# INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS ADMINISTRATOR

Welcome Cathy Seeds!

Home

Change Password

Log-out

#### Recommendation

Yes

**Comments:** Strengths: Provides extensive coverage of the vast majority of NGSS for this course. Teacher edition provides for a variety of literacy based tasks such as vocabulary development, writing for a variety of tasks and evaluating claims and evidence.

Weaknesses: Material can be overwhelming for a half-credit course and teacher will have to spend considerable time editing for time allowed. The text itself is written at a lexile level that is low for this upper-level high school course. Primary sources are abbreviated. In the hands of an experienced teacher, who has the skills to modify and differentiate, this textbook package would be an effective teaching and learning tool.

#### Material for Review

Course: United States Government (2106310)

Title: Pearson Florida Magruder's American Government, Edition: 1

Copyright: 2018
Author: Shea
Grade Level: 9 - 12

## Content

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete. To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Social Studies K-12 Specifications for the 2016-17 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Alignment with curriculum 1. A. The content aligns with the state's standards and benchmarks for subject, grade level and learning

Content exceeds that which is required by NGSS, but that can be distracting.

2. A. The content is written to the correct skill level of the standards and benchmarks in the course.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

The LAFS for this course are for grades 11-12. Standards call for more complex text at this level.

3. A. The materials are adaptable and useful for classroom instruction.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Materials are abundant and diverse.

B. Level of Treatment4. B. The materials provide sufficient details for students to understand the significance of topics and events.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

5. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the standards.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

The LAFS for this course are for grades 11-12. Standards call for more complex text at this level.

6. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the student abilities and grade level.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

This content should be very easily accessible to students who are on grade level, though many students are not.

7. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the time period allowed for teaching.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Publisher Questionnaire states that course is to be taught yearlong or one semester on block. However, this is a half-credit course. Teachers will have to pair down material to suit course pacing.

C. Expertise for Content Development8. C. The primary and secondary sources cited in the materials reflect expert information for the subject.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Nice selection of primary sources.

9. C. The primary and secondary sources contribute to the quality of the content in the materials.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Primary sources should be longer at this grade level expectation.

D. Accuracy of Content 10. D. The content is presented accurately. (Material should be devoid of typographical or visual errors).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

11. D. The content of the material is presented objectively. (Material should be free of bias and contradictions and is noninflammatory in nature).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Would like to see more examples of paired texts so students can better interpret bias.

12. D. The content of the material is representative of the discipline? (Material should include prevailing theories, concepts, standards, and models used with the subject area).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT
Justification:

13. D. The content of the material is factual accurate. (Materials should be free of mistakes and inconsistencies).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

E. Currency of Content14. E. The content is up-to-date according to current research and standards of practice.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Quality depiction of President Barack Obama's time in office

15. E. The content is presented to the curriculum, standards, and benchmarks in an appropriate and relevant context.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

16. E. The content is presented in an appropriate and relevant context for the intended learners.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

F. Authenticity of Content 17. F. The content includes connections to life in a context that is meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

18. F. The material includes interdisciplinary connections which are intended to make the content meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

**G. Multicultural Representation**19. G. The portrayal of gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, cultural, religious, physical, and various social groups are fair and unbiased. (Please explain any unfair or biased portrayals in the comments section).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Representative depiction of minority professionals (nurses, judge, etc).

**H. Humanity and Compassion**20. H. The materials portray people and animals with compassion, sympathy, and consideration of their needs and values and exclude hard-core pornography and inhumane treatment. (An exception may be necessary for units covering animal welfare).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

21. In general, is the content of the benchmarks and standards for this course covered in the material.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

## Presentation

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete. To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT

## 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT

#### 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Social Studies K-12 Specifications for the 2016-17 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Comprehensiveness of Student and Teacher Resources**1. A. The comprehensiveness of the student resources address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional teaching materials for the course.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good variety of activities. Unable to view test items from ExamView.

B. Alignment of Instructional Components2. B. All components of the major tool align with the curriculum and each other.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

C. Organization of Instructional Materials 3. C. The materials are consistent and logical organization of the content for the subject area.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

With increased focus of standards based instruction, it would be helpful if text was organized in order of NGSS.

**D.** Readability of Instructional Materials4. D. Narrative and visuals engage students in reading or listening as well as in understanding of the content at a level appropriate to the students' abilities.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Text is easily accessible by those on grade-level and below grade-level.

**E. Pacing of Content**5. E. The amount of content presented at one time or the pace at which it is presented must be of a size or rate that allows students to perceive and understand it.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Text is chunked appropriately.

**Accessibility**6. The material contains presentation, navigation, study tool and assistive supports that aid students, including those with disabilities, to access and interact with the material. (For assistance refer to the answers on the UDL questionnaire).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

7. In general, how well does the submission satisfy PRESENTATION requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Presentation section).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Excellent presentation and chunking of material. Teacher must spend time abridging content.

## Learning

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete. To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers

and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Social Studies K-12 Specifications for the 2016-17 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Motivational Strategies 1. A. Instructional materials include features to maintain learner motivation.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Good combination of modern and historical examples.

B. Teaching a Few "Big Ideas" 2. B. Instructional materials thoroughly teach a few important ideas, concepts, or themes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Teacher will be required to make significant edits to achieve proper pacing.

C. Explicit Instruction3. C. The materials contain clear statements of information and outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

**D. Guidance and Support**4. D. The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully become more independent learners and thinkers.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good use of assistive technology.

5. D. Guidance and support must be adaptable to developmental differences and various learning styles.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Quest Team activities have good variety to suit various learning styles.

E. Active Participation of Students 6. E. The materials engage the physical and mental activity of students during the learning process.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Weakness: Could use better examples of ways teachers and students can track and monitor learning.

7. E. Rate how well the materials include organized activities that are logical extensions of content, goals, and objectives.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Quest Team Projects

**F. Targeted Instructional Strategies**8. F. Instructional materials include the strategies known to be successful for teaching the learning outcomes targeted in the curriculum requirements.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Weakness: Could use more examples of paired texts

9. F. The instructional strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in teaching the targeted outcomes.

G. Targeted Assessment Strategies 10. G. The materials correlate assessment strategies to the desired learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Major tool does not provide access to the test generator for this textbook. This "poor alignment" rating is based on the assessments in the student and teacher editions, which provide "limited opportunities to examine concepts" and "insufficient opportunities to experience the objectives to achieve mastery".

11. G. the assessment strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in assessing the learners' performance with regard to the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Major tool does not provide access to the test generator for this textbook. This "poor alignment" rating is based on the assessments in the student and teacher editions, which provide "limited opportunities to examine concepts" and "insufficient opportunities to experience the objectives to achieve mastery".

Universal Design for Learning12. This submission incorporates strategies, materials, activities, etc., that consider the needs of all students.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

13. In general, does the submission satisfy LEARNING requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Learning section.)

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

## **Standards**

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete. To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Social Studies K-12 Specifications for the 2016-17 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

When looking at standards alignment reviewers should consider not only the robustness of the standard coverage but also the content complexity (depth of knowledge level) if appropriate. More information on content complexity as it relates to Florida standards can be found at: <a href="http://www.cpalms.org/Uploads/docs/CPALMS/initiatives/contentcomplexity/CPALMS\_ccdefinitions\_140711.pdf">http://www.cpalms.org/Uploads/docs/CPALMS/initiatives/contentcomplexity/CPALMS\_ccdefinitions\_140711.pdf</a>

For example, if the standard is marked as a level 3 (strategic reasoning and complex thinking) then the materials coverage should reflect this. If the materials coverage is only sufficient to allow for recall (level 1) then this should be reflected in the points assigned.

1. **SS.912.C.1.1**: Evaluate, take, and defend positions on the founding ideals and principles in American Constitutional government.

2. **SS.912.C.1.2**: Explain how the Declaration of Independence reflected the political principles of popular sovereignty, social contract, natural rights, and individual rights.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Declaration of Independence is included in primary sources.

3. **SS.912.C.1.3:** Evaluate the ideals and principles of the founding documents (Declaration of Independence, Articles of Confederation, Federalist Papers) that shaped American Democracy.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

4. SS.912.C.1.4: Analyze and categorize the diverse viewpoints presented by the Federalists and the Anti-Federalists concerning ratification of the Constitution and inclusion of a bill of rights.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT Justification: Good Quest Team Project DBQ

5. SS.912.C.1.5: Evaluate how the Constitution and its amendments reflect the political principles of rule of law, checks and balances, separation of powers, republicanism, democracy, and federalism.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

6. SS.912.C.2.1: Evaluate the constitutional provisions establishing citizenship, and assess the criteria among citizens by birth, naturalized citizens, and non-citizens.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good assessment on Brown v. Board of Education.

7. SS.912.C.2.10: Monitor current public issues in Florida.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT Justification:

Would be improved by addressing remarks/examples in NGSS such as political text messaging.

8. SS.912.C.2.11: Analyze public policy solutions or courses of action to resolve a local, state, or federal issue.

POOR ALIGNMENT VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Quest DBQ and Civic Action Project

9. SS.912.C.2.12: Explain the changing roles of television, radio, press, and Internet in political communication.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT Justification:

10. SS.912.C.2.13: Analyze various forms of political communication and evaluate for bias, factual accuracy, omission, and emotional appeal.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good activity on comparing viewpoints.

11. SS.912.C.2.14: Evaluate the processes and results of an election at the state or federal level.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

12. SS.912.C.2.15: Evaluate the origins and roles of political parties, interest groups, media, and individuals in determining and shaping public policy.

13. SS.912.C.2.16: Analyze trends in voter turnout.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good activity on analyzing graphs

14. SS.912.C.2.2: Evaluate the importance of political participation and civic participation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Quest Civic Action Projects

15. SS.912.C.2.3: Experience the responsibilities of citizens at the local, state, or federal levels.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Quest Civic Action Projects

16. **SS.912.C.2.4:** Evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues that cause the government to balance the interests of individuals with the public good.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Students love this topic. It would be a good opportunity to engage in class debate.

17. SS.912.C.2.5: Conduct a service project to further the public good.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Quest Civic Action Projects

18. SS.912.C.2.6: Evaluate, take, and defend positions about rights protected by the Constitution and Bill of Rights.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

19. **SS.912.C.2.7:** Explain why rights have limits and are not absolute.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

20. SS.912.C.2.8: Analyze the impact of citizen participation as a means of achieving political and social change.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good examples of civil rights and civil disobedience

21. SS.912.C.2.9: Identify the expansion of civil rights and liberties by examining the principles contained in primary documents.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Need additional primary sources of greater length to develop reading endurance.

22. **SS.912.C.3.1:** Examine the constitutional principles of representative government, limited government, consent of the governed, rule of law, and individual rights.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strengths: Good variety of Florida examples.

23. SS.912.C.3.10: Evaluate the significance and outcomes of landmark Supreme Court cases.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Weaknesses: Over abundance of landmark cases. Edit according to NGSS.

24. SS.912.C.3.11: Contrast how the Constitution safeguards and limits individual rights.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Weakness: Little material on safeguards and limits of gun possession.

25. SS.912.C.3.12: Simulate the judicial decision-making process in interpreting law at the state and federal level.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Strength: trial simulation exercise

26. SS.912.C.3.13: Illustrate examples of how government affects the daily lives of citizens at the local, state, and national levels.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good map to show differences in property taxes across USA and FL.

27. SS.912.C.3.14: Examine constitutional powers (expressed, implied, concurrent, reserved).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

28. SS.912.C.3.15: Examine how power and responsibility are distributed, shared, and limited by the Constitution.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

29. **SS.912.C.3.2**: Define federalism, and identify examples of the powers granted and denied to states and the national government in the American federal system of government.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

30. **SS.912.C.3.3**: Analyze the structures, functions, and processes of the legislative branch as described in Article I of the Constitution.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

31. SS.912.C.3.4: Analyze the structures, functions, and processes of the executive branch as described in Article II of the Constitution.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

32. SS.912.C.3.5: Identify the impact of independent regulatory agencies in the federal bureaucracy.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good "Take a Stand Activity"

33. SS.912.C.3.6: Analyze the structures, functions, and processes of the judicial branch as described in Article III of the Constitution.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:
Good mini DBQ

34. SS.912.C.3.7: Describe the role of judicial review in American constitutional government.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

35. SS.912.C.3.8: Compare the role of judges on the state and federal level with other elected officials.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

Good support for differentiation

36. **SS.912.C.3.9**: Analyze the various levels and responsibilities of courts in the federal and state judicial system and the relationships among them.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

37. **SS.912.C.4.1:** Explain how the world's nations are governed differently.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

38. **SS.912.C.4.2:** Evaluate the influence of American foreign policy on other nations and the influences of other nations on American policies and society.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

39. SS.912.C.4.3: Assess human rights policies of the United States and other countries.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

40. **SS.912.C.4.4**: Compare indicators of democratization in multiple countries.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

41. SS.912.G.4.1: Interpret population growth and other demographic data for any given place.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

42. SS.912.G.5.5: Use geographic terms and tools to analyze case studies of policies and programs for resource use and management.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

43. ELD.K12.ELL.SI.1: English language learners communicate for social and instructional purposes within the school setting.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

44. **ELD.K12.ELL.SS.1:** English language learners communicate information, ideas and concepts necessary for academic success in the content area of Social Studies.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

45. HE.912.C.2.4: Evaluate how public health policies and government regulations can influence health promotion and disease prevention.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

46. LAFS.1112.RH.1.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, connecting insights gained from specific details to an understanding of the text as a whole.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

47. **LAFS.1112.RH.1.2:** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary that makes clear the relationships among the key details and ideas.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

48. LAFS.1112.RH.1.3: Evaluate various explanations for actions or events and determine which explanation best accords with textual

evidence, acknowledging where the text leaves matters uncertain.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

49. **LAFS.1112.RH.2.4:** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including analyzing how an author uses and refines the meaning of a key term over the course of a text (e.g., how Madison defines faction in Federalist No. 10).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

50. **LAFS.1112.RH.2.5**: Analyze in detail how a complex primary source is structured, including how key sentences, paragraphs, and larger portions of the text contribute to the whole.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

51. **LAFS.1112.RH.2.6:** Evaluate authors' differing points of view on the same historical event or issue by assessing the authors' claims, reasoning, and evidence.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

52. LAFS.1112.RH.3.7: Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in diverse formats and media (e.g., visually, quantitatively, as well as in words) in order to address a question or solve a problem.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

53. LAFS.1112.RH.3.8: Evaluate an author's premises, claims, and evidence by corroborating or challenging them with other information.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

54. LAFS.1112.RH.3.9: Integrate information from diverse sources, both primary and secondary, into a coherent understanding of an idea or event, noting discrepancies among sources.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

55. **LAFS.1112.RH.4.10:** By the end of grade 12, read and comprehend history/social studies texts in the grades 11–CCR text complexity band independently and proficiently.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

- 56. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.1:** Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 11–12 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively..
- **a.** Come to discussions prepared, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.
- b. Work with peers to promote civil, democratic discussions and decision-making, set clear goals and deadlines, and establish individual roles as needed.
- **c.** Propel conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and promote divergent and creative perspectives.
- **d.** Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

57. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.2**: Integrate multiple sources of information presented in diverse formats and media (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) in order to make informed decisions and solve problems, evaluating the credibility and accuracy of each source and noting any discrepancies among the data.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

58. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.3:** Evaluate a speaker's point of view, reasoning, and use of evidence and rhetoric, assessing the stance, premises, links among ideas, word choice, points of emphasis, and tone used.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

59. **LAFS.1112.SL.2.4:** Present information, findings, and supporting evidence, conveying a clear and distinct perspective, such that listeners can follow the line of reasoning, alternative or opposing perspectives are addressed, and the organization, development, substance, and style are appropriate to purpose, audience, and a range of formal and informal tasks.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

- 60. LAFS.1112.WHST.1.1: Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content.
- a. Introduce precise, knowledgeable claim(s), establish the significance of the claim(s), distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims, and create an organization that logically sequences the claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence.
- **b.** Develop claim(s) and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant data and evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both claim(s) and counterclaims in a discipline-appropriate form that anticipates the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases.
- c. Use words, phrases, and clauses as well as varied syntax to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims.
- d. Establish and maintain a formal style and objective tone while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline in which they are writing.
- e. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from or supports the argument presented.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

- 61. **LAFS.1112.WHST.1.2:** Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events, scientific procedures/experiments, or technical processes.
- a. Introduce a topic and organize complex ideas, concepts, and information so that each new element builds on that which precedes it to create a unified whole; include formatting (e.g., headings), graphics (e.g., figures, tables), and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension.
- **b.** Develop the topic thoroughly by selecting the most significant and relevant facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic.
- **c.** Use varied transitions and sentence structures to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships among complex ideas and concepts.
- **d.** Use precise language, domain-specific vocabulary and techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy to manage the complexity of the topic; convey a knowledgeable stance in a style that responds to the discipline and context as well as to the expertise of likely readers.
- **e.** Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the information or explanation provided (e.g., articulating implications or the significance of the topic).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

62. LAFS.1112.WHST.2.4: Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

63. **LAFS.1112.WHST.2.5:** Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on addressing what is most significant for a specific purpose and audience.

64. **LAFS.1112.WHST.2.6**: Use technology, including the Internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products in response to ongoing feedback, including new arguments or information.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

65. **LAFS.1112.WHST.3.7:** Conduct short as well as more sustained research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question) or solve a problem; narrow or broaden the inquiry when appropriate; synthesize multiple sources on the subject, demonstrating understanding of the subject under investigation.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

66. **LAFS.1112.WHST.3.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any one source and following a standard format for citation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

67. LAFS.1112.WHST.3.9: Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

68. **LAFS.1112.WHST.4.10:** Write routinely over extended time frames (time for reflection and revision) and shorter time frames (a single sitting or a day or two) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

69. MAFS.K12.MP.1.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

70. MAFS.K12.MP.3.1: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

71. MAFS.K12.MP.5.1: Use appropriate tools strategically.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

72. MAFS.K12.MP.6.1: Attend to precision.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT Justification:

If you have questions contact Office of Instructional Materials, imstaff@fldoe.org or 850-245-0425

For questions & comments regarding education issues: Commissioner@fldoe.org