

Dusty Sims, Lead Executive Director, Bureau of School Improvement Carla Greene, Senior Director, Bureau of School Improvement





School Improvement System of Accountability and Support Overview and Updates



Accountability for ALL Students

Any school could potentially be identified as a school in need of comprehensive or targeted support and improvement under the federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) AND receive a school grade, school improvement rating or Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) accountability rating under the state accountability system.

Schools Federal ESSA eligible to **Accountability:** receive a **School Grade Additional Targeted** Support and State Schools eligible to **Improvement (ATSI)** receive a School Accountability **Improvement Targeted Support and** Rating **System Improvement (TSI)** DJJ schools Comprehensive eligible to Support and receive a DJJ Accountability **Improvement (CSI)** Rating



ESSA Identification of Schools

Category	Criteria				
ATSI Additional Targeted Support and Improvement	A school not identified for CSI but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.				
TSI Targeted Support and Improvement	A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.				
CSI Comprehensive Support and Improvement	 A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following 4 ways: 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41% (lowest performing) 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67% (low grad rate) 3. Have a school grade of D or F (lowest performing) 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years (not exiting) 				



School Improvement Timeline

Federal Index	School Year of	School Year for Support
Identification	Federal Index Calculation	(Based on School Year of
(Consecutive Years)		Federal Index Calculation)
1	2017-18	2018-19
2	2018-19	2019-20
WAIVER	2019-20 (carry forward designation from 2018-19)	2020-21
WAIVER	2020-21 (carry forward designation from 2019-20)	2021-22
3	2021-22 (1st year for TSI designation with rolling 3 years	2022-23
	thereafter dropping off the earliest year and replacing with	
	the most recent year)	
Amended ESSA	2022-23	2023-24
State Plan (2023)	• End of 2022-23 SY:	
4	 CSI and ATSI carry forward designation from 	
	2021-22	
	Schools identified for graduation rate only will	
	exit CSI if the 2021-22 graduation rate increased to	
	higher than 67%	
	• When 2022-23 school grades are released :	
	 Schools may be identified as TSI (new cohort) 	
	 2022-23 will count toward the consecutive years 	
	in the CSI and ATSI carry forward designations	
5	2023-24	2024-25
6	2024-25 (1st year a school can be designated as CSI for a	2025-26
	Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years)	
	consecutive years)	

Revised 11/8/2023 www.FLDOE.org



Exiting ATSI, TSI and CSI Requirements

- To exit ATSI, a school must improve subgroup(s) performance on the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) to 41% or higher.
- To exit TSI, a school must improve subgroup(s) performance so there are no consistently underperforming subgroup(s) with an FPPI below 32% for 3 consecutive years.
- To exit CSI, a school must have a FPPI of 41% or higher, must not have a "D" or "F" school grade, and must have a graduation rate above 67%.
- Schools that enter CSI because they were previously identified as ATSI based on subgroup performance for 6 consecutive years must raise their subgroup's performance to 41% or higher on the FPPI to exit CSI.



2023-24 Active Schools ESSA Support List

Based on 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index and ESSA Support Categories as published by the Bureau of Accountability and Reporting.

ATSI 1,802 schools

TSI 224 schools

505 schools



BSI Monitoring and Support for All Schools



BSI teams are structured to support districts and schools in increasing outcomes for all students through strategic problem solving and capacity building in the areas of accountability, transformational leadership, standards-based planning, instruction and learning and positive culture and environment.



A continuum of support designed to improve education for all students as evidenced by increased student outcomes will be offered to districts.

Supplemental

Targeted

Intensive



ESSA Support List

- ESSA category (tabs for ATSI, TSI and CSI)
- FPPI all students
- School grade
- Graduation rate
- Number of consecutive years below 32% for each subgroup
- FPPI and number of consecutive years below 41% for each subgroup

School Improvement Support List

- School Grade History
- D, F or Graduation Rate below 67%
- Turnaround Status
- Turnaround Option
- Tier, Cycle, Year
- Title I Status

										School Imp										
	Bureau of School Improvement Preliminary 2023-24 Schools Identified for Intensive Support as of June 27, 2023			2023-24 School Improvement Support List																
	Based on 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index and ESSA Support Categories as published by the Bure	au of Acco	ountabil	ity and R		•	School Name	School Type	*2022-23 Grade	2021-22 Grade	2020-21 Grade	2018-19 Grade	2017-18 Grade	2016-17 Grade	Turnaround Status	Turnaround Option	Tier	Cycle	Year in Status	Title I
	blue are also on the SI Support List pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099811, F.A.C., School Improvement State	System of	Suppor	tor Det	icient a	ind Failing Schools	KE FOREST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01	Grade	E	Grade	D	D	C	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 2	YES
Graded Schools Region	n 1 Support Team						A. METCALFE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D.			D	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
Rated Schools State Su	ipport Team						ACHUA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D			D	C	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	YES
						. / / 🏄	ESTER SHELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL			_	-		U	R	1911		-			_
				125	- / ₂	6		01		D	_	-	C		N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
			/_	, Q	. / e	0 /0 /0	YRA TERWILLIGER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
_/ <u>*</u> /	/*/		8	20 %	de ?	* ST ST	/LWILD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		D	D	C	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 2	YES
100	/ * / *		54	E 23 .	CO 30	8 8	ARJORIE KINNAN RAWLINGS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
0 x x		/ 🕹	° /હ		8	\$ 20°	DAR GROVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		F		C	D	C	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 1	YES
P. 17 2 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	/ 8 / 8	18	Let.	7 1	2 8	1 2 Se	LLAWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		D	C	В	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 1	YES
\$ 8 8		8	18 3	100 de	13	8 6	RRIAM CHERRY STREET ELEMENTARY	01		D		Α	Α	В	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0071 MERRITT BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL	CSI	40	YES	С		CILLE MOORE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		С	D	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0091 CEDAR GROVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	31	YES	F		RKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	B	С	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0101 CALLAWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	43	NO	D		ALLER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D			D	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0111 MERRIAM CHERRY STREET ELEMENTARY	CSI	37	YES	D		THERFORD HIGH SCHOOL	04		D				C	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0131 LUCILLE MOORE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	36	YES	D					_	-			C		1911	4			
1 03 BAY	0211 PARKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	42	NO	D		ADFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	C	-	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY 1 03 BAY	0241 ST. ANDREW SCHOOL AT OAKLAND TERRACE	CSI	36	YES			WTEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	В	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY 1 03 BAY	0251 WALLER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	40	YES	D		DEAVOUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0281 MARGARET K. LEWIS IN MILLVILLE 0341 RUTHERFORD HIGH SCHOOL	CSI	22 37	YES	D	NO	. W.J. CREEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	В	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0331 NEW HORIZONS LEARNING CENTER	CSI	34	YES	D	NO	ALKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		D	C	D	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 1	YES
1 03 BAY	0581 ROSENWALD HIGH SCHOOL	CSI	17	YES		YES	KRIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 03 BAY	0782 CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL	CSI	19	YES		YES	OWARD ESTATES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		В	C	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0051 BELLVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	32	YES	D		ANTATION MIDDLE SCHOOL	02		D		С	С	С	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0061 BELLVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	CSI	36	YES	D		STWOOD HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	В	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0211 ACHIEVE ACADEMY AT MCMILLIAN	CSI	12	YES		YES	RTH FORK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		F	_	C	-	D	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	2	CYCLE 1	YEAR 1	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0271 ENSLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	39	YES	D		STLE HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D	_	C	D	C	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0361 MONTCLAIR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	38	YES	D		. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. MONTESSORI ACADEMY			_	-	_	0	F	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	1	N/A		
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0371 MYRTLE GROVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	32	YES	D			01		D		С	C	_			•		N/A	YES
1 17 ESCAMBIA	0391 OAKCREST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	CSI	35	YES	D		DEAVOUR PRIMARY LEARNING CENTER	01		F		D	C	С	IMPLEMENTING	DMT	\rightarrow	CYCLE 1	YEAR 1	YES
							KEDERICK R. DOUGLASS ELEMENTARY	01		D			С	Α	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
				14006			WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	C	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
				14016	i1	4 DESOTO	DESOTO MIDDLE SCHOOL	02		D		C	C	C	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
1				14018	1	4 DESOTO	NOCATEE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	01		D		C	D	D	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	YES
httpc	www floridacims org			16064	4	2 DUBYAL	HOCAN CODING CLEN ELEMENTARY COHOO!	04		D.					AL/A	AL/A	4	NI/A	AL /A	VEC ()



School Responsibilities

ATSI	TSI	CSI
School Responsibilities	School Responsibilities	School Responsibilities
 Develop a School 	• Develop a School	Develop a School Improvement Plan
Improvement Plan (SIP)	Improvement Plan	(SIP) with stakeholder involvement and
with stakeholder	(SIP) with	submit to the district for approval.
involvement and submit	stakeholder	Implement the SIP.
to the district for	involvement a submit	Submit a Mid-Year Reflection to the
approval.	to the district for	FDOE.
• Implement the SIP.	approval.	Improve student learning outcomes
 Improve student learning 	• Implement the SIP.	toward meeting exit criteria.
outcomes toward	• Submit a Mid-Year	District schools that receive a school
meeting exit criteria.	Reflection to the	grade of D, F or graduation rate only will
	Florida Department	have additional requirements pursuant
	of Education (FDOE).	to Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida
	 Improve student 	Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Charter
	learning outcomes	schools that receive a school grade of D, F
	toward meeting exit	or 3 consecutive grades below a C will
	criteria.	have additional requirements pursuant
		to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C.

Note: Schools that receive a DJJ accountability rating of unsatisfactory will have additional requirements pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.



Supplemental Support – Graded and Rated Schools

ATSI - SUPPLEME	NTAL SUPPORT						
District Responsibilities	Department Responsibilities						
 Inform schools of ATSI identification. Provide resources to ATSI schools. Review, approve, support and monitor implementation of the SIPs. Request technical assistance from BSI Regional Team and the FDOE to coordinate support for low-performing subgroups and specific areas of need. Review resource allocation of the school based on student need and take action to address identified issues. Assess progress toward meeting exit criteria to ensure exit within 6 years. 	 Inform districts of schools with ATSI identification. Provide a coordinated response to the district's request for technical assistance and support for low-performing subgroups and specific areas of need. Periodically review resource allocation to support school improvement and provide technical assistance in each district serving a significant number of CSI, TSI and ATSI schools. Monitor district responsibilities. 						



Targeted Support – Graded and Rated Schools

TSI - TARGETED SUPPORT							
District Responsibilities	Department Responsibilities						
 Inform schools of TSI identification. 	 Inform districts of schools with TSI 						
 Provide resources to TSI schools. 	identification.						
 Review, approve, support and monitor 	 Provide a coordinated response to the 						
implementation of the SIPs.	district's request for technical						
 Request technical assistance from BSI 	assistance and support for low-						
Regional Team and the FDOE to	performing subgroups and specific						
coordinate support for low-	areas of need.						
performing subgroups and specific	• Periodically review resource						
areas of need.	allocation to support school						
 Assess progress toward meeting exit 	improvement and provide technical						
criteria and take additional action if a	assistance in each district serving a						
school does not meet exit criteria	significant number of CSI, TSI and						
within the number of years specified	ATSI schools.						
by the district.	 Monitor district responsibilities. 						



Intensive Support – Graded and Rated Schools

CSI - INTENSIVE SUPPORT					
District Responsibilities	Department Responsibilities				
Inform schools of CSI identification. Provide resources to CSI schools. Review, approve, support and monitor implementation of the SIPs. Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance or other supports from the BSI Regional Team and the FDOE. Review resource allocation of the school based on student need and take action to address identified issues. Manage school improvement grants. Meet periodically with assigned BSI Regional or State team to provide updates on the progress toward exit for schools with grade of C or higher and Rated Priority Schools. Meet additional requirements for traditional schools pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099811, F.A.C. and charter schools pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C.	 Inform districts of schools with CSI identification. Provide resources/funding. Review, approve, support and periodically monitor implementation of school SIPs. Periodically review resource allocation to support school improvement and provide technical assistance in each district serving a significant number of CSI, TSI and ATSI schools. Assess and report school progress toward meeting exit criteria. Monitor district responsibilities. Periodically facilitate meetings with the district to support school improvement efforts for charter schools, district schools with a grade of C or higher and Rated Priority Schools. For schools on the SI Support List, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099811, F.A.C., the BSI Regional Team will: Conduct on-site beginning-of-the-year, middle-of-the-year and end-of the year Instructional Periority 				



Rated Schools

State Accountability Designation

Public traditional or charter schools that receive a school improvement rating or DJJ accountability rating:

- ESE centers:
- Alternative schools; or
- DJJ schools.



Federal Designation

- ATSI;
- TSI; or
- CSI (FPPI below 41%).

Must meet requirements of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act and Florida's ESSA State Plan.



Rated Priority Schools Support

Department Responsibilities

- Provide resources/funding.
- Review, approve, support and periodically monitor implementation of school SIPs.
- Periodically review resource allocation to support school improvement and provide technical assistance in each district serving a significant number of CSI, TSI and ATSI schools.
- Assess and report school progress toward meeting exit criteria.
- Monitor district responsibilities.
- Periodically facilitate meetings with the district to support school improvement efforts for charter schools, district schools with a grade of C or higher and Rated Priority Schools.

Primary Support:

 Joey Nice, Director of Alternative Education

Subgroup Support:

Dr. Denise Robinson,
 Tiered Support
 Coordinator

Options:

- On-site or virtual.
- Include in district meetings if district also has SI schools.





Charter Schools

• Requirements per Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C.:

- o (1)(a) A charter school that receives a school grade of D or F pursuant to Section 1008.34(2), F.S., must develop and submit a school improvement plan to its sponsor.
- o (1)(b) A charter school that earns 3 consecutive grades below a C must submit to its sponsor a school improvement plan that includes one of the corrective actions listed in subsection (6) of this rule.
- o (5)(a) A sponsor shall approve or deny a school improvement plan submitted pursuant to subsection (4), of this rule.

Support:

- Collaborative support from the various bureaus and offices in the Department.
- The Department will periodically facilitate meetings with the district to support school improvement efforts for charter schools. Options:
 - On-site or virtual; and
 - Participate in district meetings if district also has SI schools.



2023-24 School Improvement Schools

of F or DD

Exit with a Grade of

Exit with a Grade of Cor higher Single grade

Exit with a Grade of Cor higher 2-year plan

Completed cycle 1

Single grade of D or grad rate only

Intensive Supports and **Interventions**

130 schools First time D = 130Grad Only = 0

Tier 1

Schools implementing a **District-Managed Turnaround Option** Plan

2-year plan

31 schools **Cycle 1, Year 1 = 29** Cycle 1, Year 2 = 2

Tier 2

Schools implementing 1 of the other 3 **Turnaround Options:**

- 1. Reassignment/Closure
- 2. Charter
- 3. External Operator/ **Outside Entity**

2 schools

EO – Cycle 2, Year 1 = 1CH - Cycle 4, Year 1 = 1

Tier 3

2023-24 163 **Schools**



2022-23 School and District Grades and School Improvement Ratings

- Informational baseline year for schools and districts to work toward improved performance in future years.
- No schools will enter School Improvement (SI) status.
- All schools that improve to a "C" or higher upon release of the 2022-23 school grades will exit SI status.
- Due to the absence of learning gains in the 2022-23 school year, the reporting of school improvement ratings will be delayed until the 2023-24 school year.
- The State Board of Education adopted new cut scores on October 18, 2023.



School Improvement Funding



Funding for School Improvement

- Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)
- Supplemental Teacher and Administrator Allocation (STAA)
- 2023-24 Supplemental School Improvement Grant
- Educational Enrichment Allocation



Requirements for Evidence-Based Interventions

- A school identified for CSI must implement at least one evidence-based intervention as part of its improvement plan.
- Under ESEA Section 8101(21)(B), any evidence-based intervention, activity or strategy that the LEA pays for with ESEA Section 1003 funds must meet one of the first three tiers of evidence under ESEA Section 8101(21)(A) (strong, moderate or promising evidence).

SIP Section: Area of Focus

Rationale for evidence-based intervention: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.
Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:
□ Tier 1 – Strong Evidence □ Tier 2 – Moderate Evidence
☑ Tier 3 – Promising Evidence □ Tier 4 – Demonstrates a Rationale
Funding source(s):
<u></u>
☐Check the box if this evidenced-based intervention will be funded with UniSIG.



Practitioner Support for Student Subgroups

Professional Learning

Resources

Technical Assistance

Bureau of School Improvement (BSI)	Bureau of Family and Community Engagement (BFCO)
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Support Services (BEESS)	Bureau of Federal Educational Programs (BFEP)
Bureau of Student Achievement Through Language Acquisition (SALA)	Bureau of Equal Educational Opportunity (BEEO)

Contact Dr. Denise Robinson, BSI, Tiered Support Coordinator, at <u>Denise.Robinson@fldoe.org</u> or 850-245-0900.



Targeting Support

Student populations with three or more consecutive years with underperformance.

Language Learners

English

Ethnicity (7)

Subgroups

Students with Disabilities

Economically Disadvantaged



Monitoring and Periodic Resource Allocation Review



Periodic Resource Allocation Review

- The Department must periodically complete a resource allocation review to support school improvement in districts with a significant number of schools identified for support. (ESSA s. 1111(d)(3)(A)(ii)(I)-(II))
- Intent of the review is to provide high-level data for district consideration as part of the continuous improvement process.
- The responsibility of the Department is to facilitate district conversations around data on resources and student outcomes, uncover opportunities and barriers to more strategic spending that positively impact students' experiences in school, and create transparency, flexibility and accountability among school and district leaders to distribute adequate funding based on student needs.



Periodic Resource Allocation Review Process

STEP 1

- The Department identifies districts serving a significant number of schools identified for ATSI, TSI and CSI. A significant number is determined by the following methodology:
 - At least 10% of active schools identified as CSI or TSI on the 2023-24 Preliminary ESSA Support List;
 - At least 10% of active schools identified as ATSI on the 2023-24
 Preliminary ESSA Support List; and
 - o At least 2 total active schools in the district on the 2023-24 Preliminary ESSA Support List.



Periodic Resource Allocation Review Process

STEP 2

- The Department will gather state-level resource allocation and utilization data and provide to district team for analysis.
- Data points may include, but not be limited to:

Student enrollment and demographics

ESSA identification, FPPI, low-performing subgroups

Graduation Rates

Per Pupil Expenditures

Access to Quality Teachers: educator experience, out-of-field, educator effectiveness and temporary certificates

VAM ratings, student-to-teacher ratio, staff certifications, vacancies

Financial data: district and school-level budgets, applicable state categorical funds or grants received by the district, consolidated application for federal funds and other federal grants received by the district (IDEA)



Periodic Resource Allocation Review Process

STEP 3

- A collaborative meeting will be scheduled with the district to analyze the data.
- Key participants will include district leaders identified by the Superintendent (e.g., Chief Financial Officer, State and Federal Programs Officer, Curriculum Director, School Improvement Coordinator) and the regional school improvement team.

Discussion of the allocation and utilization of the resources available to the district.



Information
informs decisions
that the district
makes concerning
addressing any
identified
resource
inequities.



Final decisions are made by the district and addressed in the SIP.



www.FLDOE.org

