STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Action Item

November 16, 2016

SUBJECT: Approval of Amendment to Rule 6A-5.081, Approval of School Leadership Programs

PROPOSED BOARD ACTION

For Approval

AUTHORITY FOR STATE BOARD ACTION

Section 1012.562, Florida Statutes

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed amendment revises requirements for school leadership preparation programs to ensure that all school principals, and those on paths to become principals, have the knowledge and skills to improve student achievement. Proposed changes require postsecondary institutions with level I educational leadership programs to partner with at least one school district; establish admission requirements to include a candidate's instructional expertise and leadership potential; and align candidate performance with the partnering school district's evaluation system. The rule continues to permit school districts to establish their own level I programs, as well as require that individuals admitted to level II school district school leader programs be evaluated as effective or highly effective according to the district's evaluation system. Both level I and level II programs must be competency-based, requiring evidence at each stage that a school leader can successfully apply the knowledge and skills introduced in the program.

Supporting Documentation Included: Proposed Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C.; Request to Submit Form RTS-EL 2016, Request to Submit Form RTS-SP 2016; Initial Approval Standards EL IAS-2016; Initial Approval Standards SP IAS-2016; Continued Program Approval Standards EL-2016; and Continued Program Approval Standards SP-2016

Facilitator/Presenter: Brian Dassler, Deputy Chancellor for Educator Quality

Rule 6A-5.081 is substantially rewritten to read (see Florida Administrative Code for present text):

6A-5.081 Approval of School Leadership Programs.

This rule sets forth the requirements and implementation of the approval process for each type of school leadership program offered by a Florida postsecondary institution or public school district.

- (1) Definitions. For the purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply.
- (a) "Academic year" means the period of time during which program candidates attend or complete a stateapproved school leader preparation program. This includes summer term, fall term and spring term, usually mid-May to mid-May of each calendar year.
- (b) "Competencies and Skills Required for Certification in Educational Leadership in Florida" mean those practices described in rule 6A-4.00821, F.A.C., which is incorporated herein by reference (DOS link). A copy of rule 6A-4.00821, F.A.C., may be obtained from the Florida Department of Education, 325 West Gaines Street, Room 124, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400.
- (c) "Competency-based" means that participants in school leader preparation programs must demonstrate the skill sets and knowledge bases outlined in the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.
- (d) "Continued approval" means that subsequent to an initial approval, a school leadership program has been granted the authority to operate for a five-year period. The basis for continued approval is outlined in the documents entitled Florida Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership (EL) Programs, Form EL CAS-2016; and Florida Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards for School Principal (SP) Programs, Form SP CAS-2016.
- (e) "eIPEP" or "electronic Institutional Program Evaluation Plan" means a Department-maintained web-based tool that serves as a data repository, data collection and reporting tool for both program performance data as well as a repository of continued approval goals and strategies for state-approved school leadership programs from Florida postsecondary institutions and school districts.
- (f) "Field experiences" mean activities conducted in a variety of prekindergarten through grade 12 settings that are designed to give the aspiring instructional leader the ability to practice and demonstrate the core expectations of effective school administrators outlined in the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.

- (g) "Florida Principal Leadership Standards" mean those practices described in subsection 6A-5.080(2), F.A.C., which is incorporated herein by reference (DOS link). A copy of rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., may be obtained from the Florida Department of Education, 325 West Gaines Street, Room 124, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400.
- (h) "Initial approval" means that a new school leadership program has been granted the authority to operate for a five-year period. The basis for initial approval is outlined in the documents entitled Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership (EL) Programs, Form EL IAS-2016; and Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for School Leader (SP) Programs, Form SP IAS-2016.
- (i) "Institutional Program Evaluation Plan" or "IPEP" means the annual plan developed by each approved educational leadership or school principal program to describe its review and analysis of program candidate and program completer data and how the results will impact continuous program improvements as part of its continued approval process.
- (j) "Instructional expertise" means documented successful demonstration of the core standards for effective educators outlined in the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) and a documented track record of achieving student gains. Acceptable documentation of instructional expertise must include a rating of "effective" or higher on the "Performance of Students" and "Instructional Practice" sections of the candidate's two most recent performance evaluations per section 1012.34, F.S. For candidates who are not employed by a Florida public school district, a postsecondary institution or school district may accept alternative equivalent documentation demonstrating two years of effective instruction with a record of student learning gains.
- (k) "Leadership potential" means the critical skills and dispositions that a candidate must demonstrate prior to entering the program. At a minimum, these qualifications must include an analysis of the candidate's relentless focus on improving student achievement in their own classrooms and contributing to the demonstrable improvement of teaching effectiveness in the classrooms of colleagues.
- (l) "Partner" means to develop and maintain a collaborative professional relationship with agreed upon goals and outcomes. Partnerships must include evidence that the institution and a school district(s) work together to:
 - 1. Determine program admission standards, and identify and select candidates;
 - 2. Provide job-embedded field experiences for program candidates; and

- 3. Identify strategies for continuous improvement of the program based upon a review of the performance of program candidates and the performance of program completers using aggregate data from performance evaluations.
- (m) "Placement rate" means the number of program completers reported annually by each program to the

 Department who are identified by the Department's Staff Information System, as prescribed in section 1008.385(2),

 F.S., as employed in a full-time or part-time school administrator position in a Florida public school district,

 including charter schools, within three years of program completion. If a program provides documentation of a

 program completer's death or disability, the number of program completers included in the calculation will be
 adjusted.
- (n) "Program admission standards" mean the minimum requirements an applicant must meet to be considered for entry into an educational leadership preparation program. The program admission standards for all programs must define (1) candidate grade point average (GPA) requirements, (2) candidate professional qualifications, to include minimum "instructional expertise" and "leadership potential" standards, and (3) candidate selection processes used to determine admission status.
- (o) "Program candidate" means an individual who has been admitted into and is currently enrolled in, but has not yet completed an educational leadership or school principal program approved under this rule.
- (p) "Program completer" means an individual who has satisfied all educational leadership or school principal program requirements approved under this rule.
- (q) "School leadership positions" mean the administrative personnel positions that are defined in section 1012.01(3)(c), F.S.
- (2) Requirements and processes for initial request and approval of educational leadership programs and school principal programs.
 - (a) Requirements for approval of educational leadership programs:
- 1. Postsecondary institutional programs shall employ faculty who are qualified to teach courses required in the program. Faculty and staff who supervise field experiences shall document annual onsite participation in activities in prekindergarten through grade 12 school settings.
- 2. A postsecondary institutional program shall provide evidence of its partnership with at least one school district as approved under this rule.

- 3. A postsecondary institutional program may include a modified version of its approved program to individuals who hold a master's or higher degree, provided the institution has a means to document that the completer of the modified program has met all program requirements.
- 4. Postsecondary institutional programs and school districts shall describe the qualifications used for admission and admit only candidates that demonstrate instructional expertise and leadership potential as approved under this rule.
- 5. Postsecondary institutional programs and school districts shall describe how competency-based training is aligned to the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.
- 6. Postsecondary institutional programs and school districts shall describe how training shall be aligned to the personnel evaluation criteria under section 1012.34, F.S.
- 7. Postsecondary institutions and school districts shall only endorse as program completers candidates who demonstrate all of the Florida Principal Leadership Standards at the initial certification level and earn passing scores on all portions of the Florida Educational Leadership Examination required in section 1012.56, F.S.
- 8. School districts shall offer its approved professional development program in educational leadership only to its employees who hold a master's degree from an accredited or approved institution as described in Rule 6A-4.003.

 F.A.C. Programs may provide for admission of candidates without this degree, provided that the district's program documentation includes a process of formally notifying such candidates that they are not eligible to complete the program without official documentation of the master's degree.
 - (b) Processes for submission of an educational leadership program for initial approval:
- 1. The president or chief executive officer of a Florida post-secondary institution or a public school district superintendent who seeks approval to offer an educational leadership program or school principal program, shall submit a written request which is further described in the documents, Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-Educational Leadership, Form RTS-EL 2016, and Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-School Principal, Form RTS-SP 2016 within 30 business days prior to January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15. The Department will inform the institution or district superintendent in writing of the receipt of a fully completed request within five (5) business days.
- 2. Upon written verification by the Department of a fully completed request, the institution or district superintendent shall submit to the Department an electronic folio, which is further described in the documents,

Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership, Form EL IAS-2016, and Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for School Principal, Form SP IAS 2016 by January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15.

- 3. The Department shall conduct a review of the electronic folio submitted in support of the request for initial approval within ninety (90) days of receipt of the portfolio. The Department shall notify the institution or school district in writing of the following:
 - a. Receipt of the electronic folio.
- b. Missing or deficient elements and provide a period of ten (10) business days for the program to submit supplemental information or documentation to address the deficit(s).
- c. Approval or denial of approval for each program included in the request. A denial of approval shall identify the reason(s) for the denial and the deficiencies. A program that receives a denial of approval may reapply for initial approval.
 - (c) Requirements for approval of school principal programs:
- 1. The school district shall only admit candidates who hold a valid Florida Educator's Certificate in the area of educational leadership, education administration, or administration and supervision pursuant to requirements of rule 6A-4.0083, F.A.C., and who are employed in a public school within the district in a school leadership position through which the candidate can fully demonstrate the competencies associated with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.
- 2. The school district shall only admit candidates who have earned a highly effective or effective evaluation rating under section 1012.34, F.S.,
- 3. The school district shall describe how it provides individualized instruction using a customized learning plan for each candidate, and the competency-based training that is aligned to its school administrator evaluation criteria under section 1012.34, F.S., and the William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders under section 1012.986, F.S.
- 4. School districts shall ensure individuals who are designated as program completers have satisfactorily performed instructional leadership responsibilities as measured by the school district's school administrator evaluation system under section 1012.34, F.S.

- (3) Requirements and processes for continued approval of educational leadership programs and school principal programs.
 - (a) Reporting processes for continued approval are as follows:
- 1. Each institution or school district shall annually submit program candidate and completer data to the Department's secure management information system.
- 2. By November 15 of each year, each institution or school district shall submit via the Department's eIPEP platform located at https://www.florida-eipep.org/, a program evaluation plan in accordance with Florida

 Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards, Form EL CAS-2015 for educational leadership programs; or Form SP CAS-2016 for school principal programs.
- 3. During the final year of the program approval period, the Department shall conduct a continued approval site visit that will include a review of each approved program. The purpose of the site visit shall be to review evidence of the program's implementation of the continued approval standards described in the document, Florida Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards, Form EL CAS-2016 or Form SP CAS-2016. The site visit shall also include a review of the annual program evaluation plans described in subparagraph (3)(a)2. of this rule. At the end of the site visit, a summative rating score shall be calculated based on criteria outlined in the forms: Form EL CAS-2016 for educational leadership programs or Form SP CAS-2016 for school principal programs.
- 4. A program that has three (3) consecutive years within the continued approval period with no completers shall not receive a continued approval site visit, or a summative rating score.
- (b) At the end of the continued approval period, the Department shall examine the summary findings with summative rating score from the site visit review. The Commissioner shall grant continued approval or denial of approval for each state-approved educational leadership or school principal program based on the continued approval summative rating scale and shall notify the institution or school district in writing of the decision. The continued approval summative rating scale is as follows:
- 1. Full Approval with Distinction rating: the program has earned "Acceptable" for all indicators of Standards 1, 2 and 3.
- 2. Full Approval rating: the program has earned "Acceptable" for each indicator of Standard 3, and indicators

 1.2 and 1.3 of Standard 1, and no score of "Unacceptable" in any indicator of Standards 1 and 2.
 - 3. Denial of Approval rating: the program has earned "Needs Improvement" for one or more indicators of

Standard 3, or indicators 1.2 and 1.3 of Standard 1, or "Unacceptable" on any indicator of Standards 1, 2 and 3. A program that receives a denial of approval rating may reapply for initial approval as specified in subsection (2) of this rule.

- (4) Pursuant to section 1012.562(2)(c), F.S., a Level I program must guarantee the high quality of personnel who complete the program for the first two (2) years after program completion or the person's initial certification as a school leader, whichever occurs first. If a person who completed the program is evaluated in a school leadership position at less than highly effective or effective under section 1012.34, F.S., and the person's employer requests additional training, the Level I program must provide additional training at no cost to the person or his or her employer.
- (5) The following forms are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this rule. Copies may be obtained from the Florida Department of Education, 325 West Gaines Street, Room 124, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400.
- (a) Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership (EL)

 Programs, Form EL IAS-2016 (insert link), effective December 2016.
- (b) Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for School Principal (SP), Form SP IAS-2016 (insert link), effective December 2016.
- (c) Florida Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership (EL)

 Programs, Form EL CAS-2016 (insert link) December 2016.
- (d) Florida Department of Education Continued Program Approval Standards for School Principal (SP), Form SP CAS-2016 (insert link), effective December 2016.
- (e) Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-Educational Leadership, Form RTS-EL 2016 (insert link), effective December 2016.
- (f) Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-School Principal, Form RTS-SP 2016 (insert link), effective December 2016.

Rulemaking Authority 1001.02, 1012.562, 1012.986 FS. Law Implemented 1012.562, 1012.986 FS. History–New 6-20-07, <u>Amended</u>

Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-Educational Leadership (RTS-EL 2016)

Institution/District Name: Program Unit Dean/Director Name: Program Contact Name: Contact Email/Phone Number(s) Institutions or school districts seeking approval to offer a state-approved educational leadership preparation program as described in section 1012.562, Florida Statutes, and State Board of Education Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C., shall submit a <i>Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form (Form RTS-EL 2016)</i> to the Florida Department of Education prior to submitting an electronic program for containing a description and supporting evidence of the specified program(s). Submit this form and required supporting documentate email to EdPrepFolio@fldoe.org.		ne Number(s) rship preparation program as described in nall submit a <i>Florida Department of Education</i> ior to submitting an electronic program folio(s)
PROGRAM NAME/TITLE Educational Leadership		DEGREE LEVEL (e.g., Master's, Ed. Specialist)
The institution or school district certifies the following. Check ea ☐ Institution meets accreditation requirements as described in R evidence. ☐ Program unit has received approval to offer a Level I education board. Attach documented evidence ☐ The institution or school district shall provide job-embedded students in educational settings to ensure each candidate deme educator certification requirements. ☐ The institution or school district shall only endorse as program Leadership Standards at the initial certification level and earn Examination required in s. 1012.56, F.S.	cule 6A-4.003(1), F.A. onal leadership state-apschool leadership field onstrates and achieves and completers candidate.	C. <u>Institutions only - Attach documented</u> pproved program from its governing agency or experiences working with teachers and the competencies and skills needed to meet es who demonstrate all of the Florida Principal

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form RTS-EL 2016 1 | P a g e

Florida Department of Education Request to Submit Form-School Principal (RTS-SP 2016)

Distri	e School District Name:ect School Superintendent (Designee) ture	Program Unit Name: Contact Email Name: Contact Phone Number(s)
1012.5 Reque s contair	562, Florida Statutes, and State Board of Education R st to Submit Form (Form RTS-SP 2016) to the Flori	school principal preparation program (Level II) as described in section rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C., shall submit a <i>Florida Department of Education</i> ida Department of Education prior to submitting an electronic program folio(s) scified program(s). Submit this form and required supporting documentation via
	PR	OGRAM NAME/TITLE
	School Pr	incipal Preparation Program
The sc	chool district certifies the following. Check each be	ox, if appropriate:
	Program unit has received approval to offer a Level <i>documented evidence</i> .	II School Principal Preparation Program from its district school board. <u>Attach</u>
	The school district ensures that school personnel acc	r effective designation under s. 1012.34, F.S.; satisfactorily performed by the evaluation system in s. 1012.34, F.S.
	1 0	pool leadership field experiences working with teachers and students in instrates and achieves the competencies and skills needed to meet educator
	The school district shall only endorse as program co	ompleters candidates who demonstrate all of the Florida Principal Leadership on level while employed in a public school within the district in a school

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form RTS-SP 2016 1 | P a g e

Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The program ensures that completers are prepared to serve as school leaders in which prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students are provided high quality instruction to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each program consistently applies admission requirements in accordance with section 1012.562, F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes any changes that were implemented to admission requirements, processes, methods and procedures used to select and admit candidates in meeting the admission requirements outlined in s. 1012.562, F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C., including candidates' instructional expertise and leadership potential. The program annually reports data on candidates who applied to, were admitted to, and enrolled in the program. 	 A year-by-year institutional or district table reflecting number of candidates who applied to, were admitted into, and enrolled in the program. Summary describing the instructional expertise and leadership potential of all candidates admitted. 	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **1** | P a g e

Indicator 1.2 : The program must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors		
relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership.		

rel	relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership.		
A	nnual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval	Continued Approval
		Program Summary Report	Site Visit
1.	The program describes any changes to its curricula, and submits the appropriate matrix to demonstrate the alignment of the curricula to the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) as defined in 6A-5.081, F.A.C.	A program summary report is not required.	• Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs.
2.	The program describes any changes to its curricula, and submits the appropriate matrix to demonstrate the alignment of the curricula to the Competencies and Skills Required for Certification in Education Leadership in Florida (Florida Educational Leadership Examination/FELE) prescribed in 6A-4.00821, F.A.C.		• On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.
3.	The program describes any changes made to the training to ensure it is aligned to the partnering district's personnel evaluation criteria under s. 1012.34, F.S.		
4.	implemented for collecting and analyzing candidate performance data at the individual and program level to ensure each candidate's mastery of the competency-based training and field experiences, and successfully passing each subsection of the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE).		
5.	The program describes the assistance provided to and status of candidates who did not demonstrate mastery of competencies for each component of the curricula. The program describes the assistance provided to and		
6.	status of candidates who were not successful in		

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **2** | P a g e

passing any subtest of the FELE.	
7. The program reports the following information on any	
program completer who is employed in a Florida	
public school during the first 2 years immediately	
following completion of the program or following	
initial certification, whichever occurs first, and the	
district or charter school requests additional training	
for the educator.	
a) Number of program completers reported under the	
2-year guarantee;	
b) School district or charter school where assistance	
was requested;	
c) Description of the assistance provided by the	
program; and	
d) Description of the outcomes of the assistance	
provided.	
-	

Indicator 1.3: The program must demonstrate that it can satisfactorily meet the purpose of school leader preparation programs pursuant to s. 1012.56(1), F.S., by annually submitting an institutional program evaluation plan that includes specific data for program candidates and completers.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes any changes that were implemented in the monitoring, collecting, evaluating and analyzing of program candidate and completer data on: Number and passage rate of candidates on the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE), including subtest results at the 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews,

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **3** | P a g e

	competency level;	school site observations and by
b)	Number and passage rate of candidates who passed	other means to resolve questions,
	the FELE on the first attempt;	identify exemplars and highlight
c)	Number and percentage of program completers	continuous improvement.
	who are placed in school leadership positions in	
	Florida public schools;	
d)	Results from program completers' performance	
	evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.;	
e)	Impact that program completers have on student	
	learning as measured by the formulas pursuant to s.	
	1012.34(7), F.S.;	
f)	Number and percentage of completers from	
	partnering school districts who take advantage of	
	the two-year guarantee;	
g)	Number and percentage of completers from non-	
	partnering school districts who take advantage of	
	the two-year guarantee;	
h)	Survey data from program completers and	
	partnering school districts; and	
i)	Other data results that the program collected.	

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **4** | P a g e

Standard 2. Field Experiences

The program provides high-quality field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings that offer candidates opportunities to practice the core expectations for an effective school administrator as defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and with sustained opportunities to contribute to improving student achievement in the classrooms of p-12 colleagues.

Indicator 2.1: Field experiences are completed in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings relevant to program objectives and under the supervision and support of staff with the knowledge and skill necessary for the development of the candidate.

supervision and support of staff with the knowledge and skill necessary for the development of the candidate.		
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The postsecondary institution's program describes changes to: a) Partnership agreement(s) between the institution and school districts (submit revised partnership agreement). 	A program summary report is not required.	• Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs.
 2. The institution or school district program describes changes to: a) How field experience and internship placement settings are selected, utilized, and evaluated; b) The criteria and plan for selecting and training individuals at the institution and/or the district who supervise and support candidates during their field experiences; c) The process for verifying that faculty and staff who supervise field experiences have participated in activities in p-12 school settings; and d) The process or plan for ensuring candidates have field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings. 		On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **5** | P a g e

Indicator 2.2 : Program candidates are placed in high quality field experiences to practice and ultimately demonstrate Florida Principal Leadership Standards defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C.		
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes changes to the process or plan for how: Program candidates demonstrate the core expectations of effective school administrators during field experiences; Candidates receive feedback during field experiences and internships, including strategies for improvement; Candidates' performance in high quality field; experiences are collected, evaluated and analyzed; and Support is determined, administered and monitored for program candidates who are not successful during field experiences and internships. 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **6** | P a g e

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement by evaluating the effectiveness of its candidates and completers and determining areas for program improvement.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.

Indicator 5.1. The program routinery and systematicarry examines candidate and completer performance and impact.		
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program provides aggregated data in the reporting year on program candidates and program completers including, but not limited to: Program candidates' passage rate on the FELE, including subtest results at the competency level; Program candidates' passage rate on the FELE who are first-time test takers; Number and percentage of program completers who complete the program and are placed in school leadership positions in Florida public schools Results from program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.; Impact that program completers have on student learning as measured by the formulas pursuant to s. 1012.34(7), F.S.; Number and percentage of program completers from partnering school districts who took advantage of the two-year guarantee; Number and percentage of program completers from non-partnering school districts who took advantage of the two-year guarantee; and Other data results from the program. Other data results from the program completers from the program. Other data results from the program. Other data results from the program completers from the program completers from the program completers from the program completers fro	The program prepares a summary or synthesis of data collected over the continued approval period as evidenced in the annual IPEPs and describes patterns and themes of changes made to the program as a result of data analysis.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **7** | P a g e

Indicator 3.2: The program uses results of data collection to enhance program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning.				
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit		
 The program describes for the reporting year: Specific program elements identified by aggregated data analyses that were determined as areas of strength and areas for growth for continuous program improvement. Stakeholders including program completers and district partners (roles and responsibilities) involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning and how stakeholder input was used. Specific programmatic enhancements and changes that were made (or will be made) as a result of the decision-making process. 	 The program prepares a continued approval period summary or synthesis of continuous improvement outcomes that include: Program elements identified as strengths and areas for growth; Stakeholder involvement in programmatic decision-making; and How it used the data results for program enhancements and programmatic changes. 	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of the program summary report and annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement. 		

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **8** | P a g e

Continued Approval Site Visit

Scoring Rubric

Continued Approval Summative Rating Scoring Rubric	Full Approval with Distinction	Full Approval	Denial
Each indicator for each continued approval	"Acceptable" for all	Does not meet criteria	"Needs Improvement"
standard is reviewed and scored as follows:	indicators of Standards	for Level 4 but meets	for one or more
	1, 2 and 3	the following criteria:	indicators of Standard
3 = Acceptable		"Acceptable" for each	3, or indicators 1.2 and
		indicator of Standard 3,	1.3 of Standard 1
		and indicators 1.2 and	
		1.3 of Standard 1	OR
2 = Needs Improvement			
		AND	"Unacceptable" on any
			indicator of Standards
		No score of	1, 2 and 3.
1 = Unacceptable		"Unacceptable" in any	
		indicator of Standards 1	
		and 2	

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL CAS-2016 **9** | P a g e

Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The school district ensures that candidates and completers are prepared to serve as school principals for schools in which prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students are provided high quality instruction to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each school district consistently applies admission requirements in accordance with section 1012.562, F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes any changes that were implemented to admission requirements, processes, methods and procedures used to admit candidates in meeting the admission requirements outlined in s. 1012.562(3)(a), F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C. The school district annually reports data on candidates who applied to, were admitted to, and enrolled in the program. 	 A year-by-year district table reflecting number of candidates who applied to, were admitted into, and enrolled in the program. Summary describing the percentage of candidates admitted who were rated highly effective and rated effective. Summary of evidence of instructional leadership responsibilities of candidates admitted. 	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **1** | P a g e

Indicator 1.2: The school district must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes any changes that were implemented for collecting and analyzing candidate performance data at the individual and program level to ensure each candidate's mastery of the competency-based training and field experiences. The school district describes the assistance provided to and status of candidates who did not demonstrate mastery of competencies for each component of the curricula. 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Indicator 1.3: The school district must demonstrate that it can satisfactorily meet the purpose of school leader preparation programs pursuant to s. 1012.562(1), F.S., by annually submitting an institutional program evaluation plan (IPEP) that includes specific data for program candidates and completers.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes any changes that were implemented in the collecting, monitoring and analyzing of program completer data on: a) Program candidates' field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of FPLS; b) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in Florida public schools; 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **2** | P a g e

 Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in the school district; 	to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.
 d) Results from program candidates' and program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and e) Other data results under consideration by the district. 	

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **3** | P a g e

Standard 2. Field Experiences

The school district provides high-quality field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings that offer candidates opportunities to practice the core expectations of a school principal as defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and with sustained opportunities to contribute to the demonstrable improvement of teaching effectiveness.

Indicator 2.1: Field experiences are completed in a variety of p-12 settings relevant to program objectives and under the supervision and support of staff with the knowledge and skill necessary for the development of the candidate.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes (if appropriate): Changes to the selection, utilization, and monitoring of field settings relative to the standards and competencies outlined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and in service of the purpose of school leader preparation programs highlighted in section 1012.562(1), F.S.; Changes to the criteria and/or plan for the selection and training of individuals at the district who supervise and support candidates during their field experiences; Changes to the plan for ensuring that each program candidate will have high quality field experiences in a variety of p-12 settings; and Remediation that was provided to program candidates who were unsuccessful in field experiences. 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 4 | P a g e

Indicator 2.2: Program candidates are placed in high quality field experiences in which they can effectively demonstrate the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes (if appropriate): Changes to how program candidates' demonstrate the core expectations of effective school administrators during field experiences; Changes to how candidates receive feedback on their progress through field experiences and internships, including strategies for improvement; Changes to how program candidates' performance in high quality field experiences is collected, evaluated and analyzed; and Changes to how support will be determined, administered, and monitored for program candidates who are not successful during field experiences and internships. 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **5** | P a g e

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based by evaluating the effectiveness of its candidates and completers and determining areas for program improvement.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district provides aggregated data in the reporting year on program candidates and program completers including, but not limited to: a) Program candidates' field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of FPLS; b) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in Florida public schools; c) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in the school district; d) Results from program candidates' and program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and e) Other data results under consideration by the district. 	The school district prepares a summary or synthesis of data collected over the continued approval period as evidenced in the annual IPEPs and describes patterns and themes of changes made to the program as a result of data analysis.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **6** | P a g e

Indicator 3.2: The school district uses results of data collection to enhance program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning.

learning.		
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The school district describes for the reporting year: Specific program elements identified by aggregated data analyses that were determined as areas of strength or areas for growth for continuous program improvement; Stakeholders (roles and responsibilities) involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning and how stakeholder input was used; and Specific programmatic enhancements and changes that were made (or will be made) as a result of the decision-making process. 	 The school district prepares a continued approval period summary or synthesis of continuous improvement outcomes that include: a) Program elements identified as strengths and areas for growth; b) Stakeholder involvement in programmatic decisionmaking; and c) How it used the data results for program enhancements and programmatic changes. 	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, school site observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **7** | P a g e

Continued Approval Site Visit

Scoring Rubric

Continued Approval Summative Rating Scoring Rubric	Full Approval with Distinction (see 6A- 5.081(3)(b), FAC)	Full Approval (see 6A-5.081(3)(b), FAC)	Denial (see 6A- 5.081(3)(b), FAC)
Each indicator for each continued approval	"Acceptable" for all	Does not meet criteria	"Needs Improvement"
standard is reviewed and scored as follows:	indicators of Standards	for Level 4 but meets	for one or more
	1, 2 and 3	the following criteria:	indicators of Standard
3 = Acceptable		"Acceptable" for each	3, or indicators 1.2 and
		indicator of Standard 3, and indicators 1.2 and	1.3 of Standard 1
		1.3 of Standard 1	OR
2 = Needs Improvement			
		AND	"Unacceptable" on any indicator of Standards
		No score of	1, 2 and 3.
1 = Unacceptable		"Unacceptable" in any	
		indicator of Standards 1	
		and 2	

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP CAS-2016 **8** | P a g e

Instructions:

A Florida postsecondary institution or public school district seeking initial approval of its educational leadership (EL) program, authorized in section 1012.562, Florida Statutes, shall submit an electronic folio that contains a description and supporting evidence of the design, delivery, curriculum content and evaluation of the specified program. The Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for Educational Leadership (EL) Programs (Form EL IAS-2016) contains the specifications for what must be included in an initial electronic folio. Electronic folio submissions may be submitted to the department by the quarterly deadlines of each year, January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15. Institutions and districts shall submit the folios by email to EdPrepFolio@fldoe.org or mail an electronic storage device containing the folio to:

Florida Department of Education Office of Educator Preparation 325 West Gaines Street, Room 124 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 1 | P a g e

A program folio shall contain the following:

Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The program ensures completers are prepared to serve as school leaders in which prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students are provided high quality instruction to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each program consistently applies admission requirements in accordance with section 1012.562, F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable.

The program describes:

- 1. Partnering school district(s) role in determining admission standards, and identifying and selecting candidates;
- 2. Admission requirements and procedures used to determine a candidate has met the admission requirements outlined in s. 1012.562(2)(a), F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C., to include:
 - a) Candidate academic achievement requirements;
 - b) Candidate professional qualifications, to include a candidate's instructional expertise and leadership potential;
- 3. Candidate selection process used to determine entry into a program, which may include written performance assessments and interviews; and
- 4. Plan for annually collecting, monitoring, analyzing and reporting data on candidates who applied to, were admitted to, and enrolled in the program.

Indicator 1.2: The program must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program provides:

- 1. A matrix that describes the critical tasks, assignments and assessments during coursework, field experience(s), and internship(s) for the competencies and skills associated with each component of the curricula in alignment with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) as defined in 6A-5.080, F.A.C., including:
 - a) Prefix, number and title for each course within the competency-based curricula aligned to each FPLS indicator;
 - b) Performance measure or indicator that is being assessed; and
 - c) Title and description of critical task or assessment activity.
- 2. A matrix that describes the alignment of The Competencies and Skills Required For Certification in Educational Leadership In Florida prescribed in Rule 6A-4.00821, F.A.C., Florida Leadership Examination (FELE) and the program curricula, including:
 - a) Prefix, number and title for each course within the competency-based curricula aligned to each of the FELE competencies and skills.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 **2** | P a g e

- 3. The plan for how training provided through the program will be aligned to the personnel evaluation criteria under s. 1012.34, F.S.;
- 4. The process of how the program will assess, monitor, and document each program candidate's practice and mastery of:
 - a) The Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) as defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C.; and
 - b) The Competencies and Skills Required for Certification in Educational Leadership in Florida prescribed in Rule 6A-4.00821,F.A.C., Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE).
- 5. The plan for analyzing candidate performance data at the individual level to ensure candidate's mastery of the curricula;
- 6. The plan for providing documented remediation, mentoring, and coaching feedback to support the program candidate's progression throughout the program and mastery of the curricula;
- 7. The plan for collecting and analyzing Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE) results to ensure each candidate possesses the competencies and skills relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership;
- 8. The plan for providing remediation and feedback to candidates who are unsuccessful in passing all subtests of the FELE; and
- 9. The plan to monitor and support program completers who were referred by the employing school district during the first 2 years immediately following program completion (2-year guarantee). The plan must include criteria for developing an individualized plan with specific learning outcomes and documenting the outcomes of the assistance provided.

Indicator 1.3: The program must demonstrate that it can satisfactorily meet the purpose of school leader preparation programs pursuant to s. 1012.562(1), F.S., by annually submitting an institutional program evaluation plan that includes specific data for program candidates and completers.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

- 1. The process of how it will collect, monitor and analyze evidence on an annual basis to include:
 - a. Number and passage rate of program candidates on the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE), including subtest results at the competency level;
 - b. Number and percent of program candidates who passed the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE) on the first attempt;
 - c. Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school leadership positions in Florida public schools;
 - d. Results from program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.;
 - e. Impact that program completers have on student learning as measured by the formulas pursuant to s. 1012.34(7), F.S.;
 - f. Number and percentage of program completers from partnering school districts who take advantage of the two-year guarantee;
 - g. Number and percentage of program completers from non-partnering school districts who take advantage of the two-year guarantee;
 - h. Survey data from program completers and partnering school districts; and

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 3 | P a g e

i. Other data results under consideration by the program.

Standard 2. Field Experiences

The program provides high-quality field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings that offer candidates opportunities to practice the core expectations for an effective school administrator as defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and with sustained opportunities to contribute to improving student achievement in the classrooms of p-12 colleagues.

Indicator 2.1: Field experiences are completed in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings relevant to program objectives and under the supervision and support of staff with the knowledge and skill necessary for the development of the candidate.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

1. The partnership agreement that will be adopted by the postsecondary institution and the school district(s) where program candidates will be placed to ensure high quality field experiences in accordance with section 1012.562(2)(a)2., F.S., and described further in 6A-5.081, F.A.C. The partnership agreement(s) must also be submitted.

The program describes:

- 2. How field experience and internship placement settings are selected, utilized, and evaluated relative to the standards and competencies outlined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and Rule 6A-4.00821,F.A.C., and in service of the purpose of school leader preparation programs highlighted in section 1012.562(1), F.S.;
- 3. The criteria and plan for selecting and training individuals at the institution and/or the district who supervise and support candidates during their field experiences;
- 4. The process for verifying that faculty and staff who supervise field experiences have participated in activities in prekindergarten through grade 12 school settings; and
- 5. The process or plan for ensuring candidates have field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings.

Indicator 2.2: Program candidates are placed in high quality field experiences to practice and ultimately demonstrate Florida Principal Leadership Standards defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

- 1. How program candidates demonstrate the core expectations of effective school administrators during field experiences;
- 2. How candidates receive feedback during field experiences and internships, including strategies for improvement;

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 4 | P a g e

- 3. The process or plan for how program candidates' performance in high quality field experiences will be collected, evaluated and analyzed; and
- 4. The process or plan for how support will be determined, administered, and monitored for program candidates who are not successful during field experiences and internships.

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based by evaluating the effectiveness of its candidates and completers and determining areas for program improvement.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

- 1. The continuous improvement process for the annual review and analysis of aggregated data it will collect on program candidates and program completers, including:
 - a) Number and passage rate of program candidates on the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE), including subtest results at the competency level;
 - b) Number and passage rate of program candidates who are first-time test takers of the Florida Educational Leadership Examination (FELE);
 - c) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school leadership positions in Florida public schools;
 - d) Results from program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.;
 - e) Impact that program completers have on student learning as measured by the formulas pursuant to s. 1012.34(7), F.S.;
 - f) Number and percentage of program completers in partnering school districts who take advantage of the two-year guarantee;
 - g) Number and percentage of program completers in non-partnering school districts who take advantage of the two-year guarantee; and
 - h) Other data results under consideration by the program;

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 5 | P a g e

Indicator 3.2: The program uses results of data collection to enhance program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

- 1. The process it will use for examining individual and aggregated data on program candidate and program completer performance and impact to determine areas of strength and areas for growth for program improvement and drive decisions for programmatic enhancements and improvement.
- 2. Strategies for involving program completers, partnering districts, and other stakeholders in the program evaluation process.
- 3. Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders who will be involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning, including how stakeholder input will be used.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 **6** | P a g e

SCORING GUIDE

Each indicator shall receive a rating of Acceptable or Unacceptable based on the narrative description and documentation in the folio. The indicator ratings are as follows:

Acceptable = A

The evidence presented in the folio is clearly described and in alignment with the indicator. The narrative is well-articulated, realistic, and contains all required elements. Documentation is complete.

Unacceptable = U

The evidence presented in the folio is incomplete, not clearly described or is not in alignment with the indicator. The narrative or supporting documents do not address or satisfy the described elements.

OVERALL RATING SUMMARY FOR INDICATORS				
STANDARD 1	INDICATOR 1.1	INDICATOR 1.2	INDICATOR 1.3	
STANDARD 2	INDICATOR 2.1	INDICATOR 2.2		
STANDARD 3	INDICATOR 3.1	INDICATOR 3.2		

Enter an A or U for each indicator based on the rating scale above.

SUMMATIVE RATING

The results of the ratings for all indicators within each standard will determine the summative rating:

Full Approval- Program has earned "acceptable" for all indicators.

Denied - Program has earned "unacceptable" on one or more indicators.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form EL IAS-2016 7 | P a g e

Instructions:

A Florida public school district seeking initial approval of its school principal (SP) program, authorized in section 1012.562, Florida Statutes, shall submit an electronic folio that contains a description and supporting evidence of the design, delivery, curriculum content and evaluation of the specified program. The Florida Department of Education Initial Program Approval Standards for School Principal (SP) Programs (Form SP IAS-2016) contains the specifications for what must be included in an initial electronic folio. Electronic folio submissions may be submitted to the department by the quarterly deadlines of each year, January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15. School districts shall submit the folios by email to EdPrepFolio@fldoe.org or mail an electronic storage device containing the folio to:

Florida Department of Education Office of Educator Preparation 325 West Gaines Street, Room 124 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 1 | P a g e

A program folio shall contain the following:

Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The program ensures that candidates and completers are prepared to serve as school principals for schools in which prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students are provided high quality instruction to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each program consistently applies admission requirements in accordance with section 1012.562, F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable.

The school district describes:

- 1. Admission requirements and procedures used to determine a candidate has met the admission requirements outlined in s. 1012.562(3)(a), F.S., and 6A-5.081, F.A.C., to include:
 - a) Hold a Florida certificate in educational leadership (Level 1);
 - b) Earned a highly effective or effective summative performance under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and
 - c) Satisfactorily performed instructional leadership responsibilities as measured by the evaluation system in s. 1012.34, F.S.;
- 2. Candidate selection process used to determine entry into a program, which may include written performance assessments and interviews; and
- 3. Plan for annually collecting, monitoring and reporting data on candidates who applied to, were admitted to, and enrolled in the program.

Indicator 1.2: The program must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in school leadership.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The school district provides:

- 1. A matrix that describes the critical tasks, assignments and assessments during coursework or training and culminating field experience(s) and internship for the competencies and skills associated with each component of the curricula in alignment with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) as defined in 6A-5.080, F.A.C., including:
 - a) Title for each course within the competency-based curricula;
 - b) Performance measure or indicator that is being assessed; and
 - c) Title and description of critical task or assessment activity

The school district describes:

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 **2** | P a g e

- 2. The plan for how training provided through the program will be aligned to the personnel evaluation criteria under s. 1012.34, F.S., and the William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders in s. 1012.986, F.S.
- 3. Process of how it will assess, monitor and document each program candidate's progress and mastery of competency-based training and field experiences aligned to:
 - a) Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) as defined in 6A-5.080, F.A.C.;
 - b) Personnel evaluation criteria under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and
 - c) William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders, pursuant to s. 1012.986, F.S.;
- 4. The plan for providing candidates individualized instruction using a customized learning plan based on data from self-assessment, selection and performance evaluation instruments;
- 5. The plan for analyzing candidate performance data at the individual level to ensure candidate's mastery of the curricula; and
- 6. The plan for providing documented remediation, mentoring and coaching feedback that supports program candidate's progression throughout the program and mastery of the curricula.

Indicator 1.3: The program must demonstrate that it can satisfactorily meet the purpose of school leader preparation programs pursuant to s. 1012.562(1), F.S., by annually submitting an institutional program evaluation plan (IPEP) that includes specific data for program candidates and completers.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The school district describes:

- 1. The process of how it will collect, monitor and analyze evidence to include:
 - a) Program candidates' field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of FPLS;
 - b) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in Florida public schools;
 - c) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in the school district;
 - d) Results from program candidates' and program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and
 - e) Other data results under consideration by the district.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 3 | P a g e

Standard 2. Field Experiences

The program provides high-quality field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings that offer candidates opportunities to practice the core expectations of a school principal as defined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and with sustained opportunities to contribute to the demonstrable improvement of teaching effectiveness.

Indicator 2.1: Field experiences are completed in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings relevant to program objectives and under the supervision and support of staff with the knowledge and skill necessary for the development of the candidate.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The school district describes:

- 1. How field experience and internship placement settings are selected, utilized, and evaluated relative to the standards and competencies outlined in Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., and in service of purpose of school leader preparation programs highlighted in section 1012.562(1), F.S.;
- 2. The criteria and plan for selecting and training individuals who supervise and support candidates during their field experiences; and
- 3. The plan for ensuring that candidates have high quality field experiences in a variety of purposeful p-12 settings.

Indicator 2.2: Program candidates are placed in high quality field experiences in which they can effectively demonstrate the Florida Principal Leadership Standards.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The school district describes:

- 1. How program candidates' demonstrate the core expectations of effective school administrators during field experiences;
- 2. How candidates receive feedback on their progress through field experiences and internships, including strategies for improvement;
- 3. How program candidates' performance in high quality field experiences will be collected, evaluated and analyzed; and
- 4. How support will be determined, administered, and monitored for program candidates who are not successful during field experiences and internships.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 4 | P a g e

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based by evaluating the effectiveness of its candidates and completers and determining areas for program improvement.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The school district describes:

- 1. The continuous improvement process for the annual review and analysis of aggregated data it will collect on program candidates and program completers, including:
 - a) Program candidates' field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of FPLS;
 - b) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in Florida public schools;
 - c) Number and percentage of program completers who are placed in school principal positions in the school district;
 - d) Results from program candidates' and program completers' performance evaluations required under s. 1012.34, F.S.; and
 - e) Other data results under consideration by the district.

Indicator 3.2: The program uses results of data collection to enhance program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning.

The following criteria must be met to receive a rating of Acceptable:

The program describes:

- 1. The process it will use for examining aggregated data analyses of program candidate and program completer performance and impact to identify areas of strength and areas for growth for program improvement and drive decisions for programmatic enhancements and improvement.
- 2. Strategies for involving program completers, other school personnel, employers, community agencies, business representatives, and other stakeholders in the program evaluation process.
- 3. Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders who will be involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning, including how stakeholder input will be used.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 5 | P a g e

SCORING GUIDE

Each indicator shall receive a rating of Acceptable or Unacceptable based on the narrative description and documentation in the folio. The indicator ratings are as follows:

Acceptable = A

The evidence presented in the folio is clearly described and in alignment with the indicator. The narrative is well-articulated, realistic, and contains all required elements. Documentation is complete.

Unacceptable = U

The evidence presented in the folio is incomplete, not clearly described or is not in alignment with the indicator. The narrative or supporting documents do not address or satisfy the described elements.

OVERALL RATING SUMMARY FOR INDICATORS			
STANDARD 1	INDICATOR 1.1	INDICATOR 1.2	INDICATOR 1.3
STANDARD 2	INDICATOR 2.1	INDICATOR 2.2	
STANDARD 3	INDICATOR 3.1	INDICATOR 3.2	

Enter an A or U for each indicator based on the rating scale above.

SUMMATIVE RATING

The results of the ratings for all indicators within each standard will determine the summative rating:

Full Approval- Program has earned "acceptable" for all indicators.

Denied - Program has earned "unacceptable" on one or more indicators.

Effective Date: December 2016 Rule 6A-5.081, F.A.C. Form SP IAS-2016 **6** | P a g e