INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS ADMINISTRATOR

BID 3333

Recommendation

Yes

Comments: This would still require the teacher to develop materials in areas where there was no coverage or insufficient coverage: mass vs. weight, electromagnetic spectrum, gravitation, Florida space program. These topics might challenge someone new to teaching science or someone with a weak science background. A first year teacher might not have a bag of tricks for demos and if they didn't hustle to do a little work on their own, it might not go so well. Most of the material was low to medium level of difficulty until it came to the chemistry content that took a leap in difficulty. I've been teaching middle level chemistry for 18 years so I have a pretty good feel for what it takes to help students become successful with that content.

Material for Review

Course: M/J Comprehensive Science 3 (2002100)

Title: Science Bits - M/J Comprehensive Science 3, Edition: 1st

Copyright: 2017

Author: International Science Teaching Foundation

Grade Level: 6 - 8

Content

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Alignment with curriculum1. A. The content aligns with the state's standards and benchmarks for subject, grade level and learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT	FAIR ALIGNMENT	O POOR ALIGNMENT	VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT
Justification:			

two small items are missing that could be supplemented

2. A. The content is written to the correct skill level of the standards and benchmarks in the course.	
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT	
3. A. The materials are adaptable and useful for classroom instruction.	
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: I would like more choices and more interesting independent practice for students	
B. Level of Treatment4. B. The materials provide sufficient details for students to understand the significance of topics and events.	
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:	
there is good connection between topics also states of matter and stars, ecology and photosynthesis	
5. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the standards.	
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:	
it doesn't provide different levels as some publishers do. The teacher would have to modify.	
6. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the student abilities and grade level.	
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:	
I would like to see more writing and rubrics to develop that skill at this grade level. I think there should be some options for more lengthy and difficult reading passages also. Another important skill to develop before high school science.	
7. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the time period allowed for teaching.	
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OF SAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT	
Justification: I think we currently "cover" more ground during an academic year than this. It would allow teachers to dig deeper on fewer topics but there aren't that many suggestions for that.	
C. Expertise for Content Development8. C. The primary and secondary sources cited in the materials reflect expert information for the subject.	
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT	
Justification: the iguanodon passage comes from Wikipedia? We don't let students use that.	
9. C. The primary and secondary sources contribute to the quality of the content in the materials.	
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT	
Access to Stellarium would be excellent	
D. Accuracy of Content 10. D. The content is presented accurately. (Material should be devoid of typographical or visual errors).	
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT → GOOD ALIGNMENT → FAIR ALIGNMENT → POOR ALIGNMENT → VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:	
seems good, has new language for some old topics like kinetic theory	
11. D. The content of the material is presented objectively. (Material should be free of bias and contradictions and is noninflammatory in nature).	
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT	
Justification: it almost seems like they kept anything controversial very brief in order to avoid this-political social economic issues	
12. D. The content of the material is representative of the discipline? (Material should include prevailing theories, concepts, standards, and models used with the subject area).	
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Constructivist theory design	
13. D. The content of the material is factual accurate. (Materials should be free of mistakes and inconsistencies).	
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:	

E. Currency of Content14. E. The content is up-to-date according to current research and standards of practice.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
I didn't see much space telescope information. That content is very exciting to students.
15. E. The content is presented to the curriculum, standards, and benchmarks in an appropriate and relevant context.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: just 2 holes FL space program and electromagnetic spectrum
16. E. The content is presented in an appropriate and relevant context for the intended learners.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
considering FL kids have almost no background in atoms coming into 8th grade, the jump to moles is a little unlikely
F. Authenticity of Content17. F. The content includes connections to life in a context that is meaningful to students.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT
Justification: I think the teacher would need to supplement this. I think it is sad to have to watch a video of some of these very simple demos. Hopefully teachers will do these live and add many more
18. F. The material includes interdisciplinary connections which are intended to make the content meaningful to students.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT → GOOD ALIGNMENT → FAIR ALIGNMENT → POOR ALIGNMENT → VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: there is good math practice, graph reading and analysis
G. Multicultural Representation 19. G. The portrayal of gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, cultural, religious, physical, and various social
groups are fair and unbiased. (Please explain any unfair or biased portrayals in the comments section).
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
I don't remember seeing images of contemporary scientists. The historic stuff is kind of dull but I understand it's necessary.
H. Humanity and Compassion 20. H. The materials portray people and animals with compassion, sympathy, and consideration of their needs and values and exclude hard-core pornography and inhumane treatment. (An exception may be necessary for units covering animal welfare).
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: I didn't see any images either way
21. In general, is the content of the benchmarks and standards for this course covered in the material.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: again as a teacher I would love to see more choices.

Presentation

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are

consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.

 Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products

 Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Comprehensiveness of Student and Teacher Resources 1. A. The comprehensiveness of the student resources address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional teaching materials for the course.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: There needs to be more information on gravitation that could easily be worked into a better understanding of mass and weight
B. Alignment of Instructional Components2. B. All components of the major tool align with the curriculum and each other.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: most of the components align
C. Organization of Instructional Materials 3. C. The materials are consistent and logical organization of the content for the subject area.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: I was a little confused with the Energy and Matter section and the Nutrition section
D. Readability of Instructional Materials 4. D. Narrative and visuals engage students in reading or listening as well as in understanding of the content at a level appropriate to the students' abilities.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: the passages are at the right level but I would like to see more choices with a learning stretch in length and difficulty of reading passages. The short videos are engaging and I know students like to learn that way.
E. Pacing of Content 5. E. The amount of content presented at one time or the pace at which it is presented must be of a size or rate that allows students to perceive and understand it.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: It's not ambitious but it is adequate. I believe in planning more than I can accomplish. Not all the material will be effective so more choices are good in case one falls flat.
Accessibility 6. The material contains presentation, navigation, study tool and assistive supports that aid students, including those with disabilities, to access and interact with the material. (For assistance refer to the answers on the UDL questionnaire).
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: you can print it, replay the video, redo the evaluations. The concept maps are already made to help students understand the organization of ideas, Spanish language options
7. In general, how well does the submission satisfy PRESENTATION requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Presentation section).
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: sometimes I think the engage part of the lesson went on too long-like teaching about solitare just to get to the idea of Mendeleev and the periodic table

Learning

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT

- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- · Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.
A. Motivational Strategies 1. A. Instructional materials include features to maintain learner motivation.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: bright colored images taking up half the page will motivate learners
B. Teaching a Few "Big Ideas"2. B. Instructional materials thoroughly teach a few important ideas, concepts, or themes.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Except the chemistry section which zips through a LOT of concepts that middle school kids have difficulty with because it is their first exposure and it is abstract
C. Explicit Instruction3. C. The materials contain clear statements of information and outcomes.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: The assessments are good. I would like to see more writing and rubrics instead of so many multiple choice. Teachers will like the self grading activities.
D. Guidance and Support 4. D. The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully become more independent learners and thinkers.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: drop down fill in the blank activities limit wrong answers, simulations that can be manipulated are helpful
5. D. Guidance and support must be adaptable to developmental differences and various learning styles.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: video and animations are great for visual learners. The reading uses the scientific vocabulary but in smaller well marked (font change) passages that are not intimidating. The student activities are short and simple and embedded right where the information is taught.
E. Active Participation of Students6. E. The materials engage the physical and mental activity of students during the learning process.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Not many recommendations for hands on activities. Middle school kids have a lot of energy. They like to be active and interactive with other students.
7. E. Rate how well the materials include organized activities that are logical extensions of content, goals, and objectives.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: they are good, they are just not requiring very much of the student-just a few mouse clicks.
F. Targeted Instructional Strategies 8. F. Instructional materials include the strategies known to be successful for teaching the learning outcomes targeted in the curriculum requirements.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: The publisher says the 5 E model will help dispel student misconceptions. That would be great.
9. F. The instructional strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in teaching the targeted outcomes.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification: 5 E model is widely regarded to have successful outcomes with students in science.
G. Targeted Assessment Strategies 10. G. The materials correlate assessment strategies to the desired learning outcomes.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Just as the publisher promised, the assessment is not just asking students to regurgitate memorized facts but requires them to apply what they learned.
11. G. the assessment strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in assessing the learners' performance with regard to the targeted outcomes.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OF AIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: the assessment is pretty brief with just a few multiple choice questions. Our state standardized test for the end of course requires pretty good reading comprehension. I would want more practice for students to understand questions using scientific terms and even requiring short writing responses.
Universal Design for Learning12. This submission incorporates strategies, materials, activities, etc., that consider the needs of all students. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: I would like to see more enrichment and more remediation activities for a teacher to differentiate when needed. We can always do this on our own but we are overloaded already.
Mathematical Practice 13. Do you observe the appropriate application of Mathematical Practices (MP) as applicable?
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ FAIR ALIGNMENT ■ POOR ALIGNMENT ■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: There was good challenging math practice in astronomy and in density
14. In general, does the submission satisfy LEARNING requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Learning section.)
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: It would be helpful for students to have all the material in one place online provided they had computer access. No lost papers. Good communication and feedback from the teacher.

Standards

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

When looking at standards alignment reviewers should consider not only the robustness of the standard coverage but also the content complexity (depth of knowledge level) if appropriate. More information on content complexity as it relates to Florida standards can be found at: http://www.cpalms.org/Uploads/docs/CPALMS/initiatives/contentcomplexity/CPALMS codefinitions 140711.pdf

For example, if the standard is marked as a level 3 (strategic reasoning and complex thinking) then the materials coverage should reflect this. If the materials coverage is only sufficient to allow for recall (level 1) then this should be reflected in the points assigned.

1. SC.8.E.5.1: Recognize that there are enormous distances between objects in space and apply our knowledge of light and space travel to understand this distance.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Earth in the universe explain section, Eyes on the Solar System simulator is so cool
2. SC.8.E.5.2: Recognize that the universe contains many billions of galaxies and that each galaxy contains many billions of stars.
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Earth in the universe explain section
3. SC.8.E.5.3: Distinguish the hierarchical relationships between planets and other astronomical bodies relative to solar system, galaxy, and universe, including distance, size, and composition.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: A trip through the universe video. Has distance but no other information.
4. SC.8.E.5.4: Explore the Law of Universal Gravitation by explaining the role that gravity plays in the formation of planets, stars, and solar
systems and in determining their motions.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
5. SC.8.E.5.5 : Describe and classify specific physical properties of stars: apparent magnitude (brightness), temperature (color), size, and luminosity (absolute brightness).
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: putting the sun's stages in order
6. SC.8.E.5.6 : Create models of solar properties including: rotation, structure of the Sun, convection, sunspots, solar flares, and prominences.
Remarks/Examples:
Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics and MAFS.K12.MP.7: Look for and make use of structure.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: explain section-still just text and video clip
7. SC.8.E.5.7: Compare and contrast the properties of objects in the Solar System including the Sun, planets, and moons to those of Earth, such as gravitational force, distance from the Sun, speed, movement, temperature, and atmospheric conditions.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
scale model of solar system on the mall distance and size of planet.
8. SC.8.E.5.8: Compare various historical models of the Solar System, including geocentric and heliocentric.
Remarks/Examples:
Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: this is covered thoroughly in the explain section of Earth in the Universe
9. SC.8.E.5.9: Explain the impact of objects in space on each other including:
1. the Sun on the Earth including seasons and gravitational attraction
the Moon on the Earth, including phases, tides, and eclipses, and the relative position of each body.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: angle of incidence simulator is helpful, tide data is qualitative only. FL kids could use quantitative data because they will really use it
10. SC.8.E.5.10: Assess how technology is essential to science for such purposes as access to outer space and other remote locations, sample collection, measurement, data collection and storage, computation, and communication of information.

Remarks/Examples:
Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.5: Use appropriate tools strategically; and, MAFS.K12.MP.6: Attend to precision.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: good information about the Voyager mission
11. SC.8.E.5.11: Identify and compare characteristics of the electromagnetic spectrum such as wavelength, frequency, use, and hazards and recognize its application to an understanding of planetary images and satellite photographs.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
12. SC.8.E.5.12: Summarize the effects of space exploration on the economy and culture of Florida.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
13. SC.8.L.18.1: Describe and investigate the process of photosynthesis, such as the roles of light, carbon dioxide, water and chlorophyll; production of food; release of oxygen.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OGOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: found it under nutrition, wouldn't have looked for this under that title
14. SC.8.L.18.2: Describe and investigate how cellular respiration breaks down food to provide energy and releases carbon dioxide.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OF SAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
under nutrition. For American students that's not the way we would classify it.
15. SC.8.L.18.3: Construct a scientific model of the carbon cycle to show how matter and energy are continuously transferred within and between organisms and their physical environment.
Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
16. SC.8.L.18.4: Cite evidence that living systems follow the Laws of Conservation of Mass and Energy.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: concept map flow of energy and matter, minute ecosystems
17. SC.8.N.1.1: Define a problem from the eighth grade curriculum using appropriate reference materials to support scientific understanding, plan and carry out scientific investigations of various types, such as systematic observations or experiments, identify variables, collect and organize data, interpret data in charts, tables, and graphics, analyze information, make predictions, and defend conclusions.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
movement of the stars relative to earth in the explore section using video clips of the motion of the stars 18. SC.8.N.1.2: Design and conduct a study using repeated trials and replication.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OGOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: effervescent tablet experiment
19. SC.8.N.1.3: Use phrases such as "results support" or "fail to support" in science, understanding that science does not offer conclusive 'proof' of a knowledge claim.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Bertrand Russel quote is good for this in nature of science.
20. SC.8.N.1.4 : Explain how hypotheses are valuable if they lead to further investigations, even if they turn out not to be supported by the data.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification: conservation of mass on a scale
21. SC.8.N.1.5: Analyze the methods used to develop a scientific explanation as seen in different fields of science.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Rutherford experiment sim, Kastle Meyer sim
22. SC.8.N.1.6: Understand that scientific investigations involve the collection of relevant empirical evidence, the use of logical reasoning, and the application of imagination in devising hypotheses, predictions, explanations and models to make sense of the collected evidence.
Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: I disagree with having students spend so much time creating a presentation on astrology just to teach that it isn't science.
23. SC.8.N.2.1: Distinguish between scientific and pseudoscientific ideas.
Remarks/Examples: Science is testable, pseudo-science is not science seeks falsifications, pseudo-science seeks confirmations (e.g. astrology is pseudoscience).
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: mythology from ancient text might lead students to think being non-scientific is not a modern problem
24. SC.8.N.2.2: Discuss what characterizes science and its methods.
Remarks/Examples: Science is the systematic, organized inquiry that is derived from observations and experimentation that can be verified through testing to explain natural phenomena.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Defines it and compares it to earlier forms of thinking in history
25. SC.8.N.3.1: Select models useful in relating the results of their own investigations.
Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: quantifying organic and inorganic content simulated lab
26. SC.8.N.3.2: Explain why theories may be modified but are rarely discarded.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: compares and contrasts law and theory with one example each in Nature of Science
27. SC.8.N.4.1: Explain that science is one of the processes that can be used to inform decision making at the community, state, national, and international levels.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: 1 page human impact-not developed into discussion, uses of radioactivity same, power plant argument
28. SC.8.N.4.2: Explain how political, social, and economic concerns can affect science, and vice versa.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT → GOOD ALIGNMENT → FAIR ALIGNMENT → POOR ALIGNMENT → VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: 1 page human impact-not developed into debate, uses of radioactivity same, power plant argument
29. SC.8.P.8.1 : Explore the scientific theory of atoms (also known as atomic theory) by using models to explain the motion of particles in solids, liquids, and gases.
Demarks/Evamples:

Recognize that matter is composed of discrete units called atoms and atoms are composed of sub-atomic particles called protons, neutrons,

Remarks/Examples:

and electrons. Solid is the state in which intermolecular attractions keep the molecules in fixed spatial relationships. Liquid is the state in which intermolecular attractions keep molecules in proximity, but not in fixed relationships. Gas is the state in which molecules are comparatively separated and intermolecular attractions have relatively little effect on their respective motions. Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics. 🌑 **VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** 🔍 GOOD ALIGNMENT 🔍 FAIR ALIGNMENT 🔍 POOR ALIGNMENT 🔍 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: nice simulation for kinetic theory, writing activity to explain it 30. SC.8.P.8.2: Differentiate between weight and mass recognizing that weight is the amount of gravitational pull on an object and is distinct from, though proportional to, mass. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OGOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: all about mass, no discussion about weight 31. SC.8.P.8.3: Explore and describe the densities of various materials through measurement of their masses and volumes. Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.5: Use appropriate tools strategically and, MAFS.K12.MP.6: Attend to precision. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
GOOD ALIGNMENT
FAIR ALIGNMENT
POOR ALIGNMENT
VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: archimedes experiment, cute demo video liquid and solid density, 32. SC.8.P.8.4: Classify and compare substances on the basis of characteristic physical properties that can be demonstrated or measured; for example, density, thermal or electrical conductivity, solubility, magnetic properties, melting and boiling points, and know that these properties are independent of the amount of the sample. Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.5: Use appropriate tools strategically and, MAFS.K12.MP.6: Attend to precision. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT

GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: lots of materials density comparisons, define chem and phys properties. Not many examples. 33. SC.8.P.8.5: Recognize that there are a finite number of elements and that their atoms combine in a multitude of ways to produce compounds that make up all of the living and nonliving things that we encounter. Remarks/Examples: Demonstrate with atomic models how atoms can combine in many ways. Explain why there are many, but limited, combinations. Use models to demonstrate the conservation of mass in modeled chemical reactions. 🌘 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT 🔘 GOOD ALIGNMENT 🔍 FAIR ALIGNMENT 🔍 POOR ALIGNMENT 🔍 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: showing abundance of elements on Earth 34. SC.8.P.8.6: Recognize that elements are grouped in the periodic table according to similarities of their properties. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
GOOD ALIGNMENT
FAIR ALIGNMENT
POOR ALIGNMENT
VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT evaluation drives the concepts home after explain 35. SC.8.P.8.7: Explore the scientific theory of atoms (also known as atomic theory) by recognizing that atoms are the smallest unit of an element and are composed of sub-atomic particles (electrons surrounding a nucleus containing protons and neutrons). Remarks/Examples: Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: lots of material without much practice or interaction. This is new hard abstract material for 8th graders. 36. SC.8.P.8.8: Identify basic examples of and compare and classify the properties of compounds, including acids, bases, and salts. VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT jumps into reactions pretty quickly though

37. SC.8.P.8.9: Distinguish among mixtures (including solutions) and pure substances.
Remarks/Examples: Pure substances include elements and compounds. Mixtures are classified as heterogeneous (mixtures) or homogeneous (solutions). Methods for separating mixtures include: distillation, chromatography, reverse osmosis, diffusion through semi-permeable membranes.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: bean particle model,
38. SC.8.P.9.1: Explore the Law of Conservation of Mass by demonstrating and concluding that mass is conserved when substances undergo physical and chemical changes.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT → GOOD ALIGNMENT → FAIR ALIGNMENT → POOR ALIGNMENT → VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: conservation of mass on a scale experiments for students to do
39. SC.8.P.9.2: Differentiate between physical changes and chemical changes.
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: lots of examples, nail and magnet demo video is effective
40. SC.8.P.9.3: Investigate and describe how temperature influences chemical changes.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: effervescent tablet experiment
41. LAFS.68.RST.1.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: hydothermal vents passage
42. LAFS.68.RST.1.2: Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; provide an accurate summary of the text distinct from prior
knowledge or opinions.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Look up information on Copernicus
43. LAFS.68.RST.1.3: Follow precisely a multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks.
■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: effervescent tablet experiment, Kastle Meyer test simulation Output Description: Poor ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Output Description: Poor ALIGNMENT POO
44. LAFS.68.RST.2.4: Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in a
specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 6–8 texts and topics.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: periodic table section has a lot of these packed in a short section without much practice
45. LAFS.68.RST.2.5: Analyze the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how the major sections contribute to the whole and
to an understanding of the topic.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: The reading passages are pretty short. A teacher would need to look for lengthier passages relevant to their setting
46. LAFS.68.RST.2.6 : Analyze the author's purpose in providing an explanation, describing a procedure, or discussing an experiment in a text.

47. **LAFS.68.RST.3.7:** Integrate quantitative or technical information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table).

■ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
■ GOOD ALIGNMENT
■ FAIR ALIGNMENT
■ POOR ALIGNMENT
■ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lavoisiers' experiment passage

	Justification: there are flow charts for each topic, seed simulation in evaluate tab
48	8. LAFS.68.RST.3.8: Distinguish among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation in a text.
	○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
	The publisher encourages teachers to have students access information online and evaluate whether it is reliable or unreliable as part of the evaluation strategy
	9. LAFS.68.RST.3.9: Compare and contrast the information gained from experiments, simulations, video, or multimedia sources with that ained from reading a text on the same topic.
	● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
	D. LAFS.68.RST.4.10: By the end of grade 8, read and comprehend science/technical texts in the grades 6–8 text complexity band dependently and proficiently.
	● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
	short passages with good font changes, illustration and video supplement to boost comprehension
	I. LAFS.68.WHST.1.1: Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content. Introduce claim(s) about a topic or issue, acknowledge and distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims, and organize the
	asons and evidence logically.
	Support claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant, accurate data and evidence that demonstrate an understanding of the topic or text, sing credible sources.
c.	Use words, phrases, and clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence. Establish and maintain a formal style.
e.	Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.
	VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: nuclear power plant
	2. LAFS.68.WHST.1.2: Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events, scientific procedures/ experiments, or
a.b.c.d.e.	Introduce a topic clearly, previewing what is to follow; organize ideas, concepts, and information into broader categories as appropriate to chieving purpose; include formatting (e.g., headings), graphics (e.g., charts, tables), and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension. Develop the topic with relevant, well-chosen facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples. Use appropriate and varied transitions to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among ideas and concepts. Use precise language and domain-specific vocabulary to inform about or explain the topic. Establish and maintain a formal style and objective tone. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the information or explanation presented.
	● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
	Justification: explain kinetic theory, forensic report
	3. LAFS.68.WHST.2.4: Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, urpose, and audience.
	● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
	1. LAFS.68.WHST.2.5: With some guidance and support from peers and adults, develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, vising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on how well purpose and audience have been addressed.
	● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
55	5. LAFS.68.WHST.2.6: Use technology, including the Internet, to produce and publish writing and present the relationships between
	formation and ideas clearly and efficiently.
	VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: The information from the publisher to the teacher says that students should be doing outside reading and writing but I don't see a lot of
	specific prompts for this, no rubrics either

56. LAFS.68.WHST.3.7: Conduct short research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question), drawing on several sources and generating additional related, focused questions that allow for multiple avenues of exploration.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT
Justification: The information from the publisher to the teacher says that students should be doing outside reading and writing but I don't see a lot of specific prompts for this.
57. LAFS.68.WHST.3.8: Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ● GOOD ALIGNMENT ● FAIR ALIGNMENT ● POOR ALIGNMENT ● VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: The publisher statement says our access to information and knowledge knows no boundaries. I don't see a lot of specific activities requiring this.
58. LAFS.68.WHST.3.9: Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis reflection, and research.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT
Justification: There are some good data sets in the evaluation parts. Quantitative information is important for scientists
59. LAFS.68.WHST.4.10: Write routinely over extended time frames (time for reflection and revision) and shorter time frames (a single sitting or a day or two) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
There are many brief opportunities for writing. I didn't see many that required writing over extended time frames
60. LAFS.8.SL.1.1: Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 8 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly. a. Come to discussions prepared, having read or researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence on the topic, text, or issue to probe and reflect on ideas under discussion.
b. Follow rules for collegial discussions and decision-making, track progress toward specific goals and deadlines, and define individual roles as needed.
c. Pose questions that connect the ideas of several speakers and respond to others' questions and comments with relevant evidence, observations, and ideas.
 d. Acknowledge new information expressed by others, and, when warranted, qualify or justify their own views in light of the evidence presented.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ■ GOOD ALIGNMENT □ FAIR ALIGNMENT □ POOR ALIGNMENT □ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: nuclear power plant
61. LAFS.8.SL.1.2 : Analyze the purpose of information presented in diverse media and formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) and evaluate the motives (e.g., social, commercial, political) behind its presentation.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: This could happen as students were researching nuclear power plants
62. LAFS.8.SL.1.3: Delineate a speaker's argument and specific claims, evaluating the soundness of the reasoning and relevance and sufficiency of the evidence and identifying when irrelevant evidence is introduced.
● VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ─ GOOD ALIGNMENT ─ FAIR ALIGNMENT ─ POOR ALIGNMENT ─ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: Ocean acidification evaluation project is very high level
63. LAFS.8.SL.2.4: Present claims and findings, emphasizing salient points in a focused, coherent manner with relevant evidence, sound valid reasoning, and well-chosen details; use appropriate eye contact, adequate volume, and clear pronunciation.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: forensic test results
64. LAFS.8.SL.2.5: Integrate multimedia and visual displays into presentations to clarify information, strengthen claims and evidence, and add interest.
○ VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ GOOD ALIGNMENT ○ FAIR ALIGNMENT ○ POOR ALIGNMENT ○ VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: create a dichotomous key to compare ionic covalent and metallic compound properties

65. MAFS.8.F.2.5: Describe qualitatively the functional relationship between two quantities by analyzing a graph (e.g., where the function is increasing or decreasing, linear or nonlinear). Sketch a graph that exhibits the qualitative features of a function that has been described verbally.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: this could be done with student generated density data
66. MAFS.8.G.3.9: Know the formulas for the volumes of cones, cylinders, and spheres and use them to solve real-world and mathematical problems.
Remarks/Examples:
Fluency Expectations or Examples of Culminating Standards
When students learn to solve problems involving volumes of cones, cylinders, and spheres — together with their previous grade 7 work in angle measure, area, surface area and volume (7.G.2.4–2.6) — they will have acquired a well-developed set of geometric measurement skills. These skills, along with proportional reasoning (7.RP) and multistep numerical problem solving (7.EE.2.3), can be combined and used in flexible ways as part of modeling during high school — not to mention after high school for college and careers.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT OF AIR ALIGNMENT OF AIR ALIGNMENT OF POOR ALIGNMENT OVERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: spheres of material to compare density
67. ELD.K12.ELL.SC.1: English language learners communicate information, ideas and concepts necessary for academic success in the content area of Science.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: all materials are available in Spanish
68. ELD.K12.ELL.SI.1: English language learners communicate for social and instructional purposes within the school setting.
VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification: There are opportunities for teacher feedback and peer feedback but it's hard to know if this would include ELL students effectively