14\% | 58\% | 18\% |
| SUMTER | 17\% | 46\% | 12\% |
| SUWANNEE | 27\% | 42\% | 8\% |
| TAYLOR | 25\% | 30\% | 8\% |
| UNION | 22\% | 51\% | 11\% |
| VOLUSIA | 18\% | 50\% | 13\% |
| WAKULLA | 12\% | 59\% | 16\% |
| WALTON | 14\% | 49\% | 9\% |
| WASHINGTON | 21\% | 47\% | 8\% |

## Grades 5 and 8

## Statewide Comparison Report <br> FCAT Science (2003-2011) and FCAT 2.0 Science (2012) ${ }^{1}$

| FCAT Science - Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | Number of Students | Mean Scale Score | Percentage of Students by Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 5 | 2003 | 191,470 | 285 | 38 | 34 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 28 |
|  | 2004 | 195,700 | 286 | 37 | 34 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 29 |
|  | 2005 | 180,453 | 296 | 29 | 38 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 33 |
|  | 2006 | 195,877 | 299 | 29 | 36 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 35 |
|  | 2007 | 191,789 | 306 | 25 | 33 | 31 | 8 | 2 | 42 |
|  | 2008 | 194,991 | 310 | 23 | 33 | 32 | 9 | 3 | 43 |
|  | 2009 | 191,751 | 314 | 21 | 32 | 34 | 10 | 2 | 46 |
|  | 2010 | 196,011 | 318 | 19 | 32 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 49 |
|  | 2011 | 197,657 | 322 | 19 | 30 | 33 | 12 | 5 | 51 |
| FCAT 2.0 Science - Next Generation Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | Year | Number of Students | Mean FCAT Equivalent Scale Score | Percentage of Students by Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 5 | 2012 | 199,164 | 322 | 20 | 29 | 33 | 12 | 6 | 51 |
| FCAT Science - Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | Year | Number of Students | Mean Scale Score | Percentage of Students by Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 8 | 2003 | 189,425 | 287 | 36 | 37 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 2004 | 195,351 | 286 | 36 | 36 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 2005 | 198,670 | 291 | 36 | 32 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 33 |
|  | 2006 | 198,142 | 289 | 35 | 33 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 32 |
|  | 2007 | 197,536 | 298 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 38 |
|  | 2008 | 184,828 | 305 | 27 | 33 | 31 | 7 | 2 | 40 |
|  | 2009 | 193,018 | 305 | 27 | 32 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 41 |
|  | 2010 | 192,138 | 310 | 25 | 32 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 43 |
|  | 2011 | 194,975 | 315 | 23 | 32 | 33 | 9 | 3 | 46 |
| FCAT 2.0 Science - Next Generation Sunshine State Standards Test ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | Year | Number of Students | Mean FCAT Equivalent Scale Score | Percentage of Students by Achievement Level |  |  |  |  | Achievement Level 3 and Above ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 8 | 2012 | 193,401 | 316 | 22 | 31 | 34 | 9 | 3 | 46 |

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are for all students tested in all curriculum groups.
    ${ }^{2}$ In spring 2011, FCAT 2.0 Mathematics scores were reported as FCAT Equivalent Scores in order to maintain consistent expectations for student performance during the transition year. The 2011 scores have been converted to the new FCAT 2.0 scale so that stakeholders and the general public are able to see what the scores would have been if the new score scale and Achievement Levels had been approved and implemented at that time.
    ${ }^{3}$ Adding the percentages in Levels 3-5 may not result in the percentage reported under "Achievement Level 3 and Above" due to rounding.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The equipercentile linking method used in 2012 holds constant the results at the state level. Therefore, the percentage at each Achievement Level is the same as in 2011, with some small differences due to rounding. Even though the FCAT Equivalent Scores for the FCAT 2.0 have a similar meaning to the FCAT scores, they do not have the same meaning. For this reason, comparisons between FCAT 2.0 results and prior years' FCAT results should be made with caution.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data are for all students tested in all curriculum groups.
    ${ }^{3}$ Adding the percentages in Levels 3-5 may not result in the percentage reported under "Achievement Level 3 and Above" due to rounding.

